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## Appendix A. Supplementary Tables and Figures

A.1. Invariance to quantitative beliefs. Figure A1.1 shows the effect of the cutoffs in round one for the second and third mover on the best-response cutoffs for the first mover in round two. In particular the figure indicates the difference in best response between the first and second round, so $51-\mu_{1,2}\left(\mu_{2,1}, \mu_{2,3}\right)$. For most second- and third-mover responses close to the equilibrium the best response is identical. Even with substantially different beliefs about the cutoffs, the first mover should still have a difference in their first and second cutoffs of at least 10. The sole exceptions are those cases where the second and third movers are believed to use boundary cutoffs, either always or never switching.


Figure A1.1. Differences for First Mover Cutoffs (Round one to two) as a Function of Beliefs on Others' Cutoffs
A.2. Experimental Design. Overall allocation of participants to session is given in Table A2.1.

Table A2.1. Experimental Design Table

| Treatment | Type | Sessions | Participants | Supergames |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S | Game | 4 | 66 | 1,386 |
| NS | Decision | 2 | 33 | 693 |
| S-Across | Game | 4 | 60 | 1,260 |
| S-Within | Game | 4 | 69 | 1,446 |
| S-Peer | Game | 4 | 72 | 1,512 |
| S-Explicit | Decision | 2 | 36 | 756 |
| All |  | 20 | 336 | 7,053 |

A.3. Robustness. This appendix contains tables and figures that extend the analysis in the main text. Table A3.1 reports the same regressions as in Table 1 in the main text, but using data from supergames 6 to 20 instead of supergames 11 to 20. Table A3.2 extends the same analysis for players in the role of second- and third-movers, and finds very similar results. Tables A3.3 and A3.4 replicate the analysis from Table 1 for the S-Across and S-Explicit treatments, respectively.
Table A3.5 reports results from the S-Within treatment, in which participants are informed about the actions taken by other players during the course of the supergame. In the $S$-Within treatment, the relevant conditioning variable should be the information that other people switched, and the passing of time per se does not convey direct actionable information.

Tables A3.6 and A3.7 report results of supergame 21 behavior for participants in the $S$-Within and S-Peer treatments, respectively. For both cases, we cannot reject the hypothesis that cutoffs are similar to the main $S$ treatment. We conclude that neither receiving strategic feedback during the path of play, nor discussing the optimal strategy with peers significantly affects behavior in supergame 21 .
Table A3.1. Average first-mover cutoff (relative to 51) by round in No Selection and Selection (Supergames 6 to 20)

| Treatment | Cutoff for | Theory$\mu^{\star}$$\qquad$ | Supergame 6 to 20 |  |  |  | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Estimate <br> $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  | N | Estimate $\hat{\mu}$$\qquad$ | $p$-values |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\hat{\mu}=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |  |  | $\mu=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\mu=\mu_{t}^{\star j}$ |
| No Selection (NS) | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | [0] | 495 | $\begin{gathered} +3.1 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.192 | 33 | $\begin{gathered} +1.8 \\ (2.5) \end{gathered}$ | - | 0.479 |
|  | Rd. 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{N S}$ | [0] | 255 | +2.5 | 0.249 | 0.295 | 33 | +1.9 | 0.907 | 0.449 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.4) |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |
|  | Rd. $3, \hat{\mu}_{3}^{N S}$ | [0] | 122 | +2.0 | 0.100 | 0.414 | 33 | +2.3 | 0.620 | 0.360 |
|  |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |
|  | Joint Tests: |  |  | $0.197 \ddagger$ |  | 0.201 § |  | $0.875^{\ddagger}$ |  | 0.817§ |
| Selection (S) | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S}$ | [0] | 690 | -4.1 | 0.007 | 0.001 | 66 | -7.5 | 0.018 | 0.013 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.2) |  |  |  | (3.04) |  |  |
|  | Rd. 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{S}$ | [-16] | 326 | -7.7 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 66 | -11.1 | 0.001 | 0.107 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.2) |  |  |  | (3.0) |  |  |
|  | R. $3, \hat{\mu}_{3}^{S}$ | [-23] | 154 | -12.1 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 66 | -14.7 | 0.000 | 0.006 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.3) |  |  |  | (3.0) |  |  |
|  | Joint Test: |  |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | 0.000 § |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for the relative cutoff (choice-51) against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 171/138/33 Total/Selection/No Selection first-mover subjects across supergames $11-20$, and $55 / 22 / 33$ in supergame 21 . Selection treatment includes data from $S$ and $S$-Peer for supergames $11-20$ as both treatments are identical up to supergame 21; exclude subjects in the second- and third-mover roles (these figures given in the Appendix). $\dagger$-Univariate significance tests columns examine differences from either the first-round coefficient from the control ( $H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ for treatment
 $\S-$ Joint test of PBE cutoffs in supergame $\left(H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}\right)$.

| Treatment | Cutoff for | Theory$\mu^{\star}$ | Supergame 11 to 20 |  |  |  | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Estimate $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  | N | Estimate <br> $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\hat{\mu}=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |  |  | $\mu=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\mu=\mu_{t}^{\star j}$ |
| Second-Mover | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S}$ | [-9] | 460 | -6.1 | 0.000 | 0.031 | 22 | -4.9 | 0.004 | 0.178 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.4) |  |  |  | (3.0) |  |  |
|  | Rd. 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{S}$ | [-20] | 223 | -9.2 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 22 | -10.6 | 0.000 | 0.002 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.4) |  |  |  | (3.0) |  |  |
|  | Rd. 3, $\hat{\mu}_{3}^{S}$ | [-26] | 108 | -14.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 22 | -15.8 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.5) |  |  |  | (3.0) |  |  |
|  | Joint Tests: |  |  | $0.000^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000^{\S}$ |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | 0.001 § |
| Third-Mover | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S}$ | [-16] | 460 | $-7.2$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 22 | $-5.5$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.4) |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |
|  | Rd. 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{S}$ | [-23] | 251 | -10.4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 22 | -9.0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.4) |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |
|  | R. $3, \hat{\mu}_{3}^{S}$ | [-28] | 122 | $-13.4$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 22 | $-14.2$ | 0.000 | 0.000 |
|  |  |  |  | (1.5) |  |  |  | (2.5) |  |  |
|  | Joint Test: |  |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000^{\S}$ |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for the relative cutoff (choice-51) against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 133/138 second/third-mover subjects across supergames 11-20, and 22 second and third-movers in supergame 21 . Includes data from $S$ and $S$-Peer for supergames $11-20$ as both treatments
 control $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}\right.$ for treatment $j$, round $\left.t\right)$ or the theoretical prediction $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star j}\right)$. $\ddagger$-Joint test of stationary cutoffs across the supergame $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}\right.$ for treatment $j$ ); §-Joint test of PBE cutoffs in supergame $\left(H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}\right)$.

| Treatment | Cutoff for | Theory | Supergame 11 to 20 |  |  |  | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Estimate $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  | N | Estimate $\qquad$ | $p$-values |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}$ | $\hat{\mu}=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |  |  | $\mu=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}$ | $\mu=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |
| S-Across | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S(A)}$ | [0] | 200 | $\begin{gathered} -1.7 \\ (2.0) \end{gathered}$ | 0.267 | 0.378 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} +0.8 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.102 | 0.829 |
|  | $\text { Rd. 2, } \hat{\mu}_{2}^{S(A)}$ | [-16] | 99 | $\begin{gathered} -5.6 \\ (2.1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.330 | 0.000 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} -1.55 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.062 | 0.000 |
|  | $\text { R. } 3, \hat{\mu}_{3}^{S(A)}$ | [-23] | 50 | $\begin{gathered} -9.7 \\ (2.2) \end{gathered}$ | 0.400 | 0.000 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} -5.6 \\ (3.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.077 | 0.000 |
|  | Joint Test: |  |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |  | 0.001 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for the relative cutoff (choice-51) against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 60 first-mover subjects across supergames 11-20, and 20 first-movers in supergame 21. $\dagger$-Univariate significance tests columns examine differences from either the coefficients from the $S$ treatment $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S}\right.$ for treatment $j$, round $\left.t\right)$ or the theoretical prediction $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star}\right)$. $\ddagger$-Joint test of stationary cutoffs across the supergame $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}=\right.$ $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}$ for treatment $j$; §-Joint test of PBE cutoffs in supergame $\left(H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}\right)$.

| Treatment | Cutoff for | Theory | Supergame 11 to 20 |  |  |  | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | N | Estimate $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  | N | Estimate $\hat{\mu}$ | $p$-values |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\hat{\mu}=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |  |  | $\mu=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\mu=\mu_{t}^{\star}$ |
| S-Explicit | Rd. 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S(E)}$ | [0] | 360 | $\begin{gathered} +4.5 \\ (2.3) \end{gathered}$ | 0.836 | 0.054 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} +4.2 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | 0.509 | 0.101 |
|  | $\text { Rd. 2, } \hat{\mu}_{2}^{S(E)}$ | [-17] | 185 | $\begin{gathered} -2.5 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | 0.062 | 0.000 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} -3.1 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | 0.189 | 0.000 |
|  | $\text { R. 3, } \hat{\mu}_{3}^{S(E)}$ | [-34] | 96 | $\begin{gathered} -8.4 \\ (2.4) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.000 | 36 | $\begin{gathered} -8.3 \\ (2.6) \end{gathered}$ | 0.007 | 0.000 |
|  | Joint Test: |  |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for the relative cutoff (choice-51) against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 36 subjects in the $S$-explicit treatment. $\dagger-U n i v a r i a t e$ significance tests columns examine differences from either the first-round coefficients from the NS treatment ( $H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ for treatment $j$, round
 of PBE cutoffs in supergame $\left(H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}\right)$.
Table A3.5. Average cutoff (relative to 51) per round and number of in-group switches, S-Within
Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for all chosen cutoffs against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 69 subjects across supergames 11-20. †-P-Value of uni-variate significance tests of the theoretical predictions $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t s}^{j}=\mu_{t s}^{\star j}\right)$. $\ddagger$-Join test: Cutoffs are stationary across the treatment $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{1 s}^{S(W)}=\hat{\mu}_{2 s}^{S(W)}=\hat{\mu}_{3 s}^{S(W)}\right.$ across rounds, and $H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t 1}^{S(W)}=\hat{\mu}_{t 2}^{S(W)}=\hat{\mu}_{t 3}^{S(W)}$ across number of in-group switches).

