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DERIVATION of EQUATION (7)

For clarity, we include the equations from the main text in this appendix. We define the vector of labor market states as

$$
\boldsymbol{s}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
e_{t} & u_{t} \tag{A1}
\end{array}\right]^{\prime}
$$

The dynamics of this vector satisfies the system of two dynamic equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t}=\boldsymbol{d}_{t}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t} \boldsymbol{s}_{t-1} \tag{A2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\boldsymbol{d}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
p_{n, e, t}  \tag{A3}\\
p_{n, u, t}
\end{array}\right], \text { and } \boldsymbol{P}_{t}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-p_{e, n, t}-p_{e, u, t}-p_{n, e, t} & p_{u, e}-p_{n, e} \\
p_{e, u}-p_{n, u} & -p_{u, e}-p_{u, n}-p_{n, u}
\end{array}\right]
$$

For given matrices $\boldsymbol{d}_{t}$ and $\boldsymbol{P}_{t}$, this system has the following steady state

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}=-\boldsymbol{P}_{t}^{-1} \boldsymbol{d}_{t} \tag{A4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This allows us to split the change in $s_{t}$ into two parts. The first is the transitional dynamics due to $\boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}$ deviating from the previous period's flow steady state. The second

[^0]is because of the change in the flow steady state. That is
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t}=\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}\right)=\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)-\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right) \tag{A5}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Moreover, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{t}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}\right) & =\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\right)\left(\boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)-\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\right)\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}-\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)  \tag{A6}\\
& =\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{t}^{-1} \Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

This allows us to write the current change in the state as a function of the transitional dynamics through the past change in the state of the changes in the steady state. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t}=\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}^{-1} \Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}-\boldsymbol{P}_{t} \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t} \tag{A7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The final step is to attribute the changes in the steady state, i.e. $\Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}$ to changes in the different matrices made up of transition probabilities. For this, we basically apply a shift-share analysis to the change in the steady state. That is, we use that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{t}=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}+\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{t}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}\right) \Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t} \tag{A8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}=\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{t}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}\right)\right]^{-1}\left[-\Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{t}-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}+\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)\right] \tag{A9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining equations, we obtain the decomposition in the main text

$$
\Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t}=\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{I}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}\right) \boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}^{-1} \Delta \boldsymbol{s}_{t-1}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{t}+\boldsymbol{P}_{t-1}\right)^{-1}\left[2 \Delta \boldsymbol{d}_{t}+\Delta \boldsymbol{P}_{t}\left(\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t}+\overline{\boldsymbol{s}}_{t-1}\right)\right]
$$

This is the decomposition we use for our results

Additional Results

(a) labor force participation rate (LFPR) and its components: Men

(b) LFPR and its components: Women

Figure B1. : Labor force participation rate and its components by gender.
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