When Sarah Meets Lawrence: The Effect of Coeducation on Women's College Major Choices

Avery Calkins <sup>1</sup> Ariel J. Binder <sup>2</sup> Dana Shaat <sup>3</sup> Brenden Timpe <sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RAND Corporation

<sup>2</sup>U.S. Census Bureau

<sup>3</sup>American Institutes for Research

<sup>4</sup>University of Nebraska - Lincoln

August 2022

• Despite overtaking men in college degree attainment, women remain less likely to earn degrees in lucrative fields like STEM

- Despite overtaking men in college degree attainment, women remain less likely to earn degrees in lucrative fields like STEM
- Economists have increasingly considered explanations borrowed from the psychology and sociology literature (Bertrand 2011)
  - Aversion to competition (Gneezy et al. 2003; Niederle and Vesterlund) 2007, 2008)
  - Marriage market penalties (Bursztyn et al. 2017)
  - Influence of female role models and peers (Carrell et al. 2010; Huntingdon-Klein and Rose 2018; Zolitz and Feld 2018; Bostwick and Weinberg 2021)

- Despite overtaking men in college degree attainment, women remain less likely to earn degrees in lucrative fields like STEM
- Economists have increasingly considered explanations borrowed from the psychology and sociology literature (Bertrand 2011)
  - Aversion to competition (Gneezy et al. 2003; Niederle and Vesterlund 2007, 2008)
  - Marriage market penalties (Bursztyn et al. 2017)
  - Influence of female role models and peers (Carrell et al. 2010; Huntingdon-Klein and Rose 2018; Zolitz and Feld 2018; Bostwick and Weinberg 2021)
- Our question: How do features of the *gendered collegiate environment* affect women's choice of college major?

- Despite overtaking men in college degree attainment, women remain less likely to earn degrees in lucrative fields like STEM
- Economists have increasingly considered explanations borrowed from the psychology and sociology literature (Bertrand 2011)
  - Aversion to competition (Gneezy et al. 2003; Niederle and Vesterlund 2007, 2008)
  - Marriage market penalties (Bursztyn et al. 2017)
  - Influence of female role models and peers (Carrell et al. 2010; Huntingdon-Klein and Rose 2018; Zolitz and Feld 2018; Bostwick and Weinberg 2021)
- Our question: How do features of the *gendered collegiate environment* affect women's choice of college major?
- Our setting: The decline of women's colleges in the United States

## The decline of women's colleges



## The decline of women's colleges



## The decline of women's colleges



- Sources of data:
  - ► IPEDS/HEGIS degree completions by field, sex, institution, and year, 1965-2016

< A > <

3 N 2 1 2 N 0 0

- Sources of data:
  - ► IPEDS/HEGIS degree completions by field, sex, institution, and year, 1965-2016
  - HERI Freshman Survey: Characteristics of entering freshmen, 1966-2006

3/11

▲ 同 ▶ → 三 ▶

- Sources of data:
  - ► IPEDS/HEGIS degree completions by field, sex, institution, and year, 1965-2016
  - HERI Freshman Survey: Characteristics of entering freshmen, 1966-2006
- Main outcome of interest: Share of women earning a degree in a given field (e.g., STEM)

▲ ∃ ► ∃ =

3/11

- Sources of data:
  - ► IPEDS/HEGIS degree completions by field, sex, institution, and year, 1965-2016
  - HERI Freshman Survey: Characteristics of entering freshmen, 1966-2006
- Main outcome of interest: Share of women earning a degree in a given field (e.g., STEM)
- Empirical strategy: Diff-in-diff design using modified version of estimator proposed by Callaway and Sant'Anna (2021):

$$\hat{\alpha}_{jt} = \underbrace{(y_{jt} - y_{jb})}_{\text{Trend at}} - \underbrace{\sum_{k \in C_j} \tilde{\omega}_k \cdot (y_{kt} - y_{kb})}_{\text{Counterfactual trend}}$$









## What did women choose instead of STEM?



#### Mechanisms

• Shift in distribution of women's majors could stem from responses along several margins

< A > <

JOC ELE

#### Mechanisms

- Shift in distribution of women's majors could stem from responses along several margins
- **Composition effect:** Women interested in STEM may choose different schools

JOC ELE

6/11

#### Mechanisms

- Shift in distribution of women's majors could stem from responses along several margins
- **Composition effect:** Women interested in STEM may choose different schools
- Environmental effect: The changing social and educational environment may affect choices *holding enrollment decisions fixed* 
  - ► Gender-neutral factors: class sizes, "ability" of classmates
  - Gender-biased neoclassical factors, e.g., marriage market concerns (Bursztyn, Fujiwara, and Pallais 2017)
  - Gendered "non-cognitive" channels, e.g., reluctance to compete (Bertrand 2011)

- 4月 - 4日 - 4日 - 5日 - 900

## Effects on women's rank in GPA distribution



### Share of freshman women intending to major in STEM



### Share of freshman women intending to major in STEM



## Do freshman characteristics predict STEM degrees?

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)			
Panel A: Effect of freshman characteristics on women's likelihood of earning STEM degree							
Effect of intent to major in STEM	0.336*** (0.040)	0.333*** (0.040)	0.332*** (0.040)	0.317*** (0.041)			
Covariates: Career, family aspirations Parental education, occupation High school grades, coursework		х	x x	X X X			
R-squared Observations	0.191 1,235	0.199 1,235	0.205 1,235	0.215 1,235			
Panel B: Effect of coeducation on predicted share of female freshmen who will major in STEM, preferred comparison group							
Estimated composition effect	0.005 (0.008)	0.009 (0.010)	0.009 (0.010)	0.012 (0.011)			
$\begin{array}{l} \mbox{Composition effect} \ / \ \mbox{Total effect of coeducation} \\ \mbox{on STEM major choice} \end{array}$	-16%	-28%	-27%	-37%			
Composition effect upper bound	32%	32%	31%	29%			

Image: A math

EL SQA

## Conclusion

- We develop a new setting to examine the impact of the gender mix of the college environment on women's choice of major
- We find that the introduction of male classmates leads to a 3pp (30%) decrease in the share of women earning a degree in STEM
- Analysis of mechanisms finds no evidence of changes in composition of female students, but is most consistent with effects of gendered peer and role model effects
- Back-of-the-envelope calculation: Exposure to male classmates can explain 36 percent of the 16.5pp gender gap in STEM.
- These results suggest that consequential decisions about women's careers can be impacted in a significant way by the gender composition of the classroom and social environment

#### Thank you!

AE	· /	\n	alier	
~L.		ואי	Juec	4

# Appendix

・ロト < 団ト < ヨト < ヨト < ヨト < ロト</li>

### Trends in gender differences



August 2022

< 回 > < 三 > < 三

三日 のへの

## Student characteristics



ъ

Changes in the gender mix of students, faculty



## Effects similar in all major quantitative fields



When Sarah Meets Lawrence

## Intended career: Science