Table A3.6. Average cutoff per round (relative to 51) in Supergame 21 for S-Within treatment, first-movers only

| Treatment | Game Round | Theory | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Test ( $p$-Value) |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star j}$ |
| S-Within | Round 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S(W)}$ | [0] | $\begin{gathered} -9.8 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.110 | 0.000 |
|  | Round 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{S(W)}$ | [-16] | $\begin{gathered} -13.6 \\ (3.0) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.083 | 0.155 |
|  | Round 3, $\hat{\mu}_{3}^{S(W)}$ | [-23] | $\begin{gathered} -13.5 \\ (1.7) \end{gathered}$ | 0.000 | 0.460 | 0.000 |
|  | Joint Tests: |  | 0.000 ${ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |  | $0.000{ }^{\text {§ }}$ |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for all chosen cutoffs against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 23 first-mover participants in supergame 21. $\dagger$-Univariate significance tests columns examine differences from either the first-round coefficient from the control ( $H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ for treatment $\mathfrak{j}$, round $t$ ), the first-mover coefficients from the Selection treatment ( $H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}$ for treatment $\mathbf{j}$, round $t$ ) and the theoretical prediction $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star j}\right)$. $\ddagger$-Joint test of stationary cutoffs across the supergame $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}\right.$ for treatment $j$ ); §-Joint test of PBE cutoffs in supergame ( $\left.H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}\right)$.

Table A3.7. Average cutoff per round (relative to 51) for S-Peer treatment on supergame 21, first-movers only

| Treatment | Game Round | Theory | Supergame 21 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Estimate | Test ( $p$-Value) |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\hat{\mu}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}$ | $\hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star j}$ |
| S-Peer | Round 1, $\hat{\mu}_{1}^{S(A)}$ | [0] | $\begin{gathered} -4.9 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.098 | 0.545 | 0.110 |
|  | Round 2, $\hat{\mu}_{2}^{S(A)}$ | [-16] | $\begin{gathered} -9.5 \\ (3.1) \end{gathered}$ | 0.005 | 0.718 | 0.033 |
|  | Round 3, $\hat{\mu}_{3}{ }^{(A)}$ | [-23] | $-13.8$ <br> (3.0) | 0.000 | 0.847 | 0.003 |
|  | Joint Tests: |  | $0.000{ }^{\ddagger}$ |  |  | $0.000{ }^{\S}$ |

Note: Figures derived from a single random-effects least-squares regression for all chosen cutoffs against treatment-round dummies. Standard errors in parentheses, risk-neutral predicted cutoffs in square brackets. There are 24 first-mover participants in supergame 21. $\dagger$-Univariate significance tests columns examine differences from either the first-round coefficient from the control $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{N S}\right.$ for treatment $\mathfrak{j}$, round $t$ ), the first-mover coefficients from the $S$ treatment $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{t}^{S}\right.$ for treatment $\mathfrak{j}$, round $t$ ) and the theoretical prediction $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{t}^{j}=\mu_{t}^{\star j}\right)$. $\ddagger$-Joint test of stationary cutoffs across the supergame $\left(H_{0}: \hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}\right.$ for treatment $j$ ); §-Joint test of PBE cutoffs in supergame ( $H_{0}: 0=\hat{\mu}_{1}^{j}-\mu_{1}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{2}^{j}-\mu_{2}^{\star j}=\hat{\mu}_{3}^{j}-\mu_{3}^{\star j}$ ).


Figure A4.1. Type Robustness to $\epsilon$
A.4. Type Classification Robustness. In Figure A4.1 we indicate the three type categories as we vary the bandwidth parameter $\epsilon$ from 0 to 10.5. In Figure A4.2(A) and (B) we indicate the relationship between the final supergame cutoffs in rounds two and one, and rounds three and two for participants who were classified as $\epsilon$-non-decreasing, but not stationary.


Figure A4.2. Cutoffs for $\epsilon$-Non-Decreasing types who are not Stationary
Table A4.1 reports on the proportion of types as classified in the last five partial strategy supergames for each treatment. Rather than the full strategy method final supergames, we here take averages across supergames to assess the strategy cutoffs. A participant is decreasing if minimum of (round 1 -round 2) cutoffs, irrespective of type, is strictly positive, and is $\epsilon$-decreasing if minimum is larger than $\epsilon$. Treatment $S$ includes data from S-Peer as both treatments are identical up until cycle 21.

Following the paper we focus on the type specifications with an error bandwidth $\epsilon=2.5$ (though we also provide data on $\epsilon=0$ and $\epsilon=5$ ).

Table A4.1. Type Classifications on Last Five Cycles

|  | $N_{S}$ | Decreasing |  |  |  |  | Non-Decreasing |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Exact | $\epsilon=2.5$ | $\epsilon=5$ |  | Exact | $\epsilon=2.5$ | $\epsilon=5$ |  |
| No Selection | 33 | $12.1 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 \%}$ | $3.0 \%$ |  | $87.9 \%$ | $\mathbf{9 7 . 0 \%}$ | $97.0 \%$ |  |
| Selection | 138 | $29.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 \%}$ | $23.9 \%$ |  | $70.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 4 . 6 \%}$ | $66.1 \%$ |  |
| S-Across | 60 | $21.7 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 0 . 0} \%$ | $\mathbf{1 8 . 3} \%$ |  | $78.3 \%$ | $\mathbf{8 0 . 0 \%}$ | $81.7 \%$ |  |
| S-Explicit | 36 | $50.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 1 \%}$ | $36.1 \%$ |  | $50.0 \%$ | $\mathbf{6 3 . 9 \%}$ | $63.9 \%$ |  |

Note: Type classification based on cutoffs chosen in cycles 16-20 for all treatments.

## Appendix B. Instructions

## B.1. Instructions for NS control.

Introduction. Thank you for participating in our study. Please turn off mobile phones and other electronic devices. These must remain turned off for the duration of the session.

This is an experiment on decision making. The money you earn will depend on both your decisions and chance. The session will be conducted only through your computer terminal; please do not talk to or attempt to communicate with any other participants during the experiment. If you have a question during this instruction phase please raise your hand and one of the experimenters will come to where you are sitting to answer your question in private.

During the experiment, you will have the opportunity to earn a considerable amount of money depending on your decisions. At the end of the experiment, you will be paid in private and in cash. On top of what you earn through your decisions during the experiment, you will also receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee.

Outline. Your interactions in this experiment will be divided into "Cycles".

- In each cycle you will be holding one of four balls, called Balls A to D.
- Each ball has a value between 1 and 100, and your payoff in each cycle will be determined by the value of the ball you are holding at the cycle's end.
- Initially you will not know any of the four ball's values, and will only know which of the four balls you are holding. Each cycle is divided into three rounds, and in one of these rounds you will see the value of your ball.
- At the point when you see your ball's value you will be asked to make your only choice for the cycle:
- either keep the ball you are holding.
- or instead let go of your current ball and take hold of one of the other three balls.

Main Task. In more detail, a cycle proceeds as follows:

- In each new cycle and for each participant, the computer randomly draws four balls. Each ball's value is chosen in an identical manner:
- With $50 \%$ probability the computer rolls a fair hundred-sided die: so the ball has an equal probability of being any number between 1 and 100.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 1.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 100.
- After drawing the values for the four balls, the computer randomly shuffles them into positions A to D . Once in place, the four balls' positions are fixed for the entire cycle. So whatever the value on Ball A, this is its value for the entire cycle. Only at the end of each cycle are four new balls drawn for your next cycle.
- Once the balls are in position, the computer randomly matches you to a ball, so you start out holding one of the balls A to D. There are therefore three leftover balls which are held by the Computer.
- For example, one possible initial match might be that you hold Ball B. So because Ball B is held in this example, the Computer starts the cycle holding Balls A, C and D.
- Your outcome each cycle will depend only on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle.
- In each cycle you will know which of the four balls you start out holding.
- You will not know any of the four balls' values to start with.
- Every cycle you will make just one decision. At some random point in the cycle you will be told your ball's value. You will then be asked to make a choice after learning your ball's value: either keep holding your ball or give your ball to the computer, and instead take one of the three balls the computer is holding.
- The point in the cycle when you see your ball's value is random. Each cycle is divided into three rounds where you are given a chance to see your ball.
- The round in which you will see your held ball's value and make your choice for the cycle is random:
- In round one, the computer flips a fair coin. If the coin lands Heads, you will see your ball's value. So you have a $50 \%$ chance of seeing your ball's value in the first round.
- In round two, if you did not see you ball in round one you get another 50\% chance of seeing its value: another coin flip.
- Finally, in round three, if you did not see your ball's value in either round one or round two you will see its value for sure in round three and make your choice.
- Whenever you do see your held ball's value-either in round one, two or threeyou will make your only decision for the cycle. The two options you have are:
(1) Keep hold of your ball until the end of the cycle.
(2) Switch balls: Give your ball to the computer to hold, and instead take one of the balls it is currently holding.
- Your cycle payoff is $\$ 0.10$ multiplied by the number on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle. So a ball with value 1 at the end of a cycle has a payoff of $\$ 0.10$, a ball with value 50 has a payoff of $\$ 5.00$, while a ball with value 100 has a payoff of $\$ 10.00$.


