Properties of New Keynesian Model: Analytic Applications

AEA Continuing Education, 2022, DSGE Modeling

January 9, 2022

Outline

- Fisherian vs anti-Fisherian Debate:
 - How do you get inflation down (or, up)?
 - Fisherian answer: cut the nominal rate of interest.
 - Anti-Fisherian answer: raise the interest rate.
- How do we think about these seemingly contradictory answers?
 NK model gives us a way to think about this.
- Draw on Erceg and Levin, 2003 JME paper, "Imperfect credibility and inflation persistence"
- Forward Guidance Puzzle
- How does the Taylor Principle work to stabilize inflation in the equilibrium local to steady state?

Fisherian versus Anti Fisherian Policy

• Fisherian effect

- Interest rate and inflation move in the same direction.

• Anti-Fisherian effect

- Interest rate and inflation move in opposite direction.

Intuition

• Monetary policy rule (inflation target, $\bar{\pi}_t$):

$$r_t = \pi_t + \phi \left(\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_t \right)$$

- Temporary cut in $\bar{\pi}_t$ (anti-Fisherian effect)
 - actual inflation, π_t , responds very little because price setters focus on long-run conditions
 - remember that inflation depends on current and future values of marginal cost
 - r_t rises and $r_t \pi_{t+1}$ rises too.
 - output, inflation fall

$$cov(\pi_t, r_t) < 0$$

- Permanent cut in $\bar{\pi}_t$ (Fisherian effect)
 - π_t drops strongly
 - r_t falls
 - not much change in $r_t \pi_{t+1}$ so little change in output.

(). **^**

Linearized Equilibrium Conditions

• Monetary policy rule:

$$r_t = \pi_t + \phi \left(\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_t \right).$$

• Law of motion of inflation target, $\bar{\pi}_t$:

$$\bar{\pi}_t = \delta \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t.$$

• Phillips curve and output gap:

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - [r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}].$$

Solving Linearized Equilibrium Conditions

• (Linearized) Equilibrium Conditions of Model:

$$r_t = \pi_t + \phi \left(\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_t \right)$$
, $\bar{\pi}_t = \delta \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$

• Undetermined coefficients method, *a*₁, *a*₂, *a*₃ :

$$\pi_t = a_1 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad x_t = a_2 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad r_t = a_3 \bar{\pi}_t$$

 Substitute the solution into the equations and require that they hold for all possible \(\bar{\pi}_t\):

$$a_3\bar{\pi}_t = a_1\bar{\pi}_t + \phi(a_1-1)\bar{\pi}_t$$

$$a_{3}\bar{\pi}_{t} = a_{1} + \phi \left(a_{1} - 1\right) \bar{\pi}_{t}$$
$$a_{1}\bar{\pi}_{t} = \beta \delta a_{1}\bar{\pi}_{t} + \kappa a_{2}\bar{\pi}_{t}$$
$$a_{2}\bar{\pi}_{t} = a_{2}\delta\bar{\pi}_{t} - \left[a_{3} - a_{1}\delta\right]\bar{\pi}_{t}.$$

• Want to know: a_1, a_3 when $\delta = 0$ and $\delta = 1$.

Solving the Model: Getting the *a*'s

• Substitute the solution into the equations:

$$a_3 = a_1 + \phi (a_1 - 1)$$

 $a_1 = \beta \delta a_1 + \kappa a_2$
 $a_2 = a_2 \delta - [a_3 - a_1 \delta].$

• Now start rearranging stuff

$$a_{3} = (1 + \phi) a_{1} - \phi$$
$$a_{1} = \frac{\kappa}{1 - \beta \delta} a_{2}$$
$$a_{2} = a_{2}\delta - (1 + \phi - \delta) a_{1} + \phi$$

• $\delta = 1$ result now obvious $(a_1 = a_3 = 1)$; $\delta = 0$ easy.

