# Building Nations Through Shared Experiences: Evidence from African Football Online Appendix (Not For Publication)

Emilio Depetris-Chauvin\* Ruben Durante<sup>†</sup> Filipe Campante<sup>‡</sup>
September 2019

#### **ABSTRACT**

This document contains the Online Appendix tables and figures referred to in "Building Nations Through Shared Experiences: Evidence from African Footbal".

<sup>\*</sup>Instituto de Economía, Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Email: edepetris@uc.cl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>ICREA, Universitat Pompeu Fabra, Barcelona GSE, IPEG, and CEPR. E-mail: ruben.durante@upf.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Johns Hopkins University and NBER. E-mail: fcampante@jhu.edu

TABLE A.1: COUNTRY-YEARS IN SAMPLES

## LEVEL OF ANALYSIS

COUNTRY	INDIVIDUAL (AFROBAROMETER)	COUNTRY (CONFLICT)
ANGOLA	NA	1997, 2001, 2011, 2012
BENIN	2008, 2014	2003, 2007
BOTSWANA	2003, 2005, 2008, 2014	2012
BURKINA FASO	2008	2001, 2005, 2012
CAPE VERDE	2005, 2008, 2014	NA
CAMEROON	2013	2011, 2012
CAR	NA	2011, 2012
CONGO	NA	1999, 2014
DRC	NA	1997, 2001, 2003, 2005, 2007, 2012, 2014
<b>EQUATORIAL GUINEA</b>	NA	2007, 2012
ERITREA	NA	2007
ETHIOPIA	NA	2012
GABON	NA	2001, 2007, 2012
GAMBIA	NA	2007, 2011
GHANA	2002, 2005, 2012, 2014	2012
GUINEA	2013	1999, 2007, 2009, 2011, 2012, 2014
IVORY COAST	2013, 2014	1999, 2003, 2007, 2012
KENYA	2005, 2011	2003, 2011
LESOTHO	2008, 2014	2001
LIBERIA	2012	1997, 1999, 2012
MADAGASCAR	2008	2001, 2003
MALAWI	2005, 2008, 2012	1997, 2009, 2011, 2012, 2014
MALI	2002, 2005, 2013, 2014	1997, 1999, 2003, 2011, 2012, 2014
MOZAMBIQUE	2015	1997, 2007, 2012, 2014
NAMIBIA	2008	1997, 2007
NIGER	2013	2011, 2012
NIGERIA	2005, 2008, 2013	2011, 2012, 2014
RWANDA	NA	2003, 2009
SENEGAL	2005, 2008, 2014	1997, 2007, 2012
SIERRA LEONE	2012, 2015	2003, 2011, 2012
SOUTH AFRICA	2002, 2006, 2011, 2015	2003, 2005, 2007, 2011
SUDAN	NA	2007, 2011, 2012
TANZANIA	2003, 2008, 2012	NA
TOGO	2014	1999, 2003, 2012, 2014
UGANDA	2002, 2008, 2012	1999, 2003, 2007, 2011, 2012, 2014
ZAMBIA	2003, 2009, 2012, 2013	2001, 2003, 2009, 2012, 2014
ZIMBABWE	NA	1997, 2001, 2003, 2011, 2012

Year reported for individual level analysis corresponds to the date of interviews exploited in the analysis. Year reported for country level analysis corresponds to the end of qualification process to the ACN.

TABLE A.2: LANGUAGE GROUP VS ETHNICITY FE

Dependent Variable:	Dependent Variable: Ethnic over National Identity (0-1 dummy)					
	(1)	(2)	(3)			
Post-Victory	-0.053	-0.053	-0.049			
	(0.016)	(0.018)	(0.017)			
	[0.010]	[0.010]	[0.013]			
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Identity × Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Identity	Language	Language	Ethnicity			
Sample	Main	Overlapping	Overlapping			
Observations	37,060	32,844	32,809			
R-squared	0.104	0.100	0.101			

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted p-values are reported in square brackets Anderson (2008). The outcomes (all dummies) accounted for in the p-value adjustment are: ethnic over national identity, trust in countrymen, inter-ethnic trust, like neighbors from other ethnicities, dislike foreign neighbors, trust ruling party, president's approval, and 4 indicators for the assessment of present and future own and country's economic conditions. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed within 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise.

TABLE A.3: SUMMARY STATISTICS MAIN VARIABLES

Variable: Number of Conflict Events	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
All	2.768	6.394	0	72
All (log + 1)	0.696	0.957	0	4.29
Ethnic (log + 1)	0.08	0.317	0	3.091
Strong Political Power (log + 1)	0.06	0.266	0	3.611
Weak Political Power (log + 1)	0.312	0.691	0	3.912
No Linguistic Diversity (log + 1)	0.09	0.33	0	3.807
High Linguistic Diversity (log + 1)	0.534	0.868	0	4.19
Conflict With 10 or More Fatalities (log + 1)	0.108	0.364	0	3.296
Conflict With 25 or More Fatalities (log + 1)	0.046	0.223	0	2.398
Conflict With 50 or More Fatalities (log + 1)	0.024	0.15	0	2.079
Variable: Qualification Status				
Post-Qualification	0.225	0.417	0	1
Overdue Qualification	0.486	0.5	0	1
First Time Qualification	0.147	0.354	0	1

Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process for 109 country × qualification campaigns (5450 country-qualification campaign × week observations). Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, 0 otherwise. An overdue (first-time) qualification is defined as reaching the last match-day with chances of qualifying to the ACN finals after 3 or more years (for the very first time). Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.4: SUMMARY STATISTICS ADDITIONAL VARIABLES

	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.
Conflict Dummy	0.444	0.497	0	1
Ethnic Conflict Dummy	0.072	0.259	0	1
Conflict Dummy - Strong Political Power	0.06	0.238	0	1
Conflict Dummy - Weak Political Power	0.211	0.408	0	1
Conflict Dummy - No Linguistic Diversity	0.086	0.28	0	1
Conflict Dummy - High Linguistic Diversity	0.35	0.477	0	1
Fatalities - All Conflicts (log + 1)	0.62	1.339	0	7.682
Fatalities - Ethnic Conflict (log + 1)	0.144	0.679	0	7.026
Fatalities - Strong Political Power (log + 1)	0.036	0.312	0	5.513
Fatalities - Weak Political Power (log + 1)	0.291	0.925	0	7.209
Fatalities - No Linguistic Diversity (log + 1)	0.024	0.221	0	5.081
Fatalities - High Linguistic Diversity (log + 1)	0.533	1.259	0	7.682

Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process for 109 country  $\times$  qualification campaigns (5450 country-qualification campaign  $\times$  week observations). Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.5: SUMMARY STATISTICS

Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min.	Max.	N
Ethnic over National Identity (dummy)	0.142	0.349	0.	1	37134
Ethnic over National Identity (ordered)	1.414	1.199	0	4	33684
Post-Play	0.466	0.499	0	1	37134
Post-Victory	0.198	0.398	0	1	37134
Post- Defeat	0.193	0.395	0	1	37134
Post-Draw	0.075	0.263	0	1	37134
Trust in Countrymen	0.432	0.495	0	1	9374
Inter-Ethnic Trust	0.444	0.497	0	1	7973
Like neighbors from Other Ethnicities	0.599	0.490	0	1	7521
Dislike Foreign neighbors	0.192	0.394	0	1	7508
Trust in Ruling Party	0.270	0.444	0	1	35427
President's Approval	0.643	0.479	0	1	35476
Country's Economic Conditions Today (1 = Positive)	0.417	0.493	0	1	36536
Country's Economic Conditions Future (1 = Positive)	0.739	0.439	0	1	32290
Own Economic Conditions Today $(1 = Positive)$	0.464	0.499	0	1	37000
Own Economic Conditions Future (1 = Positive)	0.780	0.414	0	1	25803
Male	0.503	0.500	0	1	37134
Age	36.932	14.818	18	130	37134
Unemployed	0.299	0.458	0	1	37134
Rural	0.608	0.488	0	1	37134
Education	3.075	2.095	0	9	37134
Major Ethnicity	0.456	0.498	0	1	37134
Religious Group Member	0.420	0.494	0	1	37005
State Prevalence	0.513	0.284	0	1	37134
Public Goods Provided	0.480	0.311	0	1	37134

TABLE A.6: MULTIPLE GAMES

			Par	nel A: Share of Vict	ories		
	Ethnic	Trust	Inter-Ethnic	Like neighbors	Dislike Foreign	Trust in	President's
	Identification	Countrymen	Trust	Other Ethnicities	neighbors	Ruling Party	Approval
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Share of Victories	-0.046	0.068	0.144	0.033	0.015	-0.003	0.026
Share of victories	(0.013)	(0.019)	(0.039)	(0.057)	(0.009)	(0.022)	(0.026)
Observations	45,500	12,342	8,171	10,735	10,710	48,769	48,481
R-squared	0.098	0.154	0.164	0.228	0.151	0.152	0.204
	Panel B: Share of Points Won						
	Ethnic	Trust	Inter-Ethnic	Like neighbors	Dislike Foreign	Trust in	President's
	Identification	People	Trust	Other Ethnicities	neighbors	Ruling Party	Approval
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Share of Points Won	-0.047	0.049	0.144	0.019	0.003	0.001	0.024
Share of Points won	(0.013)	(0.031)	(0.039)	(0.063)	(0.016)	(0.023)	(0.026)
Observations	45,500	12,342	8,171	10,735	10,710	48,769	48,481
R-squared	0.098	0.154	0.164	0.228	0.151	0.152	0.204
Multiple Games	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Language \times Year  FE$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Country \times Year FE$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Share of Victories accounts for the fraction of total games won. Share of points Won accounts for the fraction of total possible points obtained (a win, draw, and lose awards 3, 1, and 0 points, respectively).

TABLE A.7: VICTORIES AND ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION: HETEROGENOUS EFFECTS

		Dependent Varia	able: Ethnic ove	er National Identity	(0-1 dummy)	
_	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Post-Victory -0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	-0.053	
rost-victory	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.017)
	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]	[0.006]
Tutanatian	-0.003	0.008	0.005	0.001	-0.000	0.010
Interaction	(0.017)	(0.010)	(0.011)	(0.003)	(0.000)	(0.026)
	[0.942]	[0.636]	[0.867]	[0.942]	[0.942]	[0.867]
Haintana ata 4 Tana	0.014	0.005	-0.017	-0.015	-0.002	-0.048
Uninteracted Term	(0.008)	(0.005)	(0.007)	(0.002)	(0.001)	(0.036)
Interaction Term	Rural	Unemployed	Male	Education	Age	Ethnic
						Majority
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Country \times Match \ FE$	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	37,060	37,060	37,060	37,060	37,060	37,060
R-squared	0.099	0.099	0.104	0.104	0.104	0.104

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted p-values are reported in square brackets Anderson (2008). In addition to all the interacted models presented in this table, the models estimated in Table 3 were also accounted for in the p-value adjustment. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. To ease the comparison with previous tables, variables in the interaction terms were demeaned.

TABLE A.8: NATIONAL TEAM'S VICTORIES AND ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION

	Depende	nt Variable	: Ethnic ov	ver Nationa	al Identity (	0-1 dummy)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	Probit
Post-Victory	-0.027 (0.012)	-0.033 (0.015)	-0.054 (0.014)	-0.053 (0.014)	-0.053 (0.015)	-0.243 (0.067)
	{0.012} [0.013] 0.064	{0.012} [0.011] 0.010	{0.015} [0.015] 0.010	{0.016} [0.016] 0.010	{0.017} [0.017] 0.010	{0.063} [0.062]
Post-Draw	0.001	0.010	0.010	0.010	-0.026 [0.039]	
Post-Defeat					-0.000 [0.017]	
Post-Victory Marginal Effect						-0.048 [0.012]
Country × Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seasonal FE	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Language × Year FE	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
$Country \times Match \ FE$	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	37,134	37,134	37,060	37,060	37,060	35,305
R-squared	0.060	0.062	0.103	0.104	0.104	

Robust standard errors clustered by (language group $\times$ year), {country $\times$ match}, and [country $\times$ year]. Underneath the latter we report False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted p-values Anderson (2008). The outcomes (all dummies) accounted for in the p-value adjustment are: ethnic over national identity, trust in countrymen, inter-ethnic trust, like neighbors from other ethnicities, dislike foreign neighbors, trust ruling party, president's approval, and 4 indicators for the assessment of present and future own and country's economic conditions. Sample includes respondents interviewed within 15 days before and after an official game. *Post-Victory*, *Post – Draw* and *Post – Defeat* take value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, a draw or a loss respectively, and 0 otherwise.