## Cycle Summary.

(1) Each participant is given four balls A to D, where each ball has a random value between 1 and 100.
(2) Each participant is then assigned one of the four balls to hold, with the leftover balls held by the computer.
(3) Across three rounds the participants are given the chance to see the value of the balls they are holding.

- Whenever you see the value of the ball you are holding you must decide whether to keep holding it, or trade it with the computer.
- In rounds one and two you have a $50 \%$ probability of seeing the held ball's values. Any participant that reaches round three without seeing their ball's value will always see its value in round three, and are then given the option to trade it for one of the computer balls.

Experiment Organization. There will be three parts to this experiment. The first part will last for 5 cycles. After this you will get instructions for the second part which will last for another 15 cycles, where the task is very similar. Part 3 will last for a single cycle. Following part 3, we will conclude the experiment with a number of survey questions for which there is the chance for further payment.

## Payment.

- Monetary payment for Parts 1 and 2 will be made on two randomly chosen cycles, where each of the 20 cycles in the first two parts are equally likely to be selected for payment.
- You will be given the opportunity for further earnings in Part 3 and the survey at the end of the experiment (which we will explain once the preceding parts end).
- All participants will receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee added to total earnings from the other parts of the experiment.
B.2. Instructions for $S$, $S$-Across, $S$-Within, and $S$-Peer. Some passages differ depending on treatment, and are indicated by highlighted text and the name of the treatment in brackets. Everything else is the same.

Introduction. Thank you for participating in our study. Please turn off mobile phones and other electronic devices. These must remain turned off for the duration of the session.

This is an experiment on decision making. The money you earn will depend on both your decisions and chance. The session will be conducted only through your computer terminal; please do not talk to or attempt to communicate with any other participants during the experiment. If you have a question during this instruction phase please raise your hand and one of the experimenters will come to where you are sitting to answer your question in private.

During the experiment, you will have the opportunity to earn a considerable amount of money depending on your decisions. At the end of the experiment, you will be paid in private and in cash. On top of what you earn through your decisions during the experiment, you will also receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee.

Outline. Your interactions in this experiment will be divided into "Cycles".

- In each cycle you will be in a group of three, with each participant holding one of four balls, called Balls A to D.
- Each ball has a value between 1 and 100, and your payoff in each cycle will be determined by the value of the ball you are holding at the cycle's end.
- At the start of each cycle you will see which ball each of the three participants are holding. However, you will NOT know any of the four ball's values. Each cycle is divided into three rounds, and in one of these rounds you will see the value of your ball.
- At the point when you see your ball's value you will be asked to make your only choice for the cycle:
- either keep the ball you are holding.
- or instead let go of your current ball and take hold of whichever ball is not being held by another group member.

Main Task. In more detail, a cycle proceeds as follows:

- At the start of each cycle the computer randomly divides all of the participants in the room into groups of three. Each player will randomly be given one of three roles: either First Mover, Second Mover or Third Mover.
- The groups of three and specific roles assigned are fixed for each cycle.
- In each new cycle you will be randomly matched into a new group of three.
- In each new cycle you will be randomly assigned to either be the First, Second or Third Mover.
- In each new cycle and for each separate group of three, the computer randomly draws four balls. Each ball's value is chosen in an identical manner:
- With $50 \%$ probability the computer rolls a fair hundred-sided die: so the ball has an equal probability of being any number between 1 and 100.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 1.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 100 .
- After drawing the values for the four balls, the computer randomly shuffles them into positions A to D. Once in place, the four balls' positions are fixed for the entire cycle. So whatever the value on Ball A, this is its value for the entire cycle. Only at the end of each cycle are four new balls drawn for your next cycle and next group of three.
- Once the balls are in position, the computer randomly gives a different ball to each of the three group members. Each group member therefore starts out holding one of the balls A to D. But because the three group members are each holding one of the four balls there is one leftover ball. This leftover ball is held by the Computer.
- For example, one possible initial match might be that Ball A is held by the Third Mover; Ball B by the First Mover; and Ball D by the Second Mover. So because Balls A, B and D are all held in this example, the Computer starts the cycle holding the leftover Ball C.


## [S, S-Across, and S-Peer]

- Your outcome each cycle will depend only on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle.
- In each cycle you will know which of the four balls you start out holding
- You will not know any of the four balls' values to start with, nor which balls the other two group members are holding.


## [S-Within]

- Your outcome each cycle will depend only on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle.
- You will not know any of the four ball's values to start with.
- You will know which ball is being held by each participant, and will also know if a ball was previously held by another participant.
- Every cycle you will make just one decision. At some random point in the cycle you will be told your ball's value. You will then be asked to make a choice after learning your ball's value: either keep holding your ball or give your ball to the computer, and instead take whichever ball the computer is holding.
- The point in the cycle when you see your ball's value is random. Each cycle is divided into three rounds where each group member is given a chance to see their ball. Each round is further divided into a sequence of turns, dictated by your role:
(1) The first mover gets the first opportunity to see their ball's value. If they see it, they make their one choice for the cycle, if not they must wait until the next round for another opportunity to see their ball's value.
(2) After the first mover, the second mover gets an opportunity to see their ball. Again, if they see it, they make their one choice for the cycle, otherwise they must wait until the next round.
(3) Finally, after both the first and second mover, the third mover gets an opportunity to see their ball. As before, if they see their ball they make their one choice for the cycle, otherwise they must wait until the next round.
- The round in which you will see your held ball's value and make your choice for the cycle is random:
- In round one, the computer flips a fair coin once for each group member. If the coin lands Heads, the group member sees their ball's value. So each group member has a $50 \%$ chance of seeing their ball's value in the first round.
- In round two, any group members who did not see their ball in round one get another $50 \%$ chance of seeing its value: another coin flip.
- Finally, in round three, any group members who did not see their ball in either round one or round two see their ball's value for sure in round three and make their choice.
- Whenever you do see your held ball's value-either in round one, two or three-you will make your only decision for the cycle. The two options you have are:
(1) Keep hold of your ball until the end of the cycle.
(2) Switch balls: Give your ball to the computer to hold, and instead take the ball it is currently holding.
- The cycle ends after every participant within a group sees the ball's value and makes a decision.


## [S-Across]

- At the end of the cycle you will get feedback on what happened. You will be told:
- The balls each group member and the computer started with.
- Choice 1/2/3: The identity of the group member who was 1st/2nd/3rd to see their ball's value; the round they saw their ball's value; their choice (keep or switch); and which ball the computer was holding after their choice.
- Your cycle payoff is $\$ 0.10$ multiplied by the number on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle. So a ball with value 1 at the end of a cycle has a payoff of $\$ 0.10$, a ball with value 50 has a payoff of $\$ 5.00$, while a ball with value 100 has a payoff of $\$ 10.00$.


## Cycle Summary.

(1) The computer randomly forms the participants in the room into groups of three.
(2) Each group is given four balls A to D, where each ball has a random value between 1 and 100.
(3) Each of the three participants are given one of the four balls to hold, with the leftover ball held by the computer.
(4) Across three rounds the group members move in sequence according to their roles, and each are given the chance to see the value of the ball they are holding.

- Whenever you see the value of the ball you are holding you must decide whether to keep holding it, or trade it with the computer.
- In rounds one and two each group member has a $50 \%$ probability of seeing their held ball's value. Any group members that reach round three without seeing their ball's value will always see it in round three, and are then given the option to trade it for the current computer ball.

Experiment Organization. There will be three parts to this experiment. The first part will last for 5 cycles. After this you will get instructions for the second part which will last for another 15 cycles, where the task is very similar. Part 3 will last for a single cycle. Following part 3, we will conclude the experiment with a number of survey questions for which there is the chance for further payment.

Payment.

- Monetary payment for Parts 1 and 2 will be made on two randomly chosen cycles, where each of the 20 cycles in the first two parts are equally likely to be selected for payment.
- You will be given the opportunity for further earnings in Part 3 and the survey at the end of the experiment (which we will explain once the preceding parts end).
- All participants will receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee added to total earnings from the other parts of the experiment.


## B.3. Instructions for $\boldsymbol{S}$-Explicit.

Introduction. Thank you for participating in our study. Please turn off mobile phones and other electronic devices. These must remain turned off for the duration of the session.

This is an experiment on decision making. The money you earn will depend on both your decisions and chance. The session will be conducted only through your computer terminal; please do not talk to or attempt to communicate with any other participants during the experiment. If you have a question during this instruction phase please raise your hand and one of the experimenters will come to where you are sitting to answer your question in private.

During the experiment, you will have the opportunity to earn a considerable amount of money depending on your decisions. At the end of the experiment, you will be paid in private and in cash. On top of what you earn through your decisions during the experiment, you will also receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee.

Outline. Your interactions in this experiment will be divided into "Cycles".

- In each cycle you will be holding one of four balls, called Balls A to D.
- Each ball has a value between 1 and 100, and your payoff in each cycle will be determined by the value of the ball you are holding at the cycle's end.
- Initially you will not know any of the four ball's values, and will only know which of the four balls you are holding. Each cycle is divided into three rounds, and in one of these rounds you will see the value of your ball.
- At the point when you see your ball's value you will be asked to make your only choice for the cycle:
- either keep the ball you are holding.
- or instead let go of your current ball and take hold of one of the other three balls.