Solving the Model: Getting the *a***'s** Model:

$$r_t = \pi_t + \phi \left(\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_t\right), \quad \bar{\pi}_t = \delta \bar{\pi}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$
$$\pi_t = \beta \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$
$$x_t = x_{t+1} - [r_t - \pi_{t+1}].$$

• Undetermined coefficients, *a*₁, *a*₂, *a*₃ :

$$\pi_t = a_1 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad x_t = a_2 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad r_t = a_3 \bar{\pi}_t$$

• Solution • derivation

$$a_1 = \frac{\phi}{\left[\frac{1-\beta\delta}{\kappa}+1\right](1-\delta)+\phi}, \qquad a_3 = (1+\phi)a_1-\phi.$$

• Permanent case, $\delta = 1: a_1 = a_3 = 1$

• Temporary case,
$$\delta = 0$$
:
- $a_1 = \frac{\phi}{\frac{1}{\kappa} + 1 + \phi} > 0$, $a_3 = -\frac{\phi/\kappa}{\frac{1}{\kappa} + 1 + \phi} < 0$.

It all depends on persistence

 $\pi_t = a_1 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad x_t = a_2 \bar{\pi}_t, \qquad r_t = a_3 \bar{\pi}_t$

- Fisherian result:
 - A permanent increase in $\bar{\pi}_t$, ($\delta = 1$) leads to a rise in π_t and a rise in r_t .
- Anti-Fisherian result:
 - A purely temporary increase in $\bar{\pi}_t$ leads to a rise in π_t and a fall in r_t .
- Impact of a rise in $\bar{\pi}_t$ on π_t and r_t (sign of a_3) depends on persistence of the rise and the other parameters of the model

Erceg and Levin Combine the two Effects to Explain Volcker Recession

- Volcker reduced the inflation target, $\bar{\pi}_t$, permanently.
- But, what matters is people's *beliefs*, and they were convinced the reduced target was only temporary.
 - The 1970s had witnessed numerous episodes in which the Fed reduced the target 'permanently', only to raise it again soon after.
- So, the public thought Volcker was 'business as usual' and interpreted the decline in the target as temporary.

The Volcker Recession

- Interest rates went way up and output, down.
- Forecasts of inflation remained stubbornly high.
- Eventually, everyone realized that $\bar{\pi}_t$ was down permanently.
 - Fisherian effects kicked in and both interest rates and inflation fell.
 - Output returned to potential.
- Bond markets now indicate that people believe that there hasn't been a persistent increase in π
 _t, i.e. the Fed will bring inflation down.

Forward Guidance Puzzle

- When interest rates became very low after 2008, monetary policy authorities resorted to 'forward guidance':
 - Announcements that interest rates in the future will be low.
- Studying forward guidance in models, researchers stumbled on what came to be called the 'forward guidance puzzle':
 - Announcements about a cut in the interest rate in the distant future have a bigger impact that a current reduction in the interest rate.
- People felt this was implausible (though we have no empirical evidence on the issue) and so called it a puzzle.

Forward Guidance Puzzle

- When interest rates became very low after 2008, monetary policy authorities resorted to 'forward guidance':
 - Announcements that interest rates in the future will be low.
- Studying forward guidance in models, researchers stumbled on what came to be called the 'forward guidance puzzle':
 - Announcements about a cut in the interest rate in the distant future have a bigger impact that an immediate reduction in the interest rate.
- People feel this property is implausible (though we have no empirical evidence on the issue) and so called it a *puzzle*.

Characterizing the Puzzle

• Phillips curve and output gap:

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - [r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}].$$

- Two scenarios, each followed by Taylor rule in t + j + s, s ≥ 0.
 j Period Forward guidance:
 - $r_{t+s} = 0$ for $s = 0, ..., j 1, r_{t+j} = \theta$.
 - Immediate policy:
 - $r_t = \theta$, $r_{t+s} = 0$, for s = 1, 2, ..., j.

