IN THIS ISSUE

F	ocus	
Working v	vith the	Media

Introduction by Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes 1, 3
Tips & Tricks for Working with the Media by Gina Jacobs
Talking To the Media About Your Academic Research by Joni Hersch4
Tips on Publishing Newspaper Op-Eds by Paul H. Rubin
When Your Research Goes Viral by Jennifer Bennett Shinall
From the CSWEP Chair
Chair's Letter by Shelly Lundberg

Announcements, Calls, Sessions

Milestones, Honors

Announcements	9
Calls	10
CSWEP Sessions @ SEA	10
Call for CSWEP Liaisons 1	12

Leadership

Directory	of	CS	WEP	Board			. 12	

FOCUS Working With the Media

Introduction **Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes**

One of the key functions of academic institutions is to educate and inform the public. Therefore, it should not be surprising that the media turns to professors and researchers to inform the public about the impact of complex policies or simply to interpret data regarding the state of the economy. Despite the fact that most of us are well accustomed to speaking to large groups of students and colleagues, it is not uncommon for academics to feel uncomfortable about talking to the media. Yet, doing so can have significant benefits, including increased visibility of our research and the institutions we work for, and the opportunity to establish yourself as an expert.

CSWEP, which has a history of organizing mentoring activities, took notice of the challenges reported by many of us when talking to the media. In response, it has organized a number of events aimed at providing advice on how to speak to the media at recent national and regional economics association meetings. Collectively, these events generated so much interest that CSWEP decided to devote this issue of its News to the topic. In what follows, some of the panelists participating in these events kindly share their insights on how to communicate with news outlets and share our work. Specifically:

continues on page 3

From the Chair

For those committed to increasing diversity in the economics profession and working to remove barriers from the career development of female and minority economists, 2018 has been an eventful year. At the AEA business meeting at the annual conference in January, John Campbell, chair of an ad hoc committee charged with developing a new code of professional conduct, announced a draft code that established an obligation "to

Shelly Lundberg

conduct civil and respectful discourse" and a goal of creating "a professional environment with equal opportunity and fair treatment for all economists." A version of this code was adopted on April 20 following a period for member comments (https://www.aeaweb.org/aboutaea/code-of-conduct). A new standing Committee on Equity, Diversity, and Professional Conduct was also established, chaired by Marianne Bertrand

continues on page 2

Contributors

Shelly Lundberg, Leonard Broom Professor of Demography, Department of Economics, University of California, Santa Barbara



Joni Hersch, Cornelius . Vanderbilt Chair Professor of Law and Economics, and Co-Director, Ph.D. Program in Law and Economics, Vanderbilt University



Gina Jacobs,

Assistant Vice

President, Divisional

Communications &

Strategy, Business &

Financial Affairs, San

Diego State University



Paul H. Rubin, Samuel Candler Dobbs Professor and Chair of Economics, Emory University





Jennifer Bennett Shinall, Associate Professor of Law, Vanderbilt University

CSWEP News Staff

Shelly Lundberg, Editor Kate Silz-Carson, Oversight Editor Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes, Co-Editor Leda Black, Graphic Designer

From the Chair

and including CSWEP Board member Sandy Black (https://www.aeaweb.org/ about-aea/committees/equity-diversity-professional-conduct). This committee's agenda includes the fielding of a climate survey to the AEA membership, consideration of appropriate institutional responses to gender and other types of harassment and discrimination, the development and dissemination of best practices for reducing bias in professional activities, and consideration of a more active role for the AEA in supporting pipeline activities that draw a broader range of the population into the study of economics. CSWEP will continue to monitor and work to advance the status of women and increase the diversity of economics in cooperation with CSMGEP. We encourage our community to also communicate with and participate in the activities of this new committee.

The Focus section of this issue of CSWEP News presents a series of sage and entertaining essays, commissioned by co-editor Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes, on working with the media, both as a researcher explaining your own work and as an expert providing commentary on current events or policy discussions. The symposium begins with advice from a university media professional: Gina Iacobs is the Assistant Vice President, Divisional Communications and Strategy at San Diego State University. She provides a primer on preparation, types of interviews, tips about effective communication ("don't be afraid to repeat yourself") and strategies for navigating tricky situations. Joni Hersch, Professor of Law and Economics at Vanderbilt University Law School, provides a step-by-step guide to how journalists find you, how to talk to them and, crucially, the professional benefits and potential downsides of talking to the media. One favorite piece of advice: "If you have a strong viewpoint that is informed by your research, don't hold back." Economists who want to make their views and research available to the general public via newspaper op-eds often find them very difficult to place in

prominent media outlets. Paul Rubin, Professor of Economics at Emory University, has published about 50 op-eds and reveals some of his secrets. One basic principle is that of the "double hook"—the need for an op-ed to both address a timely topic and have an author with a strong claim to authority on the issue. Finally, Jennifer Bennett Shinall, Associate Professor of Law at Vanderbilt University Law School, addresses a crucial modern element of media skillswhat to do when your research goes viral. In ten points from "Don't be afraid to say no" to "Ignore the trolls" she outlines how to set priorities and preserve your time in the storm of a media frenzy. The media skills outlined in this issue are important for economists in all fields and at all levels of seniority, and can increase your confidence and willingness to engage with journalists. Enjoy and share with your colleagues and students.

This issue also contains an important announcement: CSWEP data from our annual survey of Ph.D. granting U.S. economics department from 1993 to 2017 are now available from ICPSR to researchers with a restricted data use agreement. CSWEP is grateful to Maggie Levenstein, Director of ICPSR and CSWEP's Associate Chair for the Survey, for initiating and supervising the project that has made these data available, and look forward to some interesting investigations.