TABLE A.9: ALTERNATIVE TIME-WINDOWS

	Dependent Variable: Ethnic over National Identity (0-1 dummy)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
	+/-15 Days	+/-5 days	+/-10 days	+/-20 days	+/-25 days	+/-30 days	
Post Vistom	-0.053	-0.090	-0.050	-0.050	-0.046	-0.046	
Post-Victory	(0.016)	(0.027)	(0.020)	(0.017)	(0.016)	(0.016)	
	[0.010]	[0.010]	[0.027]	[0.010]	[0.011]	[0.010]	
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Language × Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Country × Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Observations	37,060	12,934	24,187	42,979	49,771	55,133	
R-squared	0.104	0.140	0.112	0.099	0.098	0.101	

Robust standard errors clustered by country  $\times$  year in parentheses. False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted p-values are reported in square brackets Anderson (2008). The outcomes (all dummies) accounted for in the p-value adjustment are: ethnic over national identity, trust in countrymen, inter-ethnic trust, like neighbors from other ethnicities, dislike foreign neighbors, trust ruling party, president's approval, and 4 indicators for the assessment of present and future own and country's economic conditions. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the x days following a victory of the national team, with the value of x indicated at the top of each column, and 0 otherwise.

TABLE A.10: POTENTIAL INFLUENTIAL OBSERVATIONS/GAMES

	Dependent Variable: Eth	Dependent Variable: Ethnic over National Identity (0-1 dummy)				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	
Doct Waters	-0.058	-0.051	-0.064	-0.044	-0.035	
Post-Victory	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.020)	(0.017)	(0.017)	
Sampling Criteria	Weighting Regression		Exclude G	ames with	Exclude if	
	by Number of	< 370	< 1000	> 1500	Dfbeta	
	Observations per Game	Observations		vations	$>\frac{2}{\sqrt{N}}$	
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Observations	37,060	34,495	21,011	32,356	35,442	
R-squared	0.109	0.102	0.099	0.091	0.132	

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise.

TABLE A.11: ORDERED DEPENDENT VARIABLE

Dependent Variable:	Ethnic Identity (Ordered, 0-4)			
	Ol	LS	Ordered	d Probit
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Post-Victory	-0.080	-0.047	-0.085	-0.053
	(0.046)	(0.045)	(0.046)	(0.045)
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Language × Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Country×Year FE	Yes	No	Yes	No
Country×Match FE	No	Yes	No	Yes
Observations	33,621	33,621	33,684	33,684
R-squared	0.140	0.140		

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed within 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise.

TABLE A.12: TEAM DIVERSITY

Country	Year	Team Diversity
BENIN	2008	0.845
BENIN	2014	0.813
BOTSWANA	2003	0.885
BOTSWANA	2005	0.886
BOTSWANA	2008	0.854
BOTSWANA	2014	0.827
CAMEROON	2013	0.817
GHANA	2005	0.634
GHANA	2012	0.634
GHANA	2014	0.686
KENYA	2005	0.760
KENYA	2011	0.666
LESOTHO	2008	0.811
LESOTHO	2014	0.793
NIGERIA	2005	0.816
NIGERIA	2008	0.651
NIGERIA	2013	0.758
SENEGAL	2005	0.832
SENEGAL	2008	0.834
SENEGAL	2014	0.663
SIERRA LEONE	2012	0.727
SIERRA LEONE	2015	0.813
SOUTH AFRICA	2002	0.834
SOUTH AFRICA	2006	0.820
SOUTH AFRICA	2011	0.875
SOUTH AFRICA	2015	0.847
TANZANIA	2003	0.920
TANZANIA	2008	0.866
TANZANIA	2012	0.898
UGANDA	2002	0.334
UGANDA	2008	0.450
UGANDA	2012	0.112
ZAMBIA	2003	0.621
ZAMBIA	2009	0.758
ZAMBIA	2012	0.687
ZAMBIA	2013	0.740

Team diversity is computed as the ethnolinguistic fractionalization index based on the ethnic composition of the national team in the same year of the Afrobarometer's wave used in the main analysis.

TABLE A.13: NATIONAL TEAM'S VICTORIES AND ATTITUDES TOWARD POLITICIANS

	Trust	Ruling party	President's Approval		
	Dummy	Ordered	Dummy	Ordered	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Doot Viotom	-0.007	-0.025	0.006	-0.034	
Post-Victory	(0.022)	(0.077)	(0.024)	(0.061)	
Estimation	OLS	Ordered Probit	OLS	Ordered Probit	
<b>Individual Controls</b>	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Observations	35,352	35,427	35,403	35,476	
R-squared	0.158		0.220		

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. Trust in Ruling Party is an ordered variable ranging from from 0 "not at all" to 3 "a lot". Its dummy version takes value 1 if the respondent reports either "somewhat" or a "lot", 0 otherwise. *President's Approval* is an ordered variable ranging from 1 "strongly disapprove" to 4 "strongly approve". Its dummy version takes value 1 if the respondent reports "approve" or "strongly approve", 0 otherwise. An ordered probit model is estimated when the dependent variable is ordered.

TABLE A.14: ASSESSMENT COUNTRY CONDITION'S TODAY

	Country's Economic Conditions Today							
	Extremely Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Ordered				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Post Victory	0.008	0.035	0.026	0.092				
Post-Victory	(0.011)	(0.025)	(0.020)	(0.054)				
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations	36,464	36,464	36,464	36,536				
R-squared	0.048	0.127	0.174					

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The ordered variable Country's Economic Conditions Today ranges from 1 "very bad" to 5 "very good". Extremely Positive takes value 1 if Country's Economic Conditions Today takes value of 5; 0 otherwise. Very Positive takes value 1 if Country's Economic Conditions Today takes values 4 or 5; 0 otherwise. Positive takes the value if Country's Economic Conditions Today takes values 3, 4, or 5; 0 otherwise. An ordered probit model is estimated when the dependent variable is ordered.