• Taylor rule:

Taylor principle,
$$\phi > 1$$

 $r_t = \phi \pi_t.$

Characterizing the Puzzle

• Phillips curve and output gap:

$$\pi_t = \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - [r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}].$$

- Two scenarios, each followed by Taylor rule in t + j + s, s ≥ 0.
 j Period Forward guidance:
 - $r_{t+s} = 0$ for $s = 0, ..., j 1, r_{t+j} = \theta$.
 - Immediate policy:

•
$$r_t = \theta$$
, $r_{t+s} = 0$, for $s = 1, 2, ..., j$.

• Taylor rule:

Taylor principle,
$$\phi > 1$$

 $r_t = \phi \pi_t.$

• Result: impact on date t variables greater from forward guidance than from immediate policy.

One-period Forward Guidance (j = 2)

- Announcement at time $t : r_t = 0$, $r_{t+1} = \theta$, Taylor rule thereafter.
- Because (i) there are no shocks, (ii) the model is purely forward looking and (iii) Taylor rule with $\phi > 1$ in place after t + 1:

$$r_{t+s} = x_{t+s} = \pi_{t+s} = 0, \ s > 1.$$

• In period t+1

$$x_t = E_t x_{t+1} - [r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1}].$$

$$r_{t+1} = \theta$$

$$x_{t+1} = x_{t+2} - [r_{t+1} - \pi_{t+2}] = 0 - [r_{t+1} - 0] = -r_{t+1}$$

$$\pi_{t+1} = \beta \pi_{t+2} + \kappa x_{t+1} = \kappa x_{t+1} = -\kappa r_{t+1}$$

• So, in t + 1:

$$r_{t+1}=\theta, x_{t+1}=-\theta, \pi_{t+1}=-\kappa\theta.$$

• What happens in period *t*?

One-period Forward Guidance (j = 2)

• Effect: in the period t + 1, of t + 1 policy action announced in t:

$$r_{t+1} = \theta$$
, $x_{t+1} = -\theta$, $\pi_{t+1} = -\kappa\theta$.

• In period *t*:

$$\begin{aligned} r_t &= 0 \\ x_t &= x_{t+1} - [r_t - \pi_{t+1}] = -\left(\overbrace{1}^{\text{direct effect}} + \overbrace{\kappa}^{\text{indirect effect}} \right) \cdot (r_{t+1}) \\ \pi_t &= \beta \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t = -\beta \kappa \cdot (r_{t+1} = \theta) + \kappa x_t \end{aligned}$$
so,

$$\pi_{t} = -\beta \kappa r_{t+1} + \kappa \cdot \underbrace{x_{t}}_{x_{t}}$$

$$\rightarrow \pi_{t} = -[1 + \beta + \kappa] \kappa \theta, \quad x_{t} = -(1 + \kappa) \theta$$

Immediate Policy

- Announcement at time $t : r_t = \theta \neq 0$, $r_{t+1} = 0$ and Taylor rule thereafter.
- Because the model is completely forward looking,

$$r_{t+s} = x_{t+s} = \pi_{t+s} = 0, \ s > 0.$$

• Then,

$$r_{t} = \theta$$

$$x_{t} = x_{t+1} - [r_{t} - \pi_{t+1}] = 0 - [r_{t} - 0] = -r_{t}$$

$$\pi_{t} = \beta \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_{t} = \beta \times 0 + \kappa x_{t}$$

• So,

$$ightarrow r_t = heta, \quad x_t = - heta, \quad \pi_t = -\kappa heta.$$

which is smaller than with one-period forward guidance:

$$\pi_t = - [1 + \beta + \kappa] \kappa \theta, \quad x_t = - (1 + \kappa) \theta$$