CSWEP will be in Atlanta for the January 2019 ASSA/AEA Meetings and, as usual, we have a lot going on: three mentoring breakfasts and a business meeting featuring CSWEP's annual report and survey results and presentation of the 2018 Carolyn Shaw Bell Award and 2018 Elaine Bennett Research Prize. We'll see you there!

To make sure that you receive our newsletters, event announcements, and calls for papers and prize nominations, email us at cswep@econ.ucsb.edu to get on our mailing list and follow us on Twitter @AEACSWEP.

Tips & Tricks for Working with the Media

Gina Jacobs

With the state of the economy a nightly news item, professors and researchers in the field have a particularly valuable role in helping explain complicated economic policies and practices and their impacts to the general public.

Having worked in a university media relations office for much of my career, I've found that for faculty who do regular hour-long lectures or presentations, speaking in quick sound bites is often out of their usual comfort zone. But, speaking with the media can be a great way to increase the visibility of your university, academic program and your own research. When presented with this opportunity, having a successful experience can set you up as an expert in the field that reporters can rely on in the future.

In many ways, preparing for an interview is much like preparing for a lesson in your classroom. Know what you want your students to walk away with and how that information can help them to better understand a particular topic or make a decision. Every interview is different but following these general tips and tricks will give you confidence and put you on the path to a successful experience.

Be Prepared

When approached to do an interview either by your university/organization's media relations staff or by a member of the media directly, there are a number of questions you should ask before saying yes: What is the purpose of the interview? Do you have the information you need to answer the questions with confidence? What is the format of the interview—print, broadcast, etc.? Each format presents its own benefits and challenges, which I discuss briefly below.

If you agree to speak to a reporter, your first task is to determine your own objective for the interview. Do not let a media person determine this for you.

Ask yourself what it is you want to get across about the interview topic and develop three key messages related to your objective. Your key messages should focus on what you want the reporter and the audience to walk away knowing about a topic. Make sure these key messages are concise and to the point, something you can easily remember and restate more than once. Of course, this won't be the only thing you say during an interview but they will be what you rely on for the basis of your responses. Often, anecdotes and examples can help make an abstract topic tangible and better engage the audience.

Interview Types

While the goal of your interview should always be the same, an interview's format will influence how you use your key messages.

FOCUS Introduction continued from page 1

Gina Jacobs, Assistant Vice President, Divisional Communications & Strategy, Business & Financial Affairs at San Diego State University, provides some tips on how to speak to the media.

Joni Hersch, Cornelius Vanderbilt Chair Professor of Law and Economics, and Co-Director, Ph.D. Program in Law and Economics, explains the various types of media coverage, how the media typically locates you, and how to convey key points about your research to news outlets.

Paul H. Rubin, Samuel Candler Dobbs Professor of Economics in the Economics Department at Emory University, discusses how to write op-eds and offers some useful tips, and Jennifer Bennet Shinall, Associate Professor of Law at Vanderbilt University, shares some advice on how to deal with the media when your research goes viral.

These contributions provide useful advice to academics and researchers at all career stages on how to talk to the media effectively, increasing the visibility of our work, while informing the public. We are grateful to the panelists for their contributions and hope you find them useful when preparing for your next media exchange.

Print/Online

Reporters for print or online media outlets often cover specific beats and have some background knowledge on general issues that apply to a story. When speaking to a print reporter on the phone or in person, you may feel more confident with your key messages printed in front of you to reference. In this scenario, always feel comfortable pausing and taking your time to answer. Be on the lookout for a reporter's preconceived conclusion and provide context where necessary. Although it may be tempting to ask, reporters will rarely share their story with you before it is published. That doesn't mean you can't offer to answer any follow up questions they have while working on their story.

Radio/Television (Taped)

When speaking to a broadcast reporter, you may be asked to record a taped or live interview. When an interview is taped, you should state your key messages early and often in short soundbites of approximately 15-20 seconds. If you stumble, pause and start over. Broadcast reporters want their story to look/sound good as much as you want to appear knowlegeable, so they won't be likely to use any clips that aren't clear and concise.

Radio/Television (Live)

The same approach should be taken with your key messages during a live interview—state them early and often—but know that you won't be able to start over and fix any mistakes so speak slowly, clearly and confidently. During television interviews in particular, maintain eye contact with the reporter while they ask their question and respond directly. Do not look at the camera, look at the reporter. A good live interview

Talking To the Media About Your Academic Research

Joni Hersch

Until about 10 years ago, I had very little contact with media. Most memorably, when I was at the University of Wyoming, my research on job risks was mentioned in the *Wall Street Journal*. The *Journal* mistakenly located me at the University of Wisconsin and later issued a correction. So, as my dean said, not only did I make the *Wall Street Journal*, I made it twice in a single week.

After many more years without any media involvement, in the past decade, major media outlets such as the New York Times, Wall Street Journal, and Washington Post have profiled several of my papers. Each of my experiences has been different, and the guidance I've seen has been too general to be

very helpful. My advice below highlights some specific issues that I've learned in my experiences with the media.

The first time I received extensive media coverage was for my work on skin color discrimination against legal immigrants to the United States. Although I was coached by my university's media relations expert, I was very stiff and awkward in my initial interviews. I felt guarded in describing my methodology and findings and would try to answer questions as I would in an economics seminar. I was also concerned that I would be quoted out of context in a way that mischaracterized my research.

Although at the time it was surprising and somewhat uncomfortable to receive so much media attention, it turned out to be a very positive experience. It forced me to get over my discomfort with discussing my work in general terms with journalists—I simply did not have time to worry about what I would say or how I would be quoted, and I became far more conversational in interviews. By about the third interview, I felt more confident and comfortable, and interviews flowed more easily and took much less time.

Types of coverage

Media involvement can be broadly divided into two types: Media that is



Tips & Tricks for Working With the Media 🛊

is the result of an engaging rapport with the reporter and allowing your passion for the topic to shine through.