Main Task. In more detail, a cycle proceeds as follows:

- In each new cycle and for each participant, the computer randomly draws four balls. Each ball's value is chosen in an identical manner:
- With $50 \%$ probability the computer rolls a fair hundred-sided die: so the ball has an equal probability of being any number between 1 and 100.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 1.
- With $25 \%$ probability the ball has value 100 .
- After drawing the values for the four balls, the computer randomly shuffles them into positions A to $D$. Once in place, the four balls' positions are fixed for the entire cycle. So whatever the value on Ball A, this is its value for the entire cycle. Only at the end of each cycle are four new balls drawn for your next cycle.
- Once the balls are in position, the computer randomly matches you to a ball, so you start out holding one of the balls A to D. There are therefore three leftover balls which are held by the Computer.
- For example, one possible initial match might be that you hold Ball B. So because Ball B is held in this example, the Computer starts the cycle holding Balls A, C and D.
- Your outcome each cycle will depend only on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle.
- In each cycle you will know which of the four balls you start out holding.
- You will not know any of the four balls' values to start with.
- Every cycle you will make just one decision. At some random point in the cycle you will be told your ball's value. You will then be asked to make a choice after learning your ball's value: either keep holding your ball or give your ball to the computer, and instead take one of the three balls the computer is holding.
- The point in the cycle when you see your ball's value is random. Each cycle is divided into three rounds where you are given a chance to see your ball.
- The round in which you will see your held ball's value and make your choice for the cycle is random:
- In round one, the computer flips a fair coin. If the coin lands Heads, you will see your ball's value. So you have a $50 \%$ chance of seeing your ball's value in the first round.
- In round two, if you did not see you ball in round one you get another 50\% chance of seeing its value: another coin flip.
- Finally, in round three, if you did not see your ball's value in either round one or round two you will see its value for sure in round three and make your choice.
- Whenever you do see your held ball's value-either in round one, two or threeyou will make your only decision for the cycle. The two options you have are:
(1) Keep hold of your ball until the end of the cycle.
(2) Switch balls: Give your ball to the computer to hold, and instead take one of the balls it is currently holding.
- If you do choose to switch balls with the computer, the procedure the computer uses to select a ball to give you in exchange varies with the round:
- In round one, the computer will randomly select one of the three available balls, choosing between each of the three balls it is holding with equal probability.
- In round two, the computer will randomly select a ball from the two lowest value balls of the three it is holding, choosing each of the two lowest-value balls with equal probability. So, in round two the computer will never offer you the highest-value ball of the three.
- In round three, the computer will only offer you the lowest-value ball of the three it is holding.
- Your cycle payoff is $\$ 0.10$ multiplied by the number on the ball you are holding at the end of the cycle. So a ball with value 1 at the end of a cycle has a payoff of $\$ 0.10$, a ball with value 50 has a payoff of $\$ 5.00$, while a ball with value 100 has a payoff of $\$ 10.00$.


## Cycle Summary.

(1) Each participant is given four balls A to $D$, where each ball has a random value between 1 and 100.
(2) Each participant is then assigned one of the four balls to hold, with the leftover balls held by the computer.
(3) Across three rounds the participants are given the chance to see the value of the balls they are holding.

- Whenever you see the value of the ball you are holding you must decide whether to keep holding it, or trade it with the computer.
- In rounds one and two you have a $50 \%$ probability of seeing the held ball's values. Any participant that reaches round three without seeing their ball's value will always see its value in round three, and are then given the option to trade it for one of the computer balls.
- The procedure the computer uses to choose the ball it is willing to exchange with you changes across the cycle. In round one it will randomize across all three balls. In round two, it randomizes over the two lowest-value balls. In round three, it will offer the lowest-value ball with certainty.

Experiment Organization. There will be three parts to this experiment. The first part will last for 5 cycles. After this you will get instructions for the second part which will last for another 15 cycles, where the task is very similar. Part 3 will last for a single cycle. Following part 3, we will conclude the experiment with a number of survey questions for which there is the chance for further payment.

## Payment.

- Monetary payment for Parts 1 and 2 will be made on two randomly chosen cycles, where each of the 20 cycles in the first two parts are equally likely to be selected for payment.
- You will be given the opportunity for further earnings in Part 3 and the survey at the end of the experiment (which we will explain once the preceding parts end).
- All participants will receive a $\$ 6$ participation fee added to total earnings from the other parts of the experiment.


## B.4. Instructions to Part 2 [Supergames 6-20] ${ }^{1}$,

We will now pause briefly before continuing on to the second part of the experiment. The task for the next 15 cycles of the experiment is very similar to the last 5 . In fact, there is only one difference from part one. So far, if you flipped a head you have been told the value of the ball you are holding prior to deciding whether or not to trade it for the computer's ball. For the remaining cycles you instead will be asked to provide a cutoff rule in case you see your ball.

This cutoff is the minimum value you would need to keep the ball you are holding. In every round of a cycle, you will be asked to provide a cutoff for trading your ball should you see its value that round.

You will be asked to choose your cutoff value by clicking on the horizontal bar at the bottom of your screens per the projected slide. You can click anywhere on the bar to change your cutoff, and you can always adjust your minimum cutoff by plus or minus one by clicking on the two buttons below the bar.

In the projected example I selected a minimum cutoff of 80 .
After you submit your cutoff the computer will then flip the coin if you are in rounds one or two to determine if you see your ball's value, similar to part one.

[^0]If the coin flip is tails, nothing happens, and you will have to wait to decide until at least the next round, where you will repeat this procedure and provide another minimum cutoff.

If instead the coin flip is Heads, or you are making your decision in round three where you are guaranteed to see your ball, the computer will show you the value of your ball. The computer will automatically keep it or trade your ball according to the minimum cutoff you selected.

If your ball's value is LOWER than your selected minimum, you will automatically trade your ball for the computer's ball, which you will keep until the end of the cycle. In the projected example I had selected 80 as my minimum cutoff. In the above example, it shows what would happen if I saw my held ball, and its value was 75 . Because this is lower than my selected minimum value of 80 , the computer uses my selected cutoff to automatically trade my ball for the computer's ball, rather than keeping it.

The next example shows what happens if the coin flip is heads, and your held ball is equal to or greater than your selected minimum cutoff. In this case, because the ball's value is greater than my selected minimum value of 80 , the computer uses my selected cutoff to automatically keep my ball until the end of the cycle, rather than trading it. The projected example illustrates what would happen if my held ball had a value of 85 . Because 85 is above my selected cutoff of 80 , I would keep my ball until the end of the cycle.

Because of this procedure, you will maximize your potential earnings by selecting the chosen cutoff value to answer the following question: What is the smallest value X for which I would keep my ball right now, where for any balls lower than X, I would rather trade them for the computer's ball?

The computer will now ask you three questions to make sure you understand this cutoff. At the top of your screens the computer will indicate a ball you are holding. Just for these question we will also tell you the ball the computer is holding. For each question we will give you a selected cutoff, and the value of the ball you are holding. Given this information, we would like you to select what happens.

You must answer all three questions correctly for the experiment to proceed.

## B.5. Slides for Instructions to Part 2.



B.6. Handouts for Part 2 [Supergames 6-20] Some passages are different depending on treatment, and are indicated by highlighted text and the name of the treatment on brackets. Everything else is the same.

Part Two.

- Everything in part two cycles will be the same as part one, with one exception.
- In every round, you will be asked to provide a cutoff.
- The cutoff you provide is the minimum value required for you to keep your ball.
- After you have confirmed your cutoff $X$ between 1 and 100 the computer will determine if you see your ball's value this round:
- If you see your ball's value this round a choice will be made according to your minimum-value cutoff.
- If you do not see your ball's value this round, you will provide another minimumvalue cutoff in the next round.
- In the round where you see your ball, the computer will use your minimum cutoff $X$ as follows:
- IF your ball's value is equal to or greater than the minimum cutoff $X$, then you will choose to keep your ball.
[NS]
- OTHERWISE if your ball's value is less than the minimum cutoff $X$, the computer will choose to trade your ball for one of the computer's balls. [S, S-Across, S-Within, S-Peer, and S-Explicit]
- OTHERWISE if your ball's value is less than the minimum cutoff $X$, the computer will choose to trade your ball for the one held by the computer that round.
- Because of this procedure, you should choose your cutoff value to answer the following question:
[NS]
What is the smallest value $X$ from 1 to 100 for which I would like to keep my ball right now, rather than give it to the computer in exchange for one of the balls the computer is holding?
[S, S-Across, S-Within, S-Peer, S-Explicit]

[^1]What is the smallest value $X$ from 1 to 100 for which I would like to keep my ball right now, rather than give it to the computer in exchange for the ball the computer is holding?
B.7. Instructions to Part Three ${ }^{3}$, For all treatments, except $S$-Peer. Some passages are different depending on treatment, and are indicated by highlighted text and the name of the treatment on brackets. Everything else is the same.

Instructions. We will now pause briefly before continuing on to the third part of the experiment. The task for the final cycle of the experiment is very similar to the last 20. However, where we paid two random cycles from the last 20 , we will pay you whatever you earn in this last cycle for sure. So for this one cycle you will earn between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10.00$ depending on your final ball.

In this cycle we will randomly assign you to be either a first, second or third mover, and will tell you your role. Like the preceding rounds, we will ask you for a minimum cutoff value to keep your ball, and the computer will automatically keep or switch your ball depending on your selected cutoff if you see your balls value that round.
[NS, S, S-Across, and S-Explicit]
The only difference in part 3 is that we will only tell you which round you saw your ball's value in at the end of the cycle.

## [S-Within]

There are two differences in Part 3. First, we have removed the information on which balls the other participants are holding. All you will know is which ball you are currently holding. Second, we will now only tell you which round you saw your ball's value and how you decided at the very end of this cycle.

You will submit cutoffs in rounds 1 to 3 , as before. In the round where you see your ball's value, you will use your selected cutoff for that round to make a decision.