TABLE A.15: ASSESSMENT COUNTRY'S CONDITIONS FUTURE

	Country's Economic Conditions Future							
	Extremely Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Ordered				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Post Victory	-0.008	-0.014	-0.007	-0.042				
Post-Victory	(0.015)	(0.022)	(0.018)	(0.057)				
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations	32,220	32,220	32,220	32,290				
R-squared	0.128	0.156	0.160					

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The ordered variable Country's Economic Conditions Future ranges from 1 "much worse" to 5 "much better". Extremely Positive takes value 1 if Country's Economic Conditions Future takes value of 5; 0 otherwise. Very Positive takes value 1 if Country's Economic Conditions Future takes values 4 or 5; 0 otherwise. Positive takes the value 1 if Country's Economic Conditions Future takes values 3, 4, or 5; 0 otherwise. An ordered probit model is estimated when the dependent variable is ordered.

TABLE A.16: ASSESSMENT OWN CONDITIONS TODAY

	Own Economic Conditions Today							
	Extremely Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Ordered				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Post Vistory	-0.003	0.056	0.016	0.075				
Post-Victory	(0.007)	(0.025)	(0.025)	(0.065)				
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations	36,926	36,926	36,926	37,000				
R-squared	0.058	0.121	0.154					

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The ordered variable Own Economic Conditions Today ranges from 1 "very bad" to 5 "very good". Extremely Positive takes value 1 if Own Economic Conditions Today takes value of 5; 0 otherwise. Very Positive takes value 1 if Own Economic Conditions Today takes values 4 or 5; 0 otherwise. Positive takes the value if Own Economic Conditions Today takes values 3, 4, or 5; 0 otherwise. An ordered probit model is estimated when the dependent variable is ordered.

TABLE A.17: ASSESSMENT OWN ECONOMIC CONDITIONS FUTURE

	Own Economic Conditions Future							
	Extremely Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Ordered				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Post Vistory	-0.004	-0.009	-0.003	-0.014				
Post-Victory	(0.014)	(0.016)	(0.019)	(0.050)				
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations	25,742	25,742	25,742	25,803				
R-squared	0.149	0.176	0.167					

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The ordered variable Own Economic Conditions Future ranges from 1 "much worse" to 5 "much better". Extremely Positive takes value 1 if Own Economic Conditions Future takes value of 5; 0 otherwise. Very Positive takes value 1 if Own Economic Conditions Future takes values 4 or 5; 0 otherwise. Positive takes value 1 if Own Economic Conditions Future takes values 3, 4, or 5; 0 otherwise. An ordered probit model is estimated when the dependent variable is ordered.

TABLE A.18: OVERALL OPTIMISM

	Overall Assessment Index (1st Principal Component)							
	Extremely Positive	Very Positive	Positive	Ordered				
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)				
Post-Victory	0.029	0.095	0.094	0.103				
Post-victory	(0.067)	(0.105)	(0.088)	(0.110)				
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Observations	24,573	24,573	24,573	24,573				
R-squared	0.234	0.206	0.141	0.254				

Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The dependent variable in each column corresponds to the first principal component of the four optimism measures described in Tables A.14, A.15, A.16, and A.17.

TABLE A.19: NATIONAL TEAM'S VICTORIES AND TRUST IN OTHERS (ORDERED)

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Trust in Countrymen	Inter-Ethnic Trust	Like neighbors Other Ethnicities	Dislike Foreign neighbors
Post-Victory	0.101 (0.048)	0.267 (0.102)	0.177 (0.097)	-0.110 (0.040)
Individual Controls	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Seasonal FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Language×Year FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Country×Match FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	9,374	7,973	7,521	7,508

Ordered Probit estimates. Robust standard errors clustered at the country  $\times$  year level in parentheses. Post-Victory takes value 1 if the respondent was interviewed in the 15 days after a victory, 0 otherwise. The ordered variables Trust in Countrymen and Inter-Ethnic trust take range from 0 "not at all" to 3"a lot". The ordered variable Like neighbors Other Ethnicities ranges from 0 "strongly dislike" to 4 "strongly like". The ordered variable Dislike Foreign neighbors ranges from 0 "strongly like" to 4 "strongly dislike".

TABLE A.20: TREATMENT AND CONTROL GROUPS BY QUALIFYING PROCESS

Tournament Year	Treatment Group	Control Group
1998	Angola, <i>Namibia</i> , <i>DRC</i> , and Mozambique	Zimbabwe, Mali, Senegal, Gabon, Liberia, and Malawi
2000	Togo, Ivory Coast, and Congo	Guinea, Mali, Liberia, and Uganda
2002	Zambia, Burkina Faso, and DRC	Angola, <b>Zimbabwe</b> , <b>Madagascar Lesotho</b> , and Gabon
2004	<b>Benin</b> , Kenya, <b>Rwanda</b> , Mali, DRC, South Africa, and <b>Zimbabwe</b>	Zambia, Togo, <i>Sierra Leone</i> , Ivory Coast, <i>Madagascar</i> , and <i>Uganda</i>
2006	DRC and South Africa	Burkina Faso
2008	Ivory Coast, <i>Sudan</i> , Senegal, Guinea, <i>Namibia</i> , <i>Benin</i> , and South Africa	Gabon, <i>Gambia</i> , Uganda, <i>Eritrea Equatorial Guinea</i> , Mozambique, and DRC
2010	Zambia and Malawi	Rwanda and Guinea
2012	Mali, <i>Guinea</i> , <i>Niger</i> , Angola, and <i>Sudan</i>	Zimbabwe, Sierra Leone, Nigeria, Malawi, South Africa, Cameroon, Uganda, Kenya, CAR, and Gambia
2013	Ivory Coast, <i>Ethiopia</i> , Niger, <i>DRC</i> , Burkina Faso, Angola, Togo, Ghana, Mali, Nigeria, and Zambia	Malawi, Botswana, <i>Uganda</i> , <i>Sierra Leone</i> , Senegal, <i>Liberia</i> , Cameroon, Equatorial Guinea, Sudan, Guinea, Mozambique, <i>Zimbabwe</i> , Gabon, and <i>CAR</i>
2015	Congo, DRC, Guinea, and Zambia	Malawi, Mozambique, Nigeria, Togo, and Uganda

NOTE: Italic is used to denote that an overdue qualification was at stake (defined as at least 3 years without qualifying to the ACN finals). Italic bold is used to denote that a first-time qualification to the ACN finals was at stake. Due to the lack of conflict data, Mauritius (2000) and Cape Verde (2012 and 2013) are not included in the analysis despite of the fact that they were involved in close qualifications to the ACN.