General Interview Tips

Regardless of the type of interview, there are some important things to keep in mind.

Nothing is ever "off the record." Making small talk with a reporter before an interview can help calm nerves and give you an opportunity to practice key messages, but that time should not be used to talk about things you do not want a reporter to ask about during the formal interview.

Be brief and communicate key messages early and often. Don't be afraid to repeat yourself.

It's o.k. to say "I don't know." Never guess. If you don't know the answer to a question, simply say so. Use this opportunity to bridge to a key message.

Be prepared to address the (perceived) other side of the story because the media is often looking for "both sides of the story." Providing a brief history of the topic can be helpful in explaining the basis for a particular perspective.

Avoid technical terms, jargon and acronyms. In academic circles, these can be pervasive but a reporter and the public won't know what they stand for so keep it simple. Avoid them when you can and explain them when you can't.

Be prepared for the last question: "Is there anything else you want to add?" This is yet another opportunity for you to reinforce your key messages.

When Interviews Get Tricky

Despite your preparation, interviews can often be tricky. That may be a result of the reporter not having enough background on a particular issue or because the topic itself is a controversial one. The following strategies will help you navigate through these situations and stay on message:

Don't play into negative questions or hypotheticals. "Bridge" back to

your positive, key messages with these suggestions:

"I can't speak to this specific incident but what I can tell you is..."

"That speaks to a bigger point..."

"A really important thing to know is..."

"The one thing I want you to be sure you know is..."

"I don't feel comfortable hypothesizing. "

"My area of expertise is...what I can tell you is..."

When a reporter asks a multiple-part question, only answer the part you are comfortable with. Let the reporter follow up with the additional questions again.

The media relations professionals at your campus/organization are usually willing to help by providing media training or as a sounding board before interviews. Do not hesitate to contact them for assistance.

Talking To the Media About Your Research

primarily about your research and media in which your role is as an expert commentator.

Most of my experience has been coverage of my research. Media that is primarily focused on your research may be developed over a period of weeks and may involve several rounds of questions and clarification with the journalist.

Commenting as an expert on current events or other research will be usually done under a deadline for the journalist, should not take much of your time, and should not require much preparation. These media contacts will be short, maybe a 20-minute interview, possibly with a follow-up for accuracy.

How they find you

There are many ways that journalists may find you, and my experience doesn't indicate a clear pattern.

Sometimes, journalists will make initial contact with your university's communications department. Most universities have a media relations expert in their communications department who acts as a liaison between researchers at the university and the media and will often field requests for interviews. Media relations experts will work with you to write press releases about your work. Your university's communications department uses the press release to pitch the story directly to journalists and uses social media to promote the story to a wider audience, including journalists who may contact you for an interview.

You may be contacted directly by journalists who write regularly in an area (e.g., labor, education) and are seeking a good story. Journalists may contact you after a conference presentation or because of a recent publication or working paper. Additionally, journalists may find you because of previous media coverage of your research, because your university promotes you as an expert on a topic, because you already are a well-known expert on a topic, or because you were referred by another expert in your field.

Once your research is covered by major media, you may receive many more interview requests, and your work may be picked up by other outlets without any additional involvement from you.

Process

The initial contact will be directed either to you or to your university's communications department. Most of the time, the contact will be an email message with a brief explanation of why the journalist is interested in interviewing you. If it's not clear from the request, you can ask what the story is about. For example, is the story primarily about your work, or will the article include a discussion of others' research as well? It is also OK to ask for information about the types of questions you will be asked. The complete process may involve more than one interview and emailed followup questions, and it may span a few weeks. You should ask when they expect their article to run because your communications department will want to be ready.

Most interviewers will ask to record the conversation. Say yes. Even when they are recording the conversation, they will often also be typing as you talk.

How to talk to journalists

It may seem obvious to say that the way to prepare is to be familiar with your research, and although this is certainly true, this actually isn't very helpful advice. Journalists are trying to tell a story, and the technical points of your research won't add much to the story. Think in terms of the one-sentence takeaway, and be prepared for follow-up questions to explain how you got to that result or conclusion. Although there is a risk that your work will be mischaracterized or quoted out of context, journalists really do want to accurately communicate the message of the research. If you are accurate, they will be too. Remember that they are trying to shape a story, not make you look bad.

Journalists who are writing a story to make a specific point will typically have a short list of questions, and will ask those questions only, seeking specific quotes that they can insert into the story. I have found that experienced journalists usually begin by providing an overview of the story and an open-ended question to prompt me to start talking, and the interviews become more conversational and interactive after that. Listen carefully to the questions and try to be responsive. Just as with student questions, some questions can't be answered as posed, so try to understand the point of the question so that you can respond appropriately.

When journalists ask questions about your work, don't recite memorized answers. If you do, you will sound awkward. However, it may be helpful to keep brief notes on the facts you want to convey, especially if you are dealing with statistics that you want to get right. Your goal is to communicate. Talk as you would to a family member. Avoid jargon so that your quotes can be used for the audience. Don't talk down either—journalists routinely talk with academics and are used to our vocabulary.

Remember that everything you say or put in an email message is fair game for being quoted. You can't make anything "off the record" retroactively, and it is not necessarily clear that a request to make something "off the record" will be respected.

Benefits of talking to the media

The main benefit of talking to the media about your research is that you have a chance to bring your message to an audience far beyond academic outlets. It is gratifying to hear from people who found my research to be of value to their lives. It is an efficient way for your colleagues and university to understand what you are doing, and your university will like the recognition. Media coverage of your research may also increase downloads and citations of your work. In addition, the process of articulating your research to the media in a concise, straightforward way can help you clarify what's important and how to position your work for publication.