If your balls' value is lower than your minimal cutoff you will exchange your ball with the one the computer is holding at that point.
If your balls' value is equal to or greater than your minimum cutoff you will keep your ball for the cycle. Because of this procedure, nothing in the structure of the task has changed from Part 2. So you should make your decisions exactly as before. The new

[^2]procedure allows us to collect information on the cutoffs you would select in all three rounds of the cycle.
[NS, S, S-Across, and S-Explicit]
Effectively, the only thing that has changed from part two is the point at which we tell you you have made your choice, and that your payoff from this cycle will always be added to your final cash payoff for the experiment.

## [S-Within]

Recall that, in contrast to the previous cycles, (i) you will now not receive any information about which balls the other two participants and the computer are currently holding in any period, and (ii) you will choose a cutoff in all three rounds.

In terms of payment, remember that the outcome from this cycle will always be added to your final cash payoff for the experiment, so that the ball you are holding at the end of this cycle will add between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10.00$ to your final payoff, depending on the ball you are holding at the end.

Please now make your choices for the final cycle.

## B.7.1. S-Peer.

Instructions. We will now pause briefly before continuing to Part 3 of the experiment.
Part 3 will last for just one cycle, and this cycle will be paid with certainty. So you will earn between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10.00$ for Part 3.

The task for the final cycle of the experiment is very similar to the last 20. Like the preceding rounds, we will randomly assign you to be either the first, second, or third mover, and we will ask you for a minimum cutoff to keep your ball. The computer will then automatically keep or switch your ball depending on your selected cutoff and the value of your ball. The first difference in Part 3 is that we will only tell you which round you saw your ball's value at the very end of the cycle.

That is, you will submit cutoffs for rounds 1 to 3 , as before. In the round where you see your ball's value, we will use your selected cutoff for that round to make a decision.
(1) If your ball's value is lower than your minimum cutoff, you will exchange your ball with the one the computer is holding at that time.
(2) If your ball's value is equal to or greater than your minimal cutoff, you will keep your ball for the cycle.

Because of this procedure, nothing in the structure of the task has changed from Part 2. The new procedure is chosen to allow us to collect information on the cutoffs you would select in all three rounds of the cycle.
The second difference from the first 20 cycles is the determination of payment for Part 3. Before you go on to Part 3, you will be matched into a team of three participants. This team will be given the chance to communicate with each other, prior to making their choices in Part 3. After the chat is completed, each team member will be assigned to a different role (first, second, or third mover), and each of the three team members will be assigned to different groups with participants from other teams. Each team-member will then make their choices in the final cycle.

Payment for Part 3 for your entire team (the participants you will chat with) will be determined by the actions of one randomly selected team member. The chat window allows you to discuss your possible cutoff choices with your other team members before the cycle begins.

This is what the chat screen looks like. You will have 5 minutes to discuss with your team members what to do in cycle 21.

You may not use the chat to discuss details about your previous earnings, nor are you to provide any details that may help other participants in this room identify you. This is important to the validity of the study and will not be tolerated. However, you are encouraged to use the chat window to discuss the choices in the upcoming cycle. In particular, because of our modification to the cutoff procedure, all three team members will make three cutoff choices: the cutoff decision for each round one, two and three.

Whatever advice you can provide your matched team-members that leads them to a better outcome in Part 3 will also benefit you, as there is a two-in-three chance that one of the other team member's choices will define your earnings for this part. Similarly, the advice of others can also help you, as there is a one-in-three probability that your choices will define both your earnings for Part 3 as well as the earnings of the other two teammembers.

After the Part 3 cycle is completed, one of the three team members will be randomly selected and that participant's final held ball will determine the payment for all three members of the team. We will then show you the outcome of the chosen cycle, as in the projected slide. We will pay you for whatever you earn in this last cycle for sure. So for Part 3 you will earn $\$ 0.10$ times the value of the ball the selected team member is holding at the end of the cycle.

## B.8. Slides for Instructions to Part 3.

## B.8.1. All Treatments Except S-Peer.

- Part Three will consist of a single cycle
- Whatever you earn in this cycle will be added to the two random cycles selected from Parts 1 and 2
- So you have the chance to earn between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10.00$ for this cycle depending on your final ball
- You will be told whether you are the First, Second or Third mover
- We will ask you for your minimal cutoff in each new round as before
- If your coin flip is heads, you will choose an action according to your cutoff as before
- The only difference is that we will not tell you when and if you have made a choice until the end of the cycle
- You will submit cutoffs in rounds 1 to 3 , as before
- In the round where you see your ball's value, you will use your selected cutoff to make a decision
- If your balls' value is lower than your minimal cutoff you will exchange your ball with the one the computer is holding
- If your balls' value is equal to or greater than your minimal cutoff you will keep your ball
- Because of this procedure, nothing in the structure of the task has changed
- The new procedure allows us to collect information on the cutoff you would select in all three rounds
- All that has changed from part two is the time at which we inform you on your choice for the cycle


## B.8.2. S-Peer.

- Part Three will consist of a single cycle
- Whatever you earn in this cycle will be added to the two random cycles selected from Parts 1 and 2
- So you will earn between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10.00$ for this cycle
- We will ask you for your minimal cutoff in each new round as before
- If your coin flip is heads, you will choose an action according to your cutoff as before
- The only difference is that we will not tell you when and if you have made a choice until the end of the cycle
- You will submit cutoffs in rounds 1 to 3 , as before
- In the round where you see your ball's value, you will use your selected cutoff to make a decision
- If your balls' value is lower than your minimal cutoff you will exchange your ball with the one the computer is holding
- If your balls' value is equal to or greater than your minimal cutoff you will keep your ball
- Because of this procedure, nothing in the structure of the task has changed.
- The new procedure allows us to collect information on the cutoff you would select in all three rounds
- All that has changed from part two is the time at which we inform you on your choice for the cycle

Use the space below to communicate with the other team members.
You have 5 minutes to talk to each other.
After the chat is over, each team member will be assigned a different role (first, second or third mover) and be matched to a different group.
One of the team members will be randomly selected and this participant's earnings will determine the Cycle 21 payment for all members of the chat team.


Use the space below to communicate with the other team members. You have 5 minutes to talk to each other.
After the chat is over, each team member will be assigned a different role (first, second or third mover) and be matched to a different group
One of the team members will be randomly selected and this participant's earnings will determine the Cycle 21 payment for all members of the chat team.


Use the space below to communicate with the other team members.
You have 5 minutes to talk to each other.
After the chat is over, each team member will be assigned a different role (first, second or third mover) and be matched to a different group
One of the team members will be randomly selected and this participant's earnings will determine the Cycle 21 payment for all members of the chat team.


| TEAM CHAT |
| :--- |
| Use the space below to communicate with the other team members. |
| You have 5 minutes to talk to each other. |
| After the chat is over, each team member will be assigned a different role (first, second or |
| third mover) and be matched to a different group. |
| One of the team members will be randomly selected and this participant's earnings will |
| determine the Cycle 21 payment for all members of the chat team. |

You were Team Member $C$ of your chat team.
The participant randomly selected to determine the team payment was: Team Member B

FINAL RESULT FOR CYCLE 3
Team Member B was the second mover in this cycle, and was initially holding Ball A, which had a value of 100.
The coin flip was HEADS in round 1, and Team Member B's cutoff for round 1 was 80 .
Since the value of the ball was greater than the cutoff, Team Member B kept Ball A. Hence, this cycle will pay $\$ 10.00$


1 | SWITCH | KEEP (100) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 8 |  |

B.9. Instructions for Part 4. Finally we will conduct a number of survey questions for which there will be the chance of an additional payment.

Part four consists of three sets of questions, which will have a series of possible prizes. One participant in the room will be randomly selected for payment on these additional questions.

The first question is a decision making task. You will be presented with three balls. One of these balls is worth $\$ 10$, while the other two are worth $\$ 0$. The computer has shuffled the three balls, and fixed their locations.
(1) We will ask you to choose one of the the three balls.
(2) After you have chosen a ball, we will reveal one zero dollar ball from the two balls that you did not choose.
(3) We will then make you an offer:

- Would you like the ball you initially chose plus $\$ 5$ ?
- Or would you instead like to switch to the remaining ball plus X times $\$ 0.10$ ?
- X will vary between 1 and 100 .
- We would like you to tell us the minimum value of X for which you would like to swap.
- If you swap you get the value of the remaining unchosen ball (either $0 \$$ or $\$ 10)$ plus $\$ 0.10$ times X (so between $\$ 0.10$ and $\$ 10$ )
- If you keep your ball, you get its value (either $0 \$$ or $\$ 10$ ) plus $\$ 5$.

Please make your choices for this task now.
[Wait while participants complete task]
The next task will ask you to answer three numerical questions within a 15 second timelimit. Whoever is selected for payment in part 4 will receive $\$ 1$ per correct answer.
[Wait while participants complete task]
Finally, we would like you to make a series of choices between lotteries. In each choice you will be asked to pick either Lottery A or Lottery B, where each offers a probability over two monetary prizes.

One of your four choices from these lotteries will be selected for payment, and the outcome added to your total earnings if you are selected for payment in part four.

## Appendix C. Screenshots



Figure D.1. Cutoff Selection Stage

## Cycle: $1 \quad$ Round: 1

It's TAILS!
Therefore, you don't see your ball's value in this round.


TAILS!
You do not get to see the value of your ball.

contue

Figure D.2. Feedback Screen For Participants That Flipped Tails


Figure D.3. Feedback Screen For Participants That Flipped Heads And Switched

```
Cycle: }1\quad\mathrm{ Round: }
```

It's HEADS!

The value of your ball is 85 , which is higher than your cutoff of 80 .
Therefore, you keep it.