Intuition

- Consider *j* Period Forward Guidance.
 - Announcement at time $t : r_{t+j} = \theta \neq 0$ and $r_{t+s} = 0$ for s = 0, 1, ..., j 1. Switch to Taylor rule after t + j.
- IS equation (recall, $r_t = ... = r_{t+j-1} = 0$):

$$\begin{aligned} x_{t+j} &= x_{t+j+1} - (r_{t+j} - \pi_{t+j+1}) = -r_{t+j} \\ x_{t+j-1} &= x_{t+j} - (r_{t+j-1} - \pi_{t+j}) = - (r_{t+j-1} - \pi_{t+j}) - r_{t+j} \\ &\vdots \\ x_t &= - (r_t - \pi_{t+1}) - (r_{t+1} - \pi_{t+2}) \\ &- \dots - (r_{t+j-1} - \pi_{t+j}) - r_{t+j} \end{aligned}$$

Intuition, cnt'd

• IS equation (recall, $r_t = ... = r_{t+j-1} = 0$):

$$x_t = -(r_t - \pi_{t+1}) - (r_{t+1} - \pi_{t+2}) -\dots - (r_{t+j-1} - \pi_{t+j}) - r_{t+j}$$

• Change in r_{t+i} has a *direct* effect on x_t and an *indirect* effect.

- Direct: change in r_{t+j} moves x_{t+j} and (by consumption smoothing channel) that leads to an equal change in earlier output gaps, including x_t .
 - This channel holds fixed the real interest rates, $(r_{t+s} \pi_{t+s+1})$, s = 0, ..., j 1.
- Indirect: change in r_{t+j} affects $(r_{t+s} \pi_{t+s+1})$, $0 \le s \le j-1$ in each date between now and t+j by reducing inflation in each date.
 - The impact on x_t of the indirect effect is the *cumulative sum* (increasing in j) of the changes in the real interest rate.

Forward Guidance: Conclusion

- Forward Guidance Puzzle is generally attributed to Del Negro, Giannoni and Patterson, 'The Forward Guidance Puzzle', NYFed Staff Report No. 574, 2012, revised manuscript in 2017.
- Sparked a large literature to 'solve' the problem.
 - Gabaix, "A Behavioral New Keynesian Model", NBER WP 22954, June 2019.
 - Farhi and Werning, "Monetary Policy, Bounded Rationality, and Incomplete Markets," NBER Working Paper No. 23281, 2017.
 - Angeletos, and Lian, "Forward guidance without common knowledge," American Economic Review, 2018.
 - Campbell, Ferroni, Fisher and Melosi, "The Limits of Forward Guidance," JME, Vol 108, December 2019.
 - This offers what is perhaps the simplest resolution: in practice, announcements about policy actions far in the future have little impact on behavior because they are not credible.
 - In my presentation, I assumed 100% credibility.

How Does the Taylor Principle Work to Stabilize Inflation?

• Model

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= E_t x_{t+1} - [r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} - r_t^*] \\ r_t &= \phi_\pi \pi_t, \quad \phi_\pi > 1 \\ \pi_t &= \beta E_t \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t \\ \Delta a_t &= \rho \Delta a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t \\ r_t^* &= E_t (a_{t+1} - a_t) = \rho \Delta a_t. \end{aligned}$$

• Unique non-explosive solution:

$$\pi_t = \gamma_1 \Delta a_t, x_t = \gamma_2 \Delta a_t, r_t = \gamma_3 \Delta a_t$$

– γ_i 's ~ undetermined coefficients.