2018 ISSUE II



Tips on Publishing Newspaper Op-Eds

Paul H. Rubin

I am writing this because I have written a few dozen op-eds, mostly in the Wall Street Journal. My first op-ed was in 1987, when I resigned from the Consumer Product Safety Commission. The op-ed described some difficulties in the CPSC process. Then in 1989 I presented a paper on tort reform at the Manhattan Institute. The Deputy Editor of the Editorial page of the Journal was in the audience, and he suggested I write an op-ed based on that paper. From then on, I submitted my op-eds to him. He by no means accepted all of them, but at

least they got a reading. So the first tip is to try to get the name of a person and submit to that person, rather than submitting blindly. Given the number of op-eds submitted to a major source, the first task is to get your submission read.

As I was writing this note, a nice oped by Bret Stephens (formerly of the WSJ, now at the NYT) called "Tips for Aspiring Op-Ed Writers" was published. You can find this op-ed if you search the *Times*' website, and you will learn more from this op-ed than from me. But I

want to elaborate a little on a few of his points, particularly for economists.

Bret Stephens' Points

The two most important points for you as an economist that Stephens makes are: (I) "Why does your topic matter? Why should it matter today? And why should the reader care what you, of all people, have to say about it?" and (2) "Authority matters. Readers will look to authors who have standing, either because of expertise in their field or unique experience of a subject. If you

Talking To the Media About Your Research

Speaking to the media can have other personal and professional benefits. Because most of my work is about women in the labor market, I've had the opportunity to speak with many women in the media who are passionate about this and similar topics. Most of my interviews have been with journalists who are very knowledgeable about the topics they cover and have first-hand information to supplement the data we analyze. They will be attuned to the important questions that haven't yet been answered and be knowledgeable about academic research both inside and outside economics.

Downsides of talking to the media

It takes time to prepare for and talk to journalists. Even if the interview is about your recent work, you will need time to review and prepare for questions that you are likely to be asked. Because journalists often have questions that go beyond the specific results reported in your paper, you might get questions that you are not prepared to answer. When I am asked a question that I could answer with more time, I offer to get back to them with an answer. The timing for

interviews may not be convenient, and it is OK to decline or to offer to talk with them at another time when it is convenient for you.

While media coverage may give you the personal and professional benefits that I list above, do not expect it to have a major impact on your career. Although your family might be impressed that you are in the news, don't expect much personal payoff beyond that. No matter how intense your media experience is, you become old news very quickly.

Final tips

Timing the university's press release to coincide with a conference presentation is often an effective way to call attention to your work. It provides a rationale for issuing a press release before the work is published. The press release can include your quotes that can be used directly in media. The possible inconvenience is that you may be called for interviews while at the conference.

Do what you can to be sure that your coauthors are included in any media coverage about your joint work. But remember that you don't control what survives the editing process. Don't be disappointed if you or a coauthor isn't named or quoted.

I have found phone interviews to be a more efficient use of my time than answering questions by email. Television and radio involve a larger time commitment and scheduling constraints.

Don't speculate on topics that go beyond your research—or if you do, be clear that you are making informed speculation.

If you have a strong viewpoint that is informed by your research, don't hold back. For example, I know the reasons economists are reluctant to attribute unexplained gender disparities in the labor market to discrimination. But informed by my research that goes back nearly four decades, if asked, I am quite clear that I consider discrimination to be the primary source of the remaining unexplained gender labor market disparities.

The news cycle is rapid. There is no need to worry about whether you perfectly expressed yourself or if the journalist chose the quotes you wish she had.

Finally, remember this: In the grand scheme of your academic career, media coverage is really not that big of a deal. Just have fun with it!

Publishing Op-Eds

can offer neither on a given topic you should not write about it..."

These two points represent what I think of as the "double hook" view of opeds. You need a hook to the news, and you need a hook to yourself. Simply being an economics professor will not do it. Assume that Mary Smith has written an op-ed on, say, a current steel shortage. A very important part of an op-ed is the little tag line at the end. This is usually two sentences. The first is simple "Mary Smith is an economics professor at Old Siwash University." But the second sentence is the money sentence: "Her book on the steel shortage of 1948has just been published by Widget University Press." There are other possible hooks: "She was the Chief Economist for the Iron and Steel Institute" or "She was the Steel Analyst at the Department of Commerce," but there must be a hook which shows why she has something to say about steel.

It takes both parts. If Professor Smith has published the book but there is currently no steel shortage, then no one is interested in the steel shortage of 1948. If she feels passionately about steel but has not written anything about it, then no one cares what she thinks. It takes both hooks.

Note that the first hook (to the news) must be timely—it is the "news." But the second need not be current. I have sometimes taken an old article of mine and written an op-ed when the topic of the article has made the news. Mary's book may have been published ten years ago, and the steel shortage is now, but the book is still a good hook.

Who is the reader? You should probably aim at the level of a good college freshman who has yet to take economics. If you use any jargon, you should define it. Even better, you should try to avoid it. You are not writing for or to your colleagues, and readers are not interested in the minutiae of debates among professionals. They are interested in what you have to say about some real-world issue. If you are trying to advance a professional debate the place is a professional journal, not an op-ed.

Stephens: "A newspaper has a running conversation with its readers. Before pitching an op-ed you should know when the paper last covered that topic, and how your piece will advance the discussion."

More generally, you should read the outlet you are aiming at with some frequency, so that you understand their viewpoint and editorial voice. But you should be careful here as well. If you tie your op-ed too closely to a recent article in the paper, they will send it to the "Letters" editor.

Additional Points

An important decision is the submission decision: Where should you send it? If you have a contact, then that is a good starting point. If not, an outlet that has expressed an interest in your topic is a natural. I prefer national outlets such as the NYT or the WSJ, as opeds here will generate more readers and more attention. However, there is more competition here and so it is harder to get published. Your university may have a press office that can help you decide and may have links to particular outlets so that you can be sure your effort gets early attention.