Figure D.4. Feedback Screen For Participants That Flipped Heads And Kept


Figure D.5. Feedback Screen for S-Across Treatment

## Appendix D. Chat Transcripts

## Session-17, Group-1

A: Hey guys $(t=6)$
C: hello ( $t=14$ )
B: Hi , ideally we want the bot to have the lowest value $(t=20)$
A: true $(t=36)$
C: i think a decent cutoff is somewhere between 20-50 ( $t=51$ )
B: this way when one of us 3 get selected at random we will always not have the lowest value chosen ( $t=56$ )

B: I think a cut off between those values is ideal as well $(t=69)$
A: i usually put my cut-offs lower if i'm third mover, around $5-20(t=91)$
A: because the people before me are likely switching out a 1 value ( $t=107$ )
C: very true $(t=116)$
B: $(t=127)$
A: but i agree with 20-50 for first or second mover ( $t=128$ )
C: so if we are a first mover go between 20-50 and lover it if we are the later mover $(t=141)$
A: progressively getting lower with each round $(t=141)$
B: I think that is a good plan $(t=144)$
B: Also don't forget the coin flip $(t=150)$
A: but we won't know the outcome of it : ( $(t=158)$
B: we can communicate if we get heads or tails $(t=167)$
B: for example $(t=171)$
B: if you are first mover $(t=181)$
B: quickly select your cutoff value at 40 or something around there $(t=190)$
B: oh shit nevermind ( $t=204$ )
A: my understanding is that this round, we won't be told which round we're making the decision, therefore we won't know where we're making our decision ( $t=216$ )

C: yeah, i think we just have to go in with the cutoffs we want $(t=236)$
B: Yea I made a mistake, I guess we will just have to follow the formula of putting in low cutoffs later on ( $t=243$ )
$\mathbf{C}$ : sounds good ( $t=251$ )
A: sounds good ( $t=253$ )
B: sounds good ( $t=262$ )

B: $(\backslash \backslash---/)(t=281)$
B: $\left(=,{ }^{\prime}=\right)(t=286)$
B: ()--() ( $t=289)$
A: wow you're good at that ( $t=297$ )

## Session-17, Group-2

A: hey $(t=9)$
C: hello there ( $t=18$ )
B: hello ( $t=22$ )
A: so honeslty i've just been making like 60 my cut-off? $(t=37)$
C: Ive been doing about $60-65$ for the first one ( $t=51$ )
B: ive been using 40 just to be safe $(t=61)$
B: what are $u$ guys going to use on this round $(t=74)$
C: and then once Ive gotten to the 2 nd and 3 rd rounds Ive decreased it to like 40 and then 20 just to be safer $(t=79)$

A: uhhh, i'm probably going to stick to 60 ish $(t=95)$
A: idk really haha $(t=99)$
C: I think I'm going to do 60 too fir the first round $(t=111)$
B: lets hope it gives us all $100 \mathrm{~s}(t=116)$
A: yeah, forreal lol $(t=121)$
B: *all get 1s' line up for dimes $(t=141)$
A: i've been just trying to calculate it so i atleast come out with $\$ 20$ when i can ( $t=148$ )
A: wow, no don't even joke ( $t=155$ )
A: haha $(t=158)$
B: $\operatorname{lol}(t=159)$
C: did you guys decrease your cutoff as the rounds go on or no? $(t=166)$
A: the lowest i've gone is $50(t=175)$
B: i left mine at 40 worked good, either get $60+$ or $1(t=184)$
A: because we've had some rounds where the values were really low, but not too many ( $t=191$ )
A: I usually got pretty lucky I think $(t=199)$
C: yea i havent had too many in the 20-50 range ( $t=205$ )

A: all right, 60 s it is haha ( $t=255$ )
B: if we all have all put a high cut off do you think its better for this round ( $t=258$ )
A: uhhhh I think that's why I like being in the middle because it's kinda a catch all. ( $t=281$ )
A: like you might not make a lot but you probs won't get 0.01 either ( $t=291$ )
A: or $.10(t=295)$

## Session-17, Group-3

C: Hi! $(t=9)$
B: Hello! $(t=11)$
A: hi! $(t=16)$
C: How is everyone? $(t=18)$
A: kinda tired ( $t=23$ )
C: I hear ya. Me too $(t=30)$
B: Yeah $(t=33)$
C: Anyways, what would you guys like to do? $(t=46)$
A: Im not really sure ( $t=71$ )
C: Does anyone have any opinions about the study cut offs. $(t=75)$
B: my cutoff was 50 , what are yours? $(t=95)$
C: I am not really sure either. I had lower cut off during my game (1-10) ( $t=100$ )
A: mine was $35(t=109)$
B: 1-10 was kinda low, i think $(t=118)$
C: How did 50 work? Or 35 ? $(t=119)$
B: 50 give a lot of chance to get $100(t=127)$
C: Did it give you higher chances of having a better ball? ( $t=132$ )
B: yeah, like i have 5 times $100(t=151)$
A: I dont necesarily think so $(t=155)$
C: Good. My lower numbers did not work well for me $(t=166)$
B: how many $100 \operatorname{did} 35$ get? $(t=172)$
A: mm maybe like 5 or $6(t=186)$
C: I did it because at the beginning of the experiment I had several 1s going back to $1 \mathrm{~s}(t=197)$
C: Yeah, I had like 4 100s, and a few $80 \mathrm{~s}(t=211)$

C: You did better ( $t=214$ )
C: So it sounds like a slightly higher cut off gives better odds ( $t=$ 238)
C: Thanks for the good information ( $t=252$ )
A: okay so you want to go with the $50(t=253)$
C: I do ( $t=259$ )
C: Want to go with 50 , sorry $(t=264)$
A: lol its cool $(t=279)$
C: It seems like it yields better probability in the outcome ( $t=280$ )
C: Cool $(t=282)$
C: Good luck to everyone n the rest of the study ( $t=297$ )

## Session-17, Group-4

C: I've been using 60 as my minimum cutoff ( $t=22$ )
B: 50 seems to be the safest bet. Worked well so far. ( $t=28$ )
A: ive used 48 ( $t=33$ )
B: so lets averaged them? $(t=53)$
A: 49???? $(t=64)$
C: Around 52 or $53 ?(t=96)$
C: If we average the 3 ( $t=102$ )
B: yeah it would be 52 and change $(t=108)$
C: Ok so how about $52(t=117)$
B: works for me ( $t=122$ )
A: $\operatorname{hmmm}(t=130)$
A: what about a little lower $(t=161)$
B: why lower? $(t=169)$
B: $51 ?(t=184)$
A: what if it's $50(t=186)$
B: ok $(t=189)$
C: Works for me ( $t=192$ )
A: and then we get a $1(t=193)$
A: ok so $50(t=198)$

B: yes, 50 ( $t=203$ )
A: :) $(t=221)$

## Session-17, Group-5

C: soo what should we make the cutoff $(t=14)$
B: As the rounds go on, the chances that the ball the computer is holding has a really small value increases ( $t=31$ )
C: yea $(t=38)$
B: because in previous rounds, if someone had a small value they probably switched and gave it to the computer ( $t=55$ )

B: so what I've been doing is decreasing my cutoff values each round ( $t=66$ )
C: so as the rounds go on we should increase the cutoff $(t=67)$
A: go down by 5 for cutoff in each round? $(t=67)$
B: decrease ( $t=74$ )
C: decrease yea $(t=80)$
C: my bad haha ( $t=83$ )
B: I've been doing 50/40/20 $(t=93)$
B: idk what you guys think haha $(t=99)$
C: ive been doing 30/25/20 ( $t=107$ )
C: because im cool with $\$ 3(t=121)$
C: instead of potentially giving up close to $\$ 5(t=141)$
B: yeah that's true $(t=147)$
A: ive been $45 / 35 / 25(t=148)$
A: doesn't matter to me $(t=156)$
C: how about we do $40 / 30 / 20$ ? $(t=163)$
B: yeah sounds good $(t=169)$
A: perfect $(t=173)$
C: alright cool $(t=176)$
C: lets make some money $(t=182)$
B: we get matched with other people though anyway right haha $(t=185)$
C: oh idk whats the point of this then $(t=200)$
B: just to share strategies I guess lol $(t=213)$

C: true haha ( $t=220$ )
A: yeah it says above each team member will be assigned a different group ( $t=241$ )
C: well good luck ( $t=242$ )
B: yep to you guys too haha $(t=258)$
C: $\left.8^{\wedge}\right)-+((t=278)$

## Session-17, Group-6

A: Hello World! $(t=12)$
C: Hello ( $t=16$ )
B: hello $(t=18)$
B: what cutoff number do you guys feel is best/ $(t=64)$
A: What have you folks been using as your cutoff? $(t=65)$
A: I've been doing $20(t=82)$
B: ive been using everything above $60(t=85)$
C: So here are my thoughts: The chance of you getting a low \# that someone else switched out is based on which mover you are and what round it is. Typically I go with ~50 if I am mover 1 or 2 on the first round ( $t=96$ )

B: so is 50 the best option then? $(t=129)$
A: Alright so mover 1 and 2 we want to do 50 plus ( $t=131$ )
B: that sounds good $(t=152)$
C: Then drop down for each subsequent round. Because you get stuck with what you switch too and as time goes on that is much more likely to be a low \# ( $t=154$ )

A: So thats round $1(t=161)$
A: Do the same thing for round $2 ? ?(t=169)$
B: yeah we should $(t=191)$
A: Alright want to drop to 40? $(t=197)$
B: it feels better biting doin $(t=202)$
B: yeah we should drop to $40(t=218)$
C: I'd say if you are mover 1 or 2 , you do 50/40/20. Mover 3 40/30/20 ( $t=230$ )
A: Follow that exactly $(t=240)$
C: Or something like that ( $t=243$ )
B: ok gotcha ( $t=255$ )