TABLE A.21: BALANCE CHECK

Variable	Qualified	Not Qualified	<i>P</i> -Value of	Within Qualification
			Difference	Difference
GDP per capita	1497.13	2124.12	0.282	-648.335
N = 109				(551.151)
Poverty rate	0.51	0.49	0.710	0.012
N = 106				(0.047)
Gini index	44.29	44.93	0.665	-0.663
N = 106				(1.409)
Life expectancy	54.55	54.41	0.894	0.170
N = 109				(0.844)
Population density	47.48	71.45	0.060	-26.173
N = 109				(11.953)
Urban population rate	37.76	37.95	0.950	-0.098
N = 109				(2.929)
Autocracy	2.18	2.08	0.819	0.124
N = 109				(0.437)
Political corruption	0.70	0.75	0.119	-0.046
N = 109				(0.036)
Fraction civil war 90's	0.30	0.30	0.936	-0.004
N = 109				(0.075)
Number of conflicts	131.94	105.02	0.465	23.512
N = 109				(37.448)

For a set of covariates (listed on the left) in the year before the end of each qualification process to the ACN, columns (1) and (2) report the unconditional means for (barely) qualified countries (49 observations) and (barely) not qualified countries (60 observations). Column (3) reports the p-value associated with the mean difference test between (1) and (2). A second test is presented in column (4) which presents the OLS coefficients from separate regressions of each covariate on a treatment status (i.e, qualified) conditional on 10 qualification process dummies to ensure that comparison in the covariates is made between countries in the same year. Robust standard errors in parentheses (in column 4). The number of observations (N) varies across tests depending on data available. Data on GDP, poverty rates, income inequality, life expectancy, population density, and share of urban population are from the 2017 version of the World Development Indicators (2017); data on political corruption are from Varieties of Democracy (V-Dem, v6.2); data on autocracy index are from the Polity IV project; data on civil war in 1990s comes from ?; the number of conflict events is constructed based on the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.22: ACN QUALIFICATION AND CONFLICT

Dependent Variable:	Con	ıflict Preva	alence (1 i	if at least of	one confli	ct in week	, 0 otherw	vise)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Post-Qualification	-0.072	-0.067					-0.325	-0.306
	(0.030)	(0.027)					(0.129)	(0.120)
12 Weeks Before Qualification			0.023	0.020				
			(0.034)	(0.036)				
1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification (a)					-0.060	-0.057		
					(0.033)	(0.028)		
13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification (b)					-0.083	-0.076		
					(0.036)	(0.033)		
Long-Run Impact	-0.072	-0.077	0.023	0.020	-	-	-	-
-	(0.030)	(0.032)	(0.034)	(0.035)	-	-	-	-
Prob > F H0 : a = b	-	-	-	_	0.510	0.550	_	-
Regression Method	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	Pro	obit
Country × Qual. Campaign	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 Lags of Dep. Var.	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00
Observations	5,450	5,014	2,725	2,289	5,450	5,014	5,000	4,600
Within R-sq	0.003	0.013	0.000	0.012	0.003	0.013	_	-

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample in columns 1-2 covers 25 weeks before the end of qualification process (i.e. pre-treatment period) for 109 country × qualification campaign. The variable 12 Weeks Before Qualification takes value 1 during the 12 weeks immediately before the end of the qualification process for the countries that will eventually qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. The sample for columns 3-8 includes the 25 weeks before and after the close qualification for 109 country × qualification campaign. The variable *Post-Qualification* takes value 1 for the team that qualified for the weeks after the qualification and 0 otherwise. The variable 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification takes value 1 starting the 13th week after the end of the qualification process for the countries that barely qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. Prob > F H0: a = b refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis 1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification = 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.23: ACN QUALIFICATION AND CONFLICT

Dependent Variable:	Log (1 + Number of Fatalities)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Post-Qualification	-0.166	-0.124					-0.426	-0.418
	(0.085)	(0.060)					(0.299)	(0.306)
12 Weeks Before Qualification			-0.051	-0.058				
			(0.097)	(0.080)				
1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification (a)					-0.197	-0.152		
					(0.091)	(0.067)		
13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification (b)					-0.137	-0.098		
					(0.104)	(0.075)		
Long-Run Impact	-0.166	-0.168	-0.051	-0.063	-	-	-	-
	(0.085)	(0.088)	(0.080)	(0.088)	-	-	-	-
Prob > FH0 : a = b	-	-	-	-	0.542	0.486	-	-
Regression Method	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	Negative	Binomial
Country × Qual. Campaign	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 Lags of Dep. Var.	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	-
Observations	5,450	5,014	2,725	2,289	5,450	5,014	5,450	5,014
Within R-sq	0.003	0.046	0.000	0.025	0.003	0.046	-	-

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample in columns 1-2 covers 25 weeks before the end of qualification process (i.e. pre-treatment period) for 109 country × qualification campaign. The variable 12 Weeks Before Qualification takes value 1 during the 12 weeks immediately before the end of the qualification process for the countries that will eventually qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. The sample for columns 3-8 includes the 25 weeks before and after the close qualification for 109 country × qualification campaign. The variable *Post-Qualification* takes value 1 for the team that qualified for the weeks after the qualification and 0 otherwise. The variable 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification takes value 1 starting the 13th week after the end of the qualification process for the countries that barely qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. Prob > F H0: a = b refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis 1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification = 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.24: ACN QUALIFICATION AND CONFLICT (WEIGHTED BY COUNTRY POPULATION)

