

Appendix Table 4: Local average treatment effects with high “Social and Economic Status” interaction

	Control	$E$	$SES$	$E$ $\times$ $SES$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Panel A: Primary energy outcomes</i>				
A1. Grid connected (%)	5.6 [23.0]	—	—	—
A2. Monthly electricity spending (USD)	0.14 [0.91]	1.86*** (0.13)	-0.05 (0.09)	1.19*** (0.38)
<i>Panel B: Additional energy outcomes</i>				
B1. Electricity as main lighting (%)	5.2 [22.2]	88.4*** (2.4)	-0.5 (0.8)	2.8 (3.9)
B2. Number of appliance types owned	1.8 [1.3]	0.4*** (0.1)	0.6*** (0.1)	-0.2 (0.2)
B3. Owns mobile phone (%)	84.3 [36.4]	-5.0* (2.7)	4.9*** (1.9)	7.4* (4.4)
B4. Owns radio (%)	54.2 [49.8]	7.7** (3.8)	12.5*** (3.5)	-13.1* (7.5)
B5. Owns television (%)	17.9 [38.4]	13.3*** (3.8)	17.0*** (3.2)	-5.6 (7.9)
B6. Owns iron (%)	4.1 [19.9]	3.3** (1.4)	5.7*** (1.9)	-3.5 (3.7)
B7. Monthly kerosene spending (USD)	2.81 [2.86]	-1.29*** (0.17)	-0.43** (0.19)	0.43 (0.35)
B8. Monthly total energy spending (USD)	11.66 [28.47]	0.62 (2.34)	2.28 (1.85)	-5.06 (3.20)
B9. Solar home system as main lighting (%)	11.8 [32.3]	-9.3*** (1.3)	12.5*** (2.5)	-13.2*** (3.3)

(Table continued on next page)

(Table continued from previous page)

	Control	$E$	$SES$	$E$ $\times$ $SES$
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
<i>Panel C: Primary economic outcomes</i>				
C1. Household employed or own business (%)	36.8 [38.8]	6.2* (3.7)	12.5*** (2.6)	-4.3 (5.9)
— Household women-only (%)	34.5 [44.5]	11.3*** (4.1)	15.8*** (3.1)	-6.9 (7.6)
— Household men-only (%)	40.2 [45.6]	0.8 (4.2)	6.5* (3.6)	2.0 (7.6)
C3. Total hours worked last week	47.0 [24.7]	-2.1 (1.5)	2.6 (1.7)	1.5 (2.9)
C4. Total asset value (USD)	914 [961]	150 (136)	352*** (85)	232 (203)
C5. Per capita cons. of major items (USD)	133 [142]	0 (12)	45*** (10)	-30 (20)
<i>Panel D: Primary non-economic outcomes</i>				
D1. Recent health symptoms index	0 [1]	-0.06 (0.08)	0.23*** (0.08)	0.01 (0.18)
D2. Normalized life satisfaction	0 [1]	0.21*** (0.08)	0.08 (0.06)	-0.27** (0.14)
D3. Avg. student test Z-score	0 [1]	0 (0)	0.39*** (0.09)	0 (0)
D5. Political and social awareness index	0 [1]	0.05 (0.06)	0.32*** (0.07)	-0.28* (0.16)
<i>Panel E: Mean treatment effects on grouped outcomes</i>				
E1. Economic Index (C outcomes)	0 [1]	0.10 (0.09)	0.48*** (0.07)	-0.01 (0.17)
E2. Non-Economic Index (D outcomes)	0 [1]	0.03 (0.07)	0.41*** (0.07)	-0.29 (0.18)

*Notes:* We report coefficients from separate instrumental variables regressions in which household electrification status ( $E$ ) and the interaction between  $E$  and  $SES$  are instrumented with the three subsidy treatment indicators (as well as their interactions with  $SES$ ).  $SES$  is a binary variable indicating whether a household is in the upper quartile of the Social and Economic Status index constructed using observable characteristics at baseline (see Appendix Table 3 for a list of components). Regressions are estimated using only round 1 data (roughly 16 months post-connection). All specifications include pre-specified household, student, and community covariates, excluding those captured in  $SES$ . See Appendix Table B6A in Lee, Miguel, and Wolfram (2019) for additional results. Robust standard errors clustered at the community level in parentheses. Asterisks indicate coefficient statistical significance level (2-tailed): \* $P < 0.10$ ; \*\* $P < 0.05$ ; \*\*\* $P < 0.01$ .