Op-ed editors are faster than journal editors, so you will usually hear within a week. (Some have a negative default: "If you have not heard within 10 days, assume we are not interested.") This means that you usually have time to resubmit the op-ed while the issue is still timely. (My record is submission, rejection, and resubmission in one day, with publication the next day.) But some issues are local, and so a local newspaper is more relevant as the starting point. (For a local newspaper, the second hook—to you—is less important. Being a professor at a local university may be enough.)

There is generally no R&R for opeds. They take it or not. If they take it, they may edit it, but they will not give you a second chance to submit it, so give it all you can the first time.

Write it and then try ruthlessly to shorten it. 750 words is about the

desired length of an op-ed, and shorter is OK as well. The writing style must be simpler than for a journal—shorter sentences and words.

Some Caveats

Three warnings. First, you should probably not begin to seriously write op-eds until you at least have tenure, and maybe even until you are a full professor. They are fun and while the administrators of your university may like them and the publicity they generate, most departments give little if any credit for op-eds. Some may even count then negatively. Moreover, op-eds (at least mine) are very seldom cited, so they won't help you there either.

Second, if you begin seriously to think in terms of op-eds, you may have trouble thinking in terms of journal articles or blogs. (I tried to blog but found that my mind did not work that way.) If you start to see issues in terms of potential op-eds, you may not see them in terms of potential articles, and this may hurt your productivity. This is another reason why you may not want to start until you are more established.

Third, an op-ed expresses an opinion, and the opinion may be controversial. (I have written several pro-Trump op-eds.) Your opinion many alienate some in your department or your university, so you should think carefully about the opinions you are expressing. Again, tenure offers some protection here.

But it is fun to know that any one of your op-eds will be read by more people than all of your journal articles together. And as economists, we should have something to say about relevant issues, and op-eds are a good way to contribute to actual policy debates.

Paul H. Rubin is the Dobbs Professor of Economics at Emory University and past President of the Southern Economic Association. [Money sentence] He has published about 50 op-eds in papers including the New York Times and the Wall Street Journal.

2018 ISSUE II 7

When Your Research Goes Viral

Jennifer Bennett Shinall

Suppose you have mastered the important lessons offered by other articles in this newsletter—you have written a great paper, worked with your institution's media relations team to publicize your research, developed a salient media pitch, and learned how to communicate effectively with journalists. You not only survive your first interview with a media outlet; you feel confident about it. But then the perfect storm ensues: on a slow news day, other media outlets see your stellar first interview, and suddenly, every media outlet wants to interview you. Your research has gone viral.

Isn't going viral the best-case scenario? It is exciting, to be sure—at long last, your family may finally understand what you do for a living! But it can also be fatal to your career, especially if you are pre-tenure. Requests for media interviews tend to grow exponentially, and an unchecked willingness to accommodate journalists may soon lead to them taking over your life. Rather than working on the next paper you need for your tenure file, you may instead find your research stagnating as you rehash the same five sentences about an old paper to media outlets.

Of course, research going viral does have some professional benefits; it increases your visibility within the department and within the profession. Your institution will almost certainly smile upon the favorable attention it receives as a result of your work. Consequently, it is important to establish ground rules that balance the benefits against the burdens of media attention. In this spirit, below are ten rules I have developed for dealing with the media that will allow you to receive all the attention you deserve—without causing you to lose your job in the process.

1. Don't be afraid to say no.

This first rule is, in many ways, the rule from which all others flow. It is okay to say no to a journalist, and you *should* say no to a journalist whenever a request makes you uncomfortable. Whenever you are contacted by a media outlet, clearly define the bounds of what you are and are not willing to do, and never forget that you hold the ultimate power in this process to decline a journalist's request.

2. Block out research and teaching time.

Your assistant may see your calendar, but journalists do not. For this reason, be firm with journalists about the hours in which you are comfortable talking to them. If you need an hour to prepare for vour next class, do not be afraid to tell journalists that you are unavailable at that time. If you find yourself struggling to keep up with your research between media interviews, then actually block out a few hours of research time on your calendar. Most importantly, stick to these self-imposed research and teaching blocks. Journalists will often insist to speak to you at a time that is convenient to them and lament an impending deadline. Do not give in! Make them accommodate your schedule.

3. Schedule press releases.

Typically, a media blitz begins with your institution issuing a press release about your research. Unless your research is extremely time sensitive, press releases can easily be delayed a few weeks. Work with your institution's media relations team to find a date for the release that is convenient for your schedule. Make sure that you do not have any impending deadlines during the two weeks that follow the press release date.

4. Learn to share.

If your paper is coauthored, you should *not* be doing all the media interviews—even if you did most of the work on the paper, and even if you are much better at talking to journalists. Divide and conquer, but in a way that plays to each coauthor's strengths. If you are better on camera, take the television interviews; your coauthor can take the lead with print media. Most importantly, if your coauthor is tenured, but you are not, ask them to take the majority of the interviews.

5. Limit your media outlets.

Countless media outlets already exist, and still new ones seem to emerge every day. As such, the cost of saying no to an interview with a single media outlet is effectively zero, so do not be afraid to do so. If a media outlet you have never heard of contacts you for an interview, a quick internet search can help you determine whether it is worth your time. Furthermore, if a media outlet with a particular political or ideological bias contacts you for an interview, do not forget that its audience will be limited to those who share that bias, and your research (no matter how flawless, and no matter how convincing) is unlikely to change that outlet's preexisting bias.

6. Limit your mediums.

Limit your interviews to formats that cast you in your most ideal light and that work well with your schedule. Live interviews that utilize high-quality HD or ISDN feeds require the most time since they require you to travel to a studio, while Skype interviews can be conducted from the comfort of your office or home. Nonetheless, you are likely to look and sound best on a high-quality feed. In contrast, non-live interviews for print outlets allow you the most control since you can edit and correct

When Your Research Goes Viral

your statements, especially if you are responding to a journalist's questions via email. In sum, each interview medium has its pros and cons; how these pros and cons balance is dependent upon your individual strengths and preferences. Determine your most preferred medium(s), and do not hesitate to limit your interviews to these medium(s).