	Dependent Variable Log (1+ Number of Events)  Number of Events						of Events	
		,						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)
Post-Qualification	-0.224	-0.159					-0.291	-0.192
	(0.089)	(0.054)					(0.128)	(0.111)
12 Weeks Before Qualification			0.115	0.040				
			(0.087)	(0.070)				
1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification (a)					-0.094	-0.108		
					(0.084)	(0.052)		
13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification (b)					-0.343	-0.209		
					(0.129)	(0.081)		
Long-Run Impact	-0.224	-0.274	0.115	0.052	-	-	-	-
	(0.089)	(0.099)	(0.087)	(0.091)	-	-	-	-
Prob > F H0 : a = b	-	-	-	-	0.055	0.219	-	-
Regression Method	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	OLS	Negative	Binomial
Country × Qual. Campaign	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 Lags of Dep. Var.	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = 0	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.00
Observations	5,450	5,014	2,725	2,289	5,450	5,014	5,450	5,014
Within R-sq	0.009	0.110	0.002	0.032	0.014	0.111	-	-

Regressions in this table are weighted by country population in 1990. Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country  $\times$  qualification campaign level. Sample in columns 1-2 covers 25 weeks before the end of qualification process (i.e. pre-treatment period) for 109 country  $\times$  qualification campaign. The variable 12 Weeks Before Qualification takes value 1 during the 12 weeks immediately before the end of the qualification process for the countries that will eventually qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. The sample for columns 3-8 includes the 25 weeks before and after the close qualification for 109 country  $\times$  qualification campaign. The variable *Post-Qualification* takes value 1 for the team that qualified for the weeks after the qualification and 0 otherwise. The variable 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification takes value 1 starting the 13th week after the end of the qualification process for the countries that barely qualify to the ACN, 0 otherwise. Prob  $\times$  F H0:  $\alpha$  =  $\alpha$  b refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis 1-12 Weeks Post-Qualification = 13-25 Weeks Post-Qualification. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.25: IHS TRANSFORMATION OF NUMBER OF EVENTS

	Dependent Variable: Inverse Hyperbolic Sine Transformation of Number of Events						
Post Qualification	-0.114	-0.031	-0.004	-0.073	-0.039	-0.078	
Post-Qualification	(0.050)	(0.015)	(0.014)	(0.033)	(0.028)	(0.040)	
Type of Conflict:	All	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic	
			Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity	
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Observations	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	
Within R-sq	0.074	0.054	0.039	0.068	0.062	0.074	

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.26: OVERDUE AND FIRST QUALIFICATION EFFECTS

Dependent Variable:	Conflict	Prevalence (1 if a	t least one	conflict in w	eek, 0 otherwise)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Post-Qualification	-0.067	-0.119	-0.062	-0.204	-0.072
	(0.027)	(0.045)	(0.028)	(0.097)	(0.029)
Post-Qualification x Overdue			-0.117		
			(0.057)		
Post-Qualification x First Time					-0.130
					(0.100)
Prob > F	-	-	0.04	-	0.04
Sample	Full	Overdue qualif.	Full	1st qualif.	Full
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.54	0.00
Observations	5,014	2,438	5,014	736	5,014
Within R-sq	0.013	0.016	0.015	0.032	0.014

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country  $\times$  qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, 0 otherwise. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset. An overdue (first-time) qualification is defined as reaching the last match-day with chances of qualifying to the ACN finals after 3 or more years (for the very first time). See Table A.20. Prob > F refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis that coefficients for post-qualification and its interaction with overdue (column 3) or first-time qualification (column 5) are jointly equal to zero. Interaction terms were demeaned to ease the comparison of uninteracted terms.

TABLE A.27: OVERDUE AND FIRST QUALIFICATION EFFECTS

Dependent Variable:	Log (1 + Number of Fatalities)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Post-Qualification	-0.124	-0.233	-0.120	-0.068	-0.116			
	(0.060)	(0.107)	(0.066)	(0.054)	(0.059)			
Post-Qualification x Overdue			-0.209					
			(0.132)					
Post-Qualification x First Time					0.085			
					(0.090)			
Prob > F	-	-	0.11	-	0.13			
Sample	Full	Overdue qualif.	Full	1st qualif.	Full			
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00				
Observations	5,014	2,438	5,014	736	5,014			
Within R-sq	0.046	0.046	0.047	0.015	0.047			

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country  $\times$  qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, 0 otherwise. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset. An overdue (first-time) qualification is defined as reaching the last match-day with chances of qualifying to the ACN finals after 3 or more years (for the very first time). See Table A.20. Prob > F refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis that coefficients for post-qualification and its interaction with overdue (column 3) or first-time qualification (column 5) are jointly equal to zero. Interaction terms were demeaned to ease the comparison of uninteracted terms.

TABLE A.28: POOLING CLOSE QUALIFICATIONS TO ACN AND WORLD CUP

	Dependent Variable: Log (1+ Number of Events)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)		
			Panel A: Po	oling ACN and W	Vorld Cup			
Post Qualification	-0.047	-0.011	0.000	-0.029	-0.021	-0.033		
Post-Qualification	(0.036)	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.024)	(0.019)	(0.029)		
Within R-sq	0.080	0.075	0.037	0.073	0.060	0.076		
Observations	6,118	6,118	6,118	6,118	6,118	6,118		
	Panel	B: Poolin	g ACN and World	Cup - Excluding	Nigeria and Car	meroon in WC		
Doct Ovelification	-0.072	-0.025	-0.000	-0.047	-0.026	-0.054		
Post-Qualification	(0.036)	(0.010)	(0.010)	(0.023)	(0.020)	(0.029)		
Within R-sq	0.083	0.077	0.037	0.078	0.062	0.079		
Observations	5,750	5,750	5,750	5,750	5,750	5,750		
Type of Conflict:	All	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic		
			Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity		
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. 13 national teams barely qualified to the World Cups between 1997 and 2015 (World Cup's year in parenthesis): Angola (2006), Cameroon (1998, 2010, 2014), Ghana (2014), Ivory Coast (2006, 2014), Nigeria (2002, 2010, 2014), Senegal (2002), South Africa (1998), and Togo (2006). 11 national teams did not qualified to the world cups the last match-day between 1997 and 2015: Angola (1998), Burkina Faso (2014), Cameroon (2006), Congo (1998), Ethiopia (2014), Gabon (2010), Ivory Coast (2002), Liberia (2002), Nigeria (2006), and Senegal (2006, 2014). Regressions in Panel B exclude observations for World Cup qualification campaigns for Cameroon (1998, 2006, 2010, and 2014) and Nigeria (2002, 2006, 2010, and 2014). Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR-). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR-). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.29: ETHNIC CONFLICT, ETHNIC POLITICAL POWER, AND LINGUISTIC DIVERSITY