• Model and solution

$$x_{t} = E_{t}x_{t+1} - [r_{t} - E_{t}\pi_{t+1} - r_{t}^{*}]$$

$$r_{t} = \phi_{\pi}\pi_{t}, \quad \phi_{\pi} > 1$$

$$\pi_{t} = \beta E_{t}\pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_{t}$$

$$\Delta a_{t} = \rho \Delta a_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{t}$$

$$r_{t}^{*} = E_{t}(a_{t+1} - a_{t}) = \rho \Delta a_{t}$$

• Solution is of form

$$\pi_t = \gamma_1 \Delta a_t, x_t = \gamma_2 \Delta a_t, r_t = \gamma_3 \Delta a_t$$

• Substitute solution into model:

$$egin{aligned} &\gamma_2 =
ho \gamma_2 - \gamma_3 +
ho \gamma_1 +
ho \ &\gamma_3 = \phi_\pi \gamma_1 \ &\gamma_1 = eta \gamma_1
ho + \kappa \gamma_2 \end{aligned}$$

• Real rate:
$$\tilde{r}_t = r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} = \gamma_4 \Delta a_t$$
,

$$\gamma_4 = \gamma_3 - \gamma_1 \rho.$$

• Each to verify:

$$r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} = \underbrace{\psi}^{=\gamma_4} \Delta a_t, x_t = \underbrace{(1 - \beta \rho)}_{\kappa (\phi_{\pi} - \rho)} \psi \Delta a_t, \pi_t = \underbrace{\psi}^{=\gamma_1}_{\phi_{\pi} - \rho} \Delta a_t$$

where

$$\psi \equiv rac{
ho}{rac{(1-eta
ho)(1-
ho)}{\kappa(\phi_\pi-
ho)}+1}.$$

• For ϕ_{π} sufficiently large,

$$\psi \simeq \rho$$
, $r_t - E_t \pi_{t+1} \simeq r_t^*$, $\pi_t \simeq 0$, $x_t \simeq 0$.

- Big value of ϕ_{π} stabilizes equilibrium around first best.
 - However, requires very large value of ϕ_{π} .
 - For practical values, Taylor rule too weak, $\psi < \rho$ and $\gamma_2 > 0$.
- Taylor principle:
 - real rate of interest increases when π_t high ($\psi > 0$ and $\phi > \rho$).
 - effects bigger with bigger ϕ_{π} .

• The equations:

$$r_t = \pi_t + \phi \left(\pi_t - \bar{\pi}_t\right)$$
$$\pi_t = \beta \pi_{t+1} + \kappa x_t$$
$$x_t = x_{t+1} - \left[r_t - \pi_{t+1}\right].$$

• Substitute the solution in here:

$$a_{3} = a_{1} + \phi (a_{1} - 1)$$
$$a_{1} = \beta \delta a_{1} + \kappa a_{2}$$
$$a_{2} = a_{2} \delta - [a_{3} - a_{1} \delta].$$

• Rearranging:

$$a_{3} = (1+\phi)a_{1} - \phi$$

$$a_{1} = \frac{\kappa}{1-\beta\delta}a_{2}$$

$$a_{2} = a_{2}\delta - [a_{3} - a_{1}\delta] = a_{2}\delta - (1+\phi-\delta)a_{1} + \phi$$

$$\rightarrow a_{2} = -\frac{1+\phi-\delta}{1-\delta}a_{1} + \frac{\phi}{1-\delta}$$

• Working on the second equation,

$$a_1 \frac{1 - \beta \delta}{\kappa} = -\frac{(1 + \phi - \delta)}{1 - \delta}a_1 + \frac{\phi}{1 - \delta}$$

then,

$$a_{1} = \frac{\frac{\phi}{1-\delta}}{\frac{1-\beta\delta}{\kappa} + \frac{1+\phi-\delta}{1-\delta}} = \frac{\frac{\phi}{1-\delta}}{\frac{1-\beta\delta}{\kappa} + 1 + \frac{\phi}{1-\delta}} = \frac{\phi}{\left[\frac{1-\beta\delta}{\kappa} + 1\right](1-\delta) + \phi}$$

• Then,

$$a_{3} = \frac{(1+\phi)\phi}{\left[\frac{1-\beta\delta}{\kappa}+1\right](1-\delta)+\phi} - \phi$$

• So, when $\delta = 1 : a_1 = a_3 = 1$. When $\delta = 0$, get formulas for a_1, a_3 in main presentation. • Go Back