7. Prioritize interviews.

Understandably, your ability to be demanding diminishes with the prominence of the media outlet. It might be worth it to set aside some of these ground rules—or at least to relax them—for the *New York Times* or *Wall Street Journal*. Along these lines, do not be afraid to reschedule an interview with a lesser-known media outlet in order to accommodate a well-known one. Major media outlets will generate the biggest audience for your research and should always remain the priority.

8. Push back.

When your research goes viral, at least one interview will inevitably make you cringe after the fact. While you cannot do much to correct your own flub or misstatement, you *can* correct a journalist's flub or misstatement. If a journalist incorrectly quotes you or misstates your findings, you have the right to insist that the media outlet issue a correction. Contact the journalist first to demand a correction; if the journalist refuses to act, then contact the media outlet.

Make sure your colleagues know.

Even if you implement all these ground rules, media interviews can nonetheless be quite time consuming. Consequently, make sure your colleagues know how interested the public is in your research, and how much media attention you are receiving. This rule is particularly important if you are pre-tenure. Do not be embarrassed to inform members of your department about the media frenzy surrounding your research. Casually mention how busy you are with your interviews in national print and television media outlets around the faculty water cooler. Get credit for your hard work.

10. Ignore the trolls.

Time is already precious once your research goes viral. Yet a common, related trap can ensnare the unsuspecting

researcher and steal even more of their time: the comments section. Most media outlets now feature reader/viewer comments sections on their websites, which allow the public to post their views of your research anonymously. Do not—I repeat, DO NOT—waste time reading them. Some of these comments will be positive, but more often than not, the comments will make you depressed about the public's reception of your research and the state of humanity more generally. Even if you believe your research has an intuitive outcome and is uncontroversial, the internet trolls will come for it, and sometimes, they will come for you personally. Remember that the purpose of disseminating your research in the media is to inform the public about your important findings and to spark policymakers' interests. Despite going viral, your research need not satisfy every mean-spirited individual who can hide behind a computer; the priority remains for your research to satisfy your colleagues, your institution, and yourself.

Announcements

CSWEP Survey Data Now Available for Research

CSWEP is pleased to announce that data from its annual survey of Ph.D. granting U.S. economics departments are now available, with a restricted data use agreement, from the Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research as ICPSR study 37118 https://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICP-SR/studies/37118/versions/V2. This study includes departmental reports on the number of faculty, undergraduate, graduate, and Ph.D. students, and job placement status for Ph.D. graduates by gender and cover the years 1993-2017. Full documentation of these data

is available online. These data are the basis of CSWEP's annual reports on the status of women in the economics profession. The annual report goes back to 1972 when CSWEP launched its first survey examining the gender composition of the economics profession. Those reports and these data also make use of the American Economic Association's Universal Academic Questionnaire (UAQ). The surveys show increased participation of women at all levels of the economics profession in the 1980s and 1990s, but a plateauing and stagnation of the female share well below parity in the twenty-first century (CSWEP Annual Report 2018:1).

The CSWEP data are the longest series of such data for any academic discipline in the U.S. The founders of CSWEP recognized the importance of data to understanding and achieving gender balance in the economics profession.

The systematic collection and analysis of these data has been a central activitv of CSWEP for its nearly half-century. CSWEP and the AEA have made these data available to the research community as part of their commitment both to gender equality and progress and to data transparency and access. The availability of these rich data is a testament to the efforts of the CSWEP chairs and liaisons who implemented the survey and passed the data on from one to another over many years. CSWEP is grateful for the invaluable work of Charles Scott of Loyola University Maryland in running the UAQ survey and making that available to enhance the CSWEP data. CSWEP plans to make its survey of undergraduate-serving economics departments available at ICPSR in the next year.

Fall 2018 CSWEP Survey Coming Soon!

Since 1972 CSWEP has undertaken the collection of data on the gender composition of faculty and students in both Ph.D. granting and non-Ph.D. granting U.S. economics departments. This 40+ years of data is unique in the social sciences and beyond and is presented in the CSWEP Annual Report. The 2018 survey will be sent to all department chairs in mid-September and the completed survey is due October 22. CSWEP is very appreciative of the work of the 200+ department chairs and staff and the CSWEP liaisons who work to complete these surveys in a timely manner every year.

Calls

Call for Participants and Topic Ideas

CSWEP/Midwest Economics Association Meeting Panels on Career Development

15-17 March 2019, St. Louis, Missouri

SUBMISSION DEADLINE:

12 October 2018

CSWEP is organizing two panels on topics related to career development at the Midwest Economics Association Meetings to be held March 15-17, 2019 at the Hyatt Regency St. Louis at the Arch, St. Louis, Missouri. There will also be a CSWEP networking lunch that you are welcome to attend. New this year is a CSWEP Mentoring Breakfast for Junior Faculty in Non-Doctoral Programs that you can register for. The call for registration will go out soon.

The panels and lunch will be held on the Friday of the conference. One panel will be geared to those looking for jobs (academic and nonacademic) and another panel will focus on mid-career issues. Each panel will have four participants who will each speak for about 10 minutes. The sessions are organized

to allow for plenty of time for an active exchange of ideas and advice among the panelists and session attendees. The Mentoring Breakfast for Junior Faculty in Non-Doctoral Programs will be on Saturday.

If you have specific suggestions regarding the topics to be covered or ideas for potential panelists (you can also suggest yourself), please submit your topics and ideas by October 12, 2018 to Shahina Amin, CSWEP Midwest Rep, shahina.amin@uni.edu. To foster the exchange of new ideas, we especially seek individuals who have not previously served as panelists.