A: ;) $(t=273)$
$\mathbf{C}$ : Go team! :) ( $t=291$ )
A: Let $(t=296)$

## Session-18, Group-1

B: wazzzzzzzzzzzzzzup any ideas? $(t=9)$
C: noooope ( $t=16$ )
B: ive just put 40 everytime haha $(t=33)$
C: i did 49 everytime $(t=46)$
A: i did 10 everytime $(t=58)$
B: sooooooooo000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000 should we all put 10 orrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr $(t=101)$
C: hmmmm ( $t=104$ )
C: my brain hurts i just wanna leave $(t=129)$
A: haha $(t=136)$
B: if i walk outta here with 20 bucks ill be happy ( $t=153$ )
C: ya if it's less i'll feel like i wasted my time ( $t=177$ )
C: but does 10 work/? $(t=185)$
B: i think imma stick with 40 , it worked for me nice ( $t=216$ )
C: same w 49 hehe ( $t=228$ )
B: alright so basically we all just wasted 5 min here ( $t=245$ )
B: GO TEAM ( $t=248$ )
C: other people r typin so much like damn what are they plannin out here ( $t=257$ )
B: world domination ( $t=266$ )
A: no idea ( $t=267$ )
C: may the odds be ever in your favor $(t=288)$
C: good luck yalllllll ( $t=294$ )

## Session-18, Group-2

B: hi $(t=10)$
C: I always put $42(t=14)$
C: every time ( $t=17$ )

A: I went 70 pretty much everytime ( $t=26$ )
B: im cool w/ $42(t=35)$
A: It was pretty successful $(t=42)$
C: 42 was also successful $(t=52)$
A: I got 100 a good amount of times $(t=54)$
C: i think they had higher values for the last part than the first $(t=66)$
$\mathbf{C}$ : is this the team or do we get new teams? $(t=78)$
A: We are collectively deciding on one value right? ( $t=79$ )
B: i also went in the 40 's and got 1006 -10 times ( $t=82$ )
C: me too ( $t=92$ )
B: this is our team $(t=94)$
B: idt we all have to say the same amount tho $(t=111)$
A: I know but are we deciding on one value collectively? $(t=113)$
B: i dont belive so, just talking about a gameplan ( $t=128$ )
B: i just dont want .10 tbh $(t=167)$
A: ok so who ever gets to decide, you guys want to go in the 40 s? $(t=171)$
A: I would prefer to go a little higher $(t=185)$
A: like $60 \mathrm{~s}(t=193)$
A: ???? $(t=226)$
C: i guess the question is, if we all put the same number, will we get the lower thing that was given up by someone if they accepted the higher one ( $t=230$ )

B: i think we all play the round with other people like normal and then one of our values in chosen as our payment ( $t=261$ )
B: so we dont all have to say the same \# ( $t=272$ )
A: Whats the point of the chat then? $(t=287)$
C: if we were all successful, should we just play as we had been ( $t=292$ )

## Session-18, Group-3

B: any of you know what we're supposed to be discussing? $(t=47)$
C: What the minimum should be? $(t=57)$
B: Awesome. Thanks $(t=70)$
C: maybe 60? ( $t=83$ )

A: so what value are you planning to set $(t=85)$
A: i guess it can be lower, around 50 ? $(t=128)$
C: that sounds good ( $t=137$ )
A: ^ ^ $(t=153)$
C: so we all set 50 as minimum ( $t=212$ )
A: yes, i would do this $(t=237)$
B: we'll all be matched to different groups. I'm not quite sure why it would matter whether or not we all picked the same ( $t=255$ )

A: oh ( $t=267$ )
A: i thought we will be in the same group... sry ( $t=284$ )
C: same ( $t=288$ )
A: good luck ( $t=299$ )
B: 50 would work if we were all together, but in separate groups, we should probably just do as before ( $t=300$ )

## Session-18, Group-4

C: hello ( $t=56$ )
A: hello $(t=64)$
B: hey hows it going ( $t=81$ )
C: oh you know pretty solid ( $t=91$ )
A: i really have to pee ( $t=98$ )
$\mathbf{C}$ : thanks for the info ( $t=107$ )
B: Im getting sleepy $(t=110)$
C: $(t=121)$
C: lets all just get 100 on this last level so we're gtd the $\$ 10(t=121)$
A: are we supposed to be deciding anything ( $t=136$ )
C: not really just talking about what our cutoffs will be ( $t=152$ )
A: mine has been 50 the whole time $(t=163)$
C: i set 50 for first mover and less for second \& third ( $t=178$ )
C: but its mostly luck ( $t=193$ )
B: Mine are 20 if Im the first or second mover; after that I use 2 ( $t=220$ )
C: the key is to just get a 100 ball $(t=266)$
C: gl ( $t=298$ )

## Session-18, Group-5

C: What's good team $(t=15)$
A: hey team! My strategy has been to choose a low cutoff (between 10 and 25) since there seem be a lot of 1 s in each round and it seems better to keep anything above 1 even if it's not that high of a number $(t=58)$

A: sorry that was super detailed $(t=95)$
C: I've been going around 40-50. Mine have had a lot of $100 \mathrm{~s}(t=121)$
A: i think it is good to choose a higher cutoff if you are mover $1(t=154)$
A: i have just by chance been mover 3 a lot, which means I am more likely to get someone's discarded $1(t=170)$

B: I choose a cutoff value of 50 . Only because there seem to be more numbers above 50 than below ( $t=183$ )

C: I would agree with that. I sort of assume the later you move the worse the remaining ball is ( $t=195$ )

B: that's true. It's better to find out early what the value of your ball is. And keep it if it is high. ( $t=243$ )

A: I guess I am not sure if I should change my strategy of choosing a lower-ish cutoff to choosing a slightly higher one ( $t=268$ )

C: May the force be with you ( $t=298$ )

## Session-18, Group-6

B: k , so is this supposed to be like economics omegle? $(t=30)$
C: basically ( $t=46$ )
B: anyone had an econ course yet? $(t=56)$
B: I'm minoring in it ( $t=62$ )
A: My strategy is to start my cutoff highest in the first round, usually around 50 . Then I decrease it as the rounds go on, to 40 then to 30 or something like that. ( $t=78$ )

B: I figure this: $(t=92)$
B: $25 \%$ for both 1 and $100(t=98)$
A: But sorry no I have not taken any econ class in college. $(t=98)$
B: after that, $50 \%$ for above $50(t=116)$
$\mathbf{C}$ : Is it just me or is there more like a $75 \%$ chance of a $1(t=121)$
B: there is a $26 \%$ chance for a $1(t=134)$
B: $26 \%$ for $100(t=144)$

C: Right i get that but there's been like 3 ones in each group i've been in ( $t=151$ )
B: the rest is random $(t=153)$
B: Yea, and I've been denied seeing my number like 30 times ( $t=171$ )
C: Like multiple 1's so even when I switch a 1 I get a 1 haha ( $t=177$ )
B: it's just bad luck haha $(t=181)$
B: happened to me to $(t=188)$
B: too* $(t=190)$
A: Same. $(t=194)$
B: yo who's tryna get some food after? $1 \mathrm{lol}(t=213)$
B: okay but just go 50 and then $u$ have a $2 / 3$ rds chance of getting above your cutoff ( $t=240$ )
C: okie will do $(t=256)$
B: econ minor, business major, had stats, those are my qualifications xDD ( $t=274$ )
A: v hungry but I have class after :( But basically if you haven't seen the number by the third time but like 2 as your cutoff so we dont get 10 cents plz ( $t=283$ )

## Session-19, Group-1

B: So... does anybody have any strategies? $(t=20)$
B: Or is this all up to chance? $(t=49)$
C: i haven't found any strategic way to go about this...just been picking a number i would be ok with getting ( $t=95$ )

B: Same ( $t=115$ )
A: ive been picking low numbers and it's been turning out well for me.. ( $t=128$ )
B: How low? $(t=137)$
A: like single digits $(t=149)$
A: but i feel like theres an element of teamwork here ( $t=167$ )
A: maybe two people pick low and one pick high? $(t=174)$
A: honestly i have no idea.. ( $t=181$ )
B: We could try that ( $t=187$ )
C: ya works for me ( $t=196$ )
B: So, i'll pick low I guess ( $t=206$ )
A: haha ok $(t=212)$
C: ill go high ( $t=226$ )

A: i can pick low ( $t=228$ )
C: how high do you guys want me to go? $(t=239)$
A: have you guys been picking high or low and how has that been working out for you? ( $t=252$ )
B: I've been picking 40 and have gotten mixed results $(t=266)$
C: ive been going around $30-40$ and its been going pretty good $(t=269)$
A: ok so maybe two high and one low ( $t=279$ )
C: when we say high what are we talking about ( $t=294$ )
A: because low has been real good $(t=294)$

## Session-19, Group-2

C: What have you all been making your cutoffs? ( $t=42$ )
B: 30 fam $(t=66)$
A: I have been making mine at $50(t=71)$
C: i have been doing 50 too ( $t=82$ )
B: amateurs lol ( $t=276$ )

## Session-19, Group-3

C: what cutoff's have you guys been using ( $t=37$ )
A: i used 30 for every one $(t=50)$
$\mathbf{C}$ : i used $60(t=57)$
B: I've been using 40 every time and it's been working pretty well ( $t=60$ )
A: yea i feel like the lowerish ones have pretty good outcomes $(t=82)$
C: alrighty so what do you guys suggest we use? 30,40 ? $(t=116)$
A: $35 ?(t=126)$
C: sweet sounds good to met $(t=143)$
C: $\mathrm{me}^{*}(t=149)$
B: yeah that works $(t=155)$
C: lets make some cash $\$ \$ \$(t=290)$