Dependent Variable:	Co	nflict Prevalence (	1 if at least one co	onflict in week, (	) otherwise)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Post-Qualification	-0.057	-0.012	-0.044	-0.020	-0.041
	(0.032)	(0.011)	(0.021)	(0.019)	(0.023)
Long-Run Impact	-0.086	-0.014	-0.057	-0.025	-0.048
	(0.051)	(0.013)	(0.026)	(0.024)	(0.027)
Event Definition	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic
		D	ъ	T	
		Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Diversity Yes	Diversity Yes
	Yes Yes				
, , ,		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
Week FE Calendar-Month FE	Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes
Week FE Calendar-Month FE 4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes	Yes Yes Yes Yes

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.30: ETHNIC CONFLICT, ETHNIC POLITICAL POWER, AND LINGUISTIC DIVERSITY

Dependent Variable:	Log (1 + Number of Fatalities)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Post-Qualification	-0.061	0.004	-0.091	-0.021	-0.123			
	(0.032)	(0.011)	(0.047)	(0.015)	(0.061)			
Long-Run Impact	-0.077	-0.004	-0.111	-0.021	-0.170			
	(0.046)	(0.011)	(0.058)	(0.015)	(0.086)			
Event Definition	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic			
		Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity			
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.59	0.00			
Observations	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014			
Within R-sq	0.053	0.007	0.035	0.003	0.045			

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.31: POTENTIAL INCAPACITATION EFFECT AND NEWS CROWDING OUT

Dependent Variable:	Conflict Prevalence (	Conflict Prevalence (1 if at least one conflict in week, 0 otherwise)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)				
Post-Qualification	-0.062	-0.066	-0.026	-0.027	-0.019				
	(0.027)	(0.027)	(0.016)	(0.014)	(0.012)				
Long-Run Impact	-0.072	-0.075	-0.029	-0.031	-0.022				
	(0.032)	(0.032)	(0.019)	(0.016)	(0.014)				
Omitted Observations	None	ACN weeks	None	None	None				
Model Specification	Treatment Interacted ACN weeks	Baseline	Baseline	Baseline	Baseline				
Fatality Threshold	None	None	>10 fat.	>25 fat.	>50 fat.				
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
4 Lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
Observations	5,014	4,715	5,014	5,014	5,014				
Within R-sq	0.013	0.012	0.015	0.031	0.037				

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country $\times$ qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.32: IHS TRANSFORMATION OF NUMBER OF FATALITIES

	Depend	ent Varial	ole: Inverse Hyper	bolic Sine Transf	ormation of Nun	nber of Fatalities
Post Qualification	-0.145	-0.071	0.005	-0.102	-0.026	-0.144
Post-Qualification	(0.069)	(0.038)	(0.014)	(0.055)	(0.018)	(0.070)
Type of Conflict:	All	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic
-			Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Observations	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014
Within R-sq	0.044	0.048	0.006	0.033	0.002	0.043

Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR- ). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.33: OVERDUE AND FIRST QUALIFICATION EFFECTS (WEIGHTED BY COUNTRY POPULATION)

	Dep	endent Variable: L	og (1 + N	umber of Ev	ents)
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
Post-Qualification	-0.159	-0.190	-0.164	-0.404	-0.185
	(0.054)	(0.103)	(0.063)	(0.232)	(0.059)
Post-Qualification x Overdue			-0.061		
			(0.146)		
Post-Qualification x First Time					-0.261
					(0.233)
Prob > F	-	-	0.04	-	0.00
Sample	Full	Overdue qualif.	Full	1st qualif.	Full
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Observations	5,014	2,438	5,014	736	5,014
Within R-sq	0.110	0.136	0.111	0.179	0.111

Regressions in this table are weighted by country population in 1990. Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, 0 otherwise. Conflict data comes from the ACLED dataset. An overdue (first-time) qualification is defined as reaching the last match-day with chances of qualifying to the ACN finals after 3 or more years (for the very first time). See Table A.20. Prob > F refers to the F-tests with the Null Hypothesis that coefficients for post-qualification and its interaction with overdue (column 3) or first-time qualification (column 5) are jointly equal to zero. Interaction terms were demeaned to ease the comparison of uninteracted terms.

TABLE A.34: ETHNIC CONFLICT, ETHNIC POLITICAL POWER, AND LINGUISTIC DIVERSITY (WEIGHTED BY COUNTRY POPULATION)

	Dependent Variable: Log (1 + Number of Events)						
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)		
Post-Qualification	-0.067	0.012	-0.167	-0.056	-0.129		
	(0.029)	(0.019)	(0.058)	(0.025)	(0.046)		
Long-Run Impact	-0.089	-0.016	-0.255	-0.080	-0.213		
	(0.039)	(0.024)	(0.087)	(0.038)	(0.080)		
Event Definition	Ethnic	Strong Political	Weak Political	No Linguistic	High Linguistic		
		Power	Power	Diversity	Diversity		
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
4 lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		
Observations	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014	5,014		
Within R-sq	0.048	0.062	0.076	0.056	0.096		


Regressions in this table are weighted by country population in 1990. Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country× qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. Each column presents point estimates a standard errors for a regression of the baseline specification using different definitions of conflict events as dependent variable. Ethic conflict is coded using the procedure described in the main text. Strong political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with strong political power (i.e., monopoly or dominant according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR-). Weak political power refers to conflict events taking place in locations inhabited by ethnic groups with no political power (i.e., discriminated, powerless or self excluded according to the ethnic power relations core dataset -EPR-). No linguistic diversity (High linguistic diversity) refers to conflict events taking place in first-level administrative sub-national units wherein only one language is (more than 5 different languages are) spoken. Language data comes from Ethnlogue. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

TABLE A.35: POTENTIAL INCAPACITATION EFFECT AND NEWS CROWDING OUT (WEIGHTED BY COUNTRY POPULATION)