In addition to the CSWEP panels, networking lunch, and mentoring breakfast the MEA meetings provide a great opportunity to present your own research. For those interested in presenting a paper, you can find paper submission information on the MEA website, http://mea.grinnell.edu/.

Call for Complete Sessions and Individual Papers

CSWEP Sessions @ Eastern Economic Association Meeting

28 February–3 March 2019 Sheraton New York Times Square Hotel, New York, NY

SUBMISSION DEADLINE:

Monday, 22 October 2018

CSWEP will sponsor a number of sessions at the annual meeting of the Eastern Economic Association.

Sessions are available for persons submitting an entire session (3 or 4 papers) or a complete panel on a specific topic in any area in economics, as well as topics related to career development. The organizer should prepare a proposal for a panel (including chair and participants) or session (including chair, abstracts and discussants) and submit by e-mail. Please be sure to include the appropriate IEL code(s) and the names, affiliations and emails of all participants.

Additional sessions will be organized by the CSWEP Eastern Representative.

Abstracts for papers in the topic areas of gender, health economics, labor economics and public economics are particularly solicited, but abstracts in other areas are also encouraged. Abstracts should be approximately one page in length and include paper title, appropriate IEL code(s), names of authors, affiliation and rank, and e-mail contact information.

All submissions should be e-mailed to the following address. Decisions will be made before the final regular EEA deadline.

Dr. Karen Conway

John A. Hogan Distinguished Professor of Economics

Peter T. Paul College of Business and Economics

University of New Hampshire

Email: ksconway@unh.edu Phone: (603) 862-3386

If you have specific suggestions regarding career topics to be covered, potential panelists or any other way that CSWEP can offer resources in career development at the Eastern meetings, please submit your ideas to the above address as well.

CSWEP Sessions @ Upcoming Meetings

Southern Economic Association Annual Meeting

18-20 November 2018 Washington, DC

Organizer: Ragan Petrie, Texas A & M University

Professional Development Panel: Advice for Job Seekers & Early Career

Chair: Sarah Jacobson (Williams College)

Linda Hooks (Washington and Lee University), "Search committees and institutional culture"

Monica Hernandez (Tulane University), "Transitioning from a post-doc position"

CSWEP Sessions 1

Susan Vroman (Georgetown University), "Research, publishing and tenure"

Melanie Khamis (Wesleyan University), "Being at a liberal arts college with high research expectations"

Erin Troland (U.S. Treasury Department), "Balancing policy work with research"

Kelly Jones (American University), "Transitioning from policy research to an academic job"

Gender Differences in Bargaining, Cheating and Norm-based Comparisons

Chair: Greg Leo (Vanderbilt University)

Jens Schubert (University of Delaware), "The role of gender in norm-based comparison: Evidence from a classroom experiment"

Billur Aksoy (Texas A&M University), "Are women punished more for cheating?"

Greg Leo (Vanderbilt University), "Gendered beliefs in bargaining"

Corinne Low (University of Pennsylvania), "The public face of masculinity: Chivalry and bravado in a negotiation experiment"

Gender Differences in Health, Judicial and Educational Outcomes

Chair: Shatakshee Dhongde (Georgia Institute of Technology)

Veronica Frisancho (Inter-American Development Bank), "Can we trust self-reported data on intimate partner violence? Evidence from a randomized list experiment"

Jaqueline Oliveira (Rhodes College), "Gender bias in judicial decisions"

Molly Jacobs (East Carolina University), "Tobacco use: The gender differential in adolescent smoking and impact on body weight"

Patricia Ritter (University of Connecticut), "The role of piped water in the obesity gender gap of developing countries"

Shatakshee Dhongde (Georgia Institute of Technology),

"Understanding the reverse gender gap among high school drop-outs"

Gender Differences in Labor Market Outcomes

Chair: Pamela Medina Quispe (University of Toronto)

Rebecca Lehrman (Duke University), "Whose career comes first? Household bargaining and joint career migration among medical couples"

Viviane Sanfelice (University of Rochester), "Universal public childcare and mother labor force participation in Brazil"

Saher Asad (Lahore School of Management), "Mystery of the evil digits: Impact of reliable communication network"

Ishani Tewari (Curry College), "Durable ownership, time allocation and female labor force participation: Evidence from China's 'Home Appliances to the Countryside' rebate"

Pamela Medina Quispe (University of Toronto), "The labor market impacts of import competition on female workers: Evidence from Peru"

Leadership, Networks and Gender Chair: Elaine Frey (California State University-Long Beach)

Valerie Bostwick (Ohio State University), "Nevertheless she persisted? Gender peer effects in doctoral STEM programs"

Saygin Perihan (University of Florida), "Gender gap in academic interactions and outcomes"

Liwen Chen (University of South Carolina), "Diversity is more than numbers: The wage effects of supervisor-worker gender match"

Luca Flabbi (University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill), "Do female executives make a difference? The impact of female leadership on gender gaps and firm performance"

Elaine Frey (California State University–Long Beach), "Gender inequality in California business leadership positions"

What is CSWEP?

CSWEP (the Committee on the Status of Women in the Economics Profession) is a standing committee of the American Economic Association charged with serving professional women economists in academia, government agencies and elsewhere by promoting their careers and monitoring their progress.

CSWEP activities endeavor to raise the awareness among men and women of the challenges that are unique to women's careers and can be addressed with a wide variety of actions, from inclusive searches to formal and informal mentoring activities. CSWEP freely disseminates information on how the profession works as well as advice to junior economists. We intend this information to be of value to all economists, male or female, minority or not.