## Session-19, Group-4

C: hello ( $t=25$ )

B: hi $(t=28)$
A: hi $(t=30)$
B: i say we make cut off $55(t=36)$
B: or $60(t=56)$
B: $\operatorname{idk}(t=57)$
A: i have been doing 60 or $65(t=64)$
C: ive been going lower $(t=73)$
B: like what $(t=84)$
C: like 40's $(t=89)$
A: has it been working well $(t=94)$
C: yeah $(t=98)$
C: but we could do $55(t=113)$
C: that makes sense ( $t=118$ )
A: same here so i say $55(t=125)$
B: okay perfect ( $t=136$ )
B: good talk $(t=141)$
A: good luck ppl $(t=150)$
B: thx u too $(t=155)$
B: hopefully we all make $\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$(t=167)$
A: in desperate need of it always $(t=182)$
B: \#collegelife ( $t=191$ )

## Session-19, Group-5

A: $\operatorname{Hi}(t=19)$
B: hey $(t=23)$
C: $\operatorname{Sup}(t=27)$
C: I usually go 755025 ( $t=39$ )
B: i do $57,54,50(t=60)$
B: \#risky $(t=70)$
$\mathbf{C}$ : i guess the goal is to get the lowest possible value into the computers hands $(t=75)$
A: I've been doing $(t=76)$

A: $50(t=84)$
A: how can we do that $(t=122)$
B: pray $(t=148)$
C: Basically lol ( $t=158$ )
C: There's usually one ball thats pretty low (Like sub 10) right? ( $t=182$ )
A: yeah, but i have seen a couple low balls in one cycle ( $t=216$ )
B: yeah. i can only remember one instance where it was like 1001009968 ( $t=220$ )
B: one for me was 1111 ( $t=240$ )
A: i guess the smaller the cutoff we do the better for all of us for the most part? ( $t=276$ )
B: well, do good guys! maybe 30 as cutoff? ( $t=287$ )
B: or 20 ? $(t=295)$
C: $31(t=297)$
A: sounds good to me! ( $t=298$ )
C: : P (t=299)

## Session-19, Group-6

C: Any ideas? ( $t=34$ )
B: Initial thoughts? $(t=37)$
A: 50 ? $(t=67)$
B: 35 ? $(t=78)$
C: Yeah 35 sounds good, so a lower value is switched out $(t=121)$
A: $\operatorname{Cool}(t=129)$
B: It has worked well for me in previous cycles $(t=155)$
A: I've been doing well with $65(t=176)$
A: But 35 is more safe ( $t=188$ )
B: How many .10 vs 100 ? $(t=193)$
C: I agree with $35(t=213)$
B: 35 it is $(t=240)$
A: Sounds good ( $t=248$ )

## Session-20, Group-1

A: hi guys ( $t=18$ )
B: hello ( $t=23$ )
C: hi ( $t=24$ )
A: what do we want to do for cutoffs $(t=25)$
B: what have u guys been doing prior $(t=37)$
B: ive been doing $70(t=42)$
C: i've been keeping mine pretty low, around 40 ( $t=53$ )
A: i think we should start out higher and then go lower in the rounds $(t=61)$
C: yeah i agree ( $t=71$ )
B: that would work ( $t=77$ )
A: so first round we should do 70 ? $(t=98)$
B: im good with that $(t=111)$
C: okay ( $t=113$ )
B: then have like 40 be our lowest ( $t=126$ )
A: ok ( $t=130$ )
C: sounds good ( $t=134$ )
A: so never go lower than 40 ? $(t=162)$
C: yeah maybe 40 for the last round? ( $t=176$ )
B: how many rounds are there again? $(t=185)$
C: 3 ( $t=194$ )
A: three ( $t=194$ )
A: so 70 for the first, 40 for the last $(t=206)$
A: what about the middle round? ( $t=217$ )
B: what about the second round ( $t=220$ )
B: 55?? ( $t=228$ )
C: somewhere in the middle ( $t=232$ )
A: that works ( $t=232$ )
C: yeah ( $t=234$ )
B: okay lol $(t=236)$
A: let's hope were lucky haha ( $t=245$ )

A: or one of us is I guess ( $t=257$ )
C: haha yeah ( $t=263$ )
C: good luck guys ( $t=289$ )
A: same to you ( $t=294$ )
B: you too! $(t=299)$

## Session-20, Group-2

A: Hello world! $(t=12)$
B: hey $(t=37)$
C: $\operatorname{Sup}(t=43)$
A: Does anyone have a good strategy for this? $(t=48)$
B: i'm just going to keep doing it how i did before tbh ( $t=72$ )
A: Yeah thats how I feel too $(t=85)$
C: same ( $t=92$ )
B: cool cool $(t=108)$
A: since we do not know the order of the chosers, we cannot really make a susinct gameplan $(t=126)$
A: did anyone watch south park on wednesday? $(t=141)$
B: nah i don't like that show $(t=159)$
C: No was it a good episode? $(t=162)$
A: yeah it was pretty funny $(t=178)$
C: I'll have to watch it over the weekend $(t=193)$
A: Im sorry for you member B $(t=194)$
C: Anyone into AHS ( $t=203$ )
B: nope guess i just live under a rock ( $t=227$ )
A: I was invited over someones house tonight to watch it but ive never seen it before ( $t=228$ )
B: t-minus 1 minute thank god $(t=251)$
C: It's really good kind of a creepy show tho ( $t=256$ )
A: yeah this sucks $(t=258)$
C: yeah I just wanna nap tbh $(t=264)$
A: \#dicksoutforharambe ( $t=273$ )
C: RIP ( $t=284$ )
B: fuck penn state ( $t=289$ )
C: ${ }^{\wedge \cdots へ へ}(t=294)$

## Session-20, Group-3

C: greetings $(t=8)$
A: hello ( $t=27$ )
B: hey $(t=44)$
A: what are you thinking $(t=49)$
A: im not really sure how we help each other $(t=66)$
C: My thought process is to set your first cutoff highest, second cutoff lower than that, and third cutoff lower than that because each successive round there is a greater chance that someone else switched with the computer ball and therefore gave $(t=89)$

A: yeah thats a good idea $(t=109)$
C: so i usually set my fist at 50 , second 40 , and third about $45(t=120)$
C: third about $35^{* * *}(t=126)$
B: well thats good with me $(t=128)$
A: yeah ive been always doing 50 pretty much but thats a better idea $(t=138)$
A: i will do that $(t=150)$
C: have you guys found a different successful strategy $(t=168)$
B: I just kind of eyeball it but If thats been working for you $(t=176)$
C: i dont think we're allowed to say specifically but yes it's been working for me ( $t=200$ )
A: okay $\operatorname{good}(t=208)$
C: best of luck ( $t=215$ )
A: thanks you too ( $t=220$ )

## Session-20, Group-4

C: if you're the first mover set your threshold pretty high ( $t=42$ )
C: like around 60-75 $(t=50)$
C: if you're second mover set it around 40-50 ( $t=63$ )
A: and go down depending on what mover you are $(t=65)$
C: and if you're third set it at like $25(t=74)$
C: ^what team member A said $(t=85)$
C: Team Member B you got it? $(t=113)$
B: yes $(t=119)$
C: alright cool $(t=126)$

A: sounds good ( $t=149$ )
C: about to make some $\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$(t=276)$
A: let's hope $(t=293)$
C: we got this ( $t=297$ )

## Session-20, Group-5

A: hi $(t=9)$
B: hey ( $t=29$ )
C: hi $(t=50)$
A: does anyone have a stradegy $(t=54)$
C: what do you think our cutoffs should be $(t=59)$
A: I've just been using $50(t=76)$
B: same $(t=87)$
A: do you want to use that or something else $(t=118)$
B: sounds good to me, C are you good with it? ( $t=144$ )
C: I've actually used a cutoff of 2 for a lot of the rounds, seeing as that anything is better than a $\$ 0.10$ payoff $(t=166)$

C: but i'm good with 50 if you guys want to do that ( $t=179$ )
A: has 2 worked for you for the most part ( $t=197$ )
C: yeah for the most part, because when you use 50 i feel like you end up losing a lot of opportunities to get more than $\$ 0.10(t=239)$

B: using 50 I dont think I got .10 once and I got about $5 \$ 10.00(t=246)$
C: up to you guys though ( $t=252$ )
A: doesnt matter to me $(t=270)$
B: how did 50 work for you A? $(t=273)$
A: i only got .10 twice ( $t=292$ )
C: okay we can do 50 ( $t=297$ )
A: so good i guess ( $t=297$ )

## Session-20, Group-6

C: how should we choose ( $t=41$ )
B: I have no idea $(t=65)$

C: i guess just make the cut off higher rather than lower? $(t=88)$
A: just make sure we get a number larger than $1(t=97)$
B: yeah thats what i was thinking. Make the cutoff a bit higher than usual. $(t=122)$
C: okay sounds good ( $t=134$ )
C: i feel like its all random anyway ( $t=210$ )
A: yeah theres no way to predict anything ( $t=227$ )
B: Lets just hope the person selected gets 100 off the bat ( $t=260$ )
C: yeah ( $t=278$ )


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The experimenter read the instructions aloud after Part 1 had ended. Slides were used to show screenshots and emphasize important points (see section B.5. The text was identical for all treatments, and the accompanying slides differ only for treatment S-Within.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ The experimenter distributed the handouts before showing the slides and reading the script. They served as a consultation material for participants.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The experimenter read the instructions aloud after Part 2 had ended. Slides were used to show screenshots and emphasize important points (see section B.8).