	Dependent Variable: Log (1 + Number of Events)							
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)			
Post-Qualification	-0.155	-0.145	-0.057	-0.068	-0.047			
	(0.054)	(0.055)	(0.033)	(0.025)	(0.020)			
Long-Run Impact	-0.268	-0.254	-0.065	-0.080	-0.057			
	(0.101)	(0.102)	(0.040)	(0.030)	(0.023)			
Omitted Observations	None	ACN weeks	None	None	None			
Model Specification	Treatment Interacted ACN weeks	Baseline	Baseline	Baseline	Baseline			
Fatality Threshold	None	None	>10 fat.	>25 fat.	>50 fat.			
Country × Qual. Campaign FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Week FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Calendar-Month FE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
4 Lags of Dep. Var.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Prob > F H0: 4 lags jointly = $0$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
Observations	5,014	4,715	5,014	5,014	5,014			
Within R-sq	0.110	0.106	0.036	0.044	0.048			


Regressions in this table are weighted by country population in 1990. Robust standard errors in parentheses clustered at the country  $\times$  qualification campaign level. Sample covers +/- 25 weeks around the end of qualification process. Post-Qualification takes value 1 during the 25 weeks following the qualification to ACN, and 0 otherwise. All conflict data are from the ACLED dataset.

FIGURE A.1: ETHNIC IDENTIFICATION OVER TIME AND ACROSS COUNTRIES



The figure plots the fraction of respondents identified as ethnic over national by country-wave using the sample of countries and waves included in the main analysis. Waves 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 were carried out during the periods 2002-2003, 2005-2006, 2008-2009, 2011-2013, and 2014-2015 respectively.

FIGURE A.2: DENSITY OF INTERVIEWS IN THE PROXIMITY OF MATCH



The figure represents an histogram of interviews date in the proximity of relevant matches for the main sample used in the analysis.

## FIGURE A.3: ESTIMATION PLOTS OF BASELINE SPECIFICATION

FIGURE A: EXCLUDING ONE AFROBAROMETER WAVE AT A TIME





FIGURE B: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY AT A TIME



Figures plot the post-victory coefficients and the 95% confidence intervals from separate regressions when omitting one wave of Afrobarometer (top panel) or one country (bottom panel) at a time. Coefficients in the top panel (bottom panel) are depicted in chronological (alphabetical) order with respect of the wave (country) excluded in the regression. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country×year. In all regressions we control for individual characteristics, seasonal dummies, country×match and language group×year fixed effects.

## FIGURE A.4: ESTIMATION PLOTS OF BASELINE SPECIFICATION

FIGURE A: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY-MATCH AT A TIME





FIGURE B: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY-MATCH AT A TIME



Figures plot the post-victory coefficients and the 95% confidence intervals from separate regressions when omitting one country  $\times$  match at a time. Coefficients (reported in separate panel for ease of exposition) are depicted in chronological order with respect of the match excluded in the regression. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country  $\times$  year. In all regressions we control for individual characteristics, seasonal dummies, country  $\times$  match and language group  $\times$  year fixed effects.

# FIGURE A.5: CONFLICT PREVALENCE BEFORE AND AFTER QUALIFICATION

FIGURE A: POOLED (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




FIGURE B: TREATMENT COUNTRIES (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




FIGURE C: CONTROL COUNTRIES (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




Figure A plots coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for interactions between the dummy for countries that barely qualified to the ACN and 11 dummies for 4-week period included between 25 weeks before and after the qualification. The regressions also include week FE, calendar-month FE, and country  $\times$  qualifier dummies. Figure B plots coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for 11 dummies for 4-week period included between 25 weeks before and after the qualification for the groups of countries that barely qualified to the ACN. The regressions calendar-month FE and country  $\times$  qualifier dummies (week FE are omitted to avoid perfect multicollinearity). Figure C replicates Figure B for the groups of countries that barely did not qualify to the ACN. The dependent variable in all regressions is a dummy taking value of 1 if at least one conflict in week, 0 otherwise. The coefficients for the 4 weeks immediately before the end of the qualification process are normalized to zero. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country  $\times$  qualifier.

# FIGURE A.6: CONFLICT FATALITIES BEFORE AND AFTER QUALIFICATION

FIGURE A: POOLED (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




FIGURE B: TREATMENT COUNTRIES (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




FIGURE C: CONTROL COUNTRIES (4-WEEK BANDWIDTHS)




Figure A plots coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for interactions between the dummy for countries that barely qualified to the ACN and 11 dummies for 4-week period included between 25 weeks before and after the qualification. The regressions also include week FE, calendar-month FE, and country  $\times$  qualifier dummies. Figure B plots coefficients and 95% confidence intervals for 11 dummies for 4-week period included between 25 weeks before and after the qualification for the groups of countries that barely qualified to the ACN. The regressions calendar-month FE and country  $\times$  qualifier dummies (week FE are omitted to avoid perfect multicollinearity). Figure C replicates Figure B for the groups of countries that barely did not qualify to the ACN. The dependent variable in all regressions is log (1 + number of fatalities). The coefficients for the 4 weeks immediately before the end of the qualification process are normalized to zero. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country  $\times$  qualifier.

# FIGURE A.7: ESTIMATION PLOTS OF BASELINE SPECIFICATION

FIGURE A: EXCLUDING ONE QUALIFICATION CAMPAIGN AT A TIME





FIGURE B: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY AT A TIME



Figures plot the post-qualification coefficients and the 95% confidence intervals from separate regressions when omitting one qualification campaign (top panel) or one country (bottom panel) at a time. Coefficients in the top panel (bottom panel) are depicted in chronological (alphabetical) order with respect of the year of the qualification (country) excluded in the regression. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country×qualification campaign. In all regressions we control for week, calendar-month and country×qualification campaign fixed effects.

#### FIGURE A.8: ESTIMATION PLOTS OF BASELINE SPECIFICATION

FIGURE A: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY-QUALIFICATION CAMPAIGN AT A TIME





FIGURE B: EXCLUDING ONE COUNTRY-QUALIFICATION CAMPAIGN AT A TIME



Figures plot the post-qualification coefficients and the 95% confidence intervals from separate regressions when omitting one country×qualification campaign at a time. Coefficients (reported in separate panel for ease of exposition) are depicted in chronological and alphabetical order with respect of the year of qualification campaign and country excluded in the regression. Confidence intervals are based on heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors clustered by country×qualification campaign. In all regressions we control for week, calendar-month and country×qualification campaign fixed effects.