Annually, CSWEP

- Organizes mentoring workshops, paper presentations sessions at the annual AEA Meetings, and professional development sessions at the annual meetings of the four regional economics associations (the Eastern, Mid-Western, Southern and Western);
- Conducts a survey and compiles a report on the gender composition of faculty and students in academic economics departments in the United States;
- Publishes three editions of the *CSWEP News*, containing a feature section written by senior economists that highlights career advice or other topics of interest to the economics profession; and
- Awards the Carolyn Shaw Bell Award, given to a person for their outstanding work to promote the careers of women economists as well as the Elaine Bennett Research Prize, given biennially to a young woman economist for fundamental contributions to academic economics. Our business meeting is held during the annual AEA Meetings and is open to all economists. It is a time for us to recognize our award recipients, present the Annual Report on Women in the Economics Profession and to hear your input on CSWEP's activities. The CSWEP Board meets three times yearly and we encourage you to attend our business meeting or contact a Board Member directly to convey your ideas for furthering CSWEP's mission.

Visit **cswep.org** for more information.

Directory of CSWEP Board Members

Shelly Lundberg, Chair

Broom Professor of Demography **Department of Economics** University of California. Santa Barbara North Hall 2042 Santa Barbara, CA 93106-

(805) 893-8619 cswep@econ.ucsb.edu

Margaret Levenstein, Assoc. **Chair & Survey Director** Research Professor

Institute for Social Research University of Michigan Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1248 (734) 615-9088 Fax: (734) 647-1186 maggiel@umich.edu

Şebnem Kalemli-Özcan, Assoc. Chair & Director of Mentoring

Professor of Economics University of Maryland, College Park 4118D Tydings Hall College Park, MD 20742 (301) 405-3486 kalemli@econ.umd.edu

Shahina Amin, Midwestern Representative

Associate Professor of **Economics** University of Northern Iowa 210 Curris Business Building Cedar Falls, IA 50614-0135 (319) 273-2637 shahina.amin@uni.edu CSWEP Midwest: http:// mea.grinnell.edu/

Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes, Western Representative Professor and Chair of

Economics San Diego State University 5500 Campanile Drive

San Diego, CA 92182-4485 (619) 594-1663 camuedod@mail.sdsu.edu

Martha Bailey, Ex-Officio **Board Member**

Department of Economics University of Michigan 611 Tappan Street, 207 Lorch Hall Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1220 (734) 647-6874 Fax: (734) 764-4338 baileymj@umich.edu

Sandra Black, At-Large Professor of Economics University of Texas at Austin

2223 Speedway, BRB 1.116, C3100 Austin, Texas 78712 (512) 475-8519

black@austin.utexas.edu Karen Conway, Eastern

Representative Professor of Economics University of New Hampshire 10 Garrison Avenue Durham, NH 03824 (603) 862-3386 ksconway@unh.edu

Elizabeth Klee, At-Large Assistant Director of

Program Direction Division of Monetary Affairs Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20551 (202) 721-4501 Elizabeth.c.klee@frb.gov

Amalia Miller, At-Large Associate Professor of Economics University of Virginia P.O. Box 400182 Charlottesville, VA 22904-

(434) 924-6750 Fax: (434) 982-2904 armiller@virginia.edu

Ann Owen, Ex-Officio Board Member

Professor of Economics Hamilton College 198 College Hill Road Clinton, NY 13323 (315) 859-4419 aowen@hamilton.edu

Ragan Petrie, Southern Representative

Professor of Economics Texas A & M University 4228 TAMU College Station, TX 77843 (979) 845-7351 rpetrie@tamu.edu

Kate Silz-Carson. **Newsletter Oversight Editor**

Professor of Economics **United States Air Force** Academy 2354 Fairchild Drive, Suite 6K110 USAF Academy, CO 80840-6299 (719) 333-2597 Katherine.Silz-Carson@ usafa.edu

Justin Wolfers, At-Large Professor of Economics

College of Literature, Science, and the Arts Professor of Public Policy Gerald R. Ford School of **Public Policy** University of Michigan 611 Tappan Street, 319 Lorch Hall Ann Arbor, MI 48104 (734) 764-2447 jwolfers@umich.edu

Join the CSWEP Liaison Network!

Three cheers for the 150+ economists who have agreed to serve as CSWEP Liaisons! We are already seeing the positive effects of your hard work with increased demand for CSWEP paper sessions, fellowships and other opportunities. Thank you! Dissemination of information—including notice of mentoring events, new editions of the CSWEP News and reporting requests for our Annual Survey and Questionnaire—is an important charge of CSWEP. For this key task, we need your help. Visit CSWEP.org to see the list of current liaisons and departments for whom we'd like to identify a liaison. We are also seeking liaisons from outside the academy. To indicate your willingness to serve, send an e-mail with your contact information to cswep@econ.ucsb.edu.

Brag Box

"We need every day to herald some woman's achievements ... go ahead and boast!" -Carolyn Shaw Bell

Francine Blau has been elected as a Distinguished Fellow of the American Economic Association. In naming her a Distinguished Fellow, the Association cited her "seminal contributions to the study of labor market inequality," and stated that "Her research on the sources of gender wage gaps in the labor market has transformed the field, and much of what is known today is due to her high quality, innovative, and timely work in the area." CSWEP congratulates Francine on this achievement!

In addition, DIW Berlin, a leading German Research Institute, has honored Francine by naming one of their meeting rooms after her. The pictures below show the sign to the room and the plaque that has been placed at the entrance. Congratulations Francine! It appears that you are in good company!

Ragan Petrie, Southern Representative to the CSWEP Board, was recently promoted to Full Professor. Congratulations, Ragan, on this well-earned promotion!

Brigitte Madrian, Aetna Professor of Public Policy and Corporate Management and chair of the Markets, Business and Government Area in the John F. Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, will take over as the ninth dean of the Brigham Young University Marriott School of Business effective 1 January 2019. We congratulate future Dean Madrian on this achievement!

We want to hear from you!

