Homophily and Community Structure at Scale: An Application to a Large Professional Network

By Juan Nelson Martínez Dahbura, Shota Komatsu, Takanori Nishida and Angelo Mele

Professional and business networks are an important determinant of labor market outcomes and efficiency. We study the emergence of such networks, using anonymized data on over 30,000 users of Eight, a contact and career management app with over 3 million users in Japan.

Our empirical analysis is guided by a structural model of network formation with observed and unobserved individual heterogeneity. In our model, users are first randomly assigned to one of a finite number of unobservable types. The network is then formed sequentially, as users randomly meet and establish business connections based on their benefits and costs of forming, maintaining and deleting a link.

The Eight data offers a unique view into the mechanisms behind the formation of face-to-face professional networks at scale, since the exchange of business cards is a traditional business practice in Japan. Eight’s users have access to a mobile app to scan and manage the business cards they receive, and to become contacts within the Eight professional network. We use these links and (some) individual covariates as our network data.

We overcome several computational challenges that plague these structural models by using a highly scalable two-step estimation method. The first step makes efficient use of the sparsity of the network and information on observable characteristics to recover the unobserved types, through computationally convenient approximations of the likelihood function. In the second step, a pseudolikelihood estimator recovers the structural parameters of the utility functions, given the estimated unobserved heterogeneity.

Our results bring light into the role of homophily and shared professional contacts on the emergence of business networks.

I. Model

We model the Eight users decision to form, maintain and delete links. Individuals are characterized by a vector of observables $x_i$ and unobservables $z_i$, both with finite support. Each user belongs to one of $K$ types, so $z_i = (z_{i1},...,z_{iK})$ and user $i$ belongs to type $k$ if $z_{ik} = 1$. The network is described by the (symmetric) adjacency matrix $g$, with entries $g_{ij} = 1$ if users $i$ and $j$ are linked and $g_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The utility for user $i$ from network $g$ is given by

$$U_i(g) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} g_{ij} \left( u_{ij} + \sum_{r \neq i,j}^{n} g_{ir} g_{rj} v_{ijr} \right)$$

where $u_{ij} = u_{ij}^w := \alpha_w + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \beta_{wp} 1_{(x_{ip}=x_{jp})}$ if $z_{i} = z_{j}$ and $u_{ij} = u_{ij}^b := \alpha_b + \sum_{p=1}^{P} \beta_{bp} 1_{(x_{ip}=x_{jp})}$ if $z_{i} \neq z_{j}$; and $v_{ijr} = \gamma$ if $z_{i} = z_{j} = z_{r}$ and $v_{ijr} = 0$ otherwise. In this formulation $u_{ij}$ is the net utility of forming a direct link between $i$ and $j$, including both costs and benefits of each link; and $v_{ijr}$ is the payoff that $i$ receives because of the common connections with $j$. In our specification, we allow $u_{ij}$ to depend on observables and unobservables, and $v_{ijr}$ is normalized to 0 when considering users of different unobserved types. The types $z_i$’s are randomly assigned at time $t = 0$ and do not change over time. Links are formed sequentially, and in each period $t = 1, 2, ..$ we have the following:

1. Users $i$ and $j$ meet with probability $\rho_{ij} > 0$.
2. Users $i$ and $j$ observe a matching quality shock $\epsilon_{ij}$, assumed iid among players and time.
3. Users $i$ and $j$ decide whether to form or delete the link $g_{ij}$, by maximizing their joint surplus.

Mele (2022) and Dahbura et al. (2021) show that, under mild assumptions and conditioning on the unobserved types $z$, this network forma-
tion process leads to a long-run equilibrium distribution of networks \( \pi(g, x, z; \theta) \).

\[
(1) \quad \pi(g, x, z; \theta) = \frac{K}{\Delta_{ij}} \prod_{i=1}^{K} e^{Q_{ik}} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^{K} e^{\Delta_{ij}} 1 + e^{\Delta_{ij}} \right]
\]

where \( \Delta_{ij} = z_{ik} z_{jk} g_{ij} u_{ij} \),

\[
Q_{kk} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} z_{ik} z_{jk} g_{ij} \left( u_{ij} + 2 \gamma \frac{1}{3} \sum_{r \neq i, j} z_{rk} g_{jr} g_{ri} \right)
\]

and \( c_{kk} = \sum_{o \in g} \exp(Q_{kk}) \). This shows that the likelihood of observing a network \( g \) can be decomposed in within- and between-types likelihood contributions. The between-type likelihood consists of independent links, because the externality \( v_{ij} \) is normalized to zero; while the within-type likelihood consists of \( K \) independent exponential random graphs.

II. Scalable two-step estimation

We take a random effects approach and assume that \( z_i \)'s are independent from observables and the network,

\[
(2) \quad z_i \sim i.d.d p_\eta(z) = \text{Multinomial}(1; \eta_1, \ldots, \eta_K)
\]

Let \( L(g, x, z; \theta, \eta) := p_\eta(z) \pi(g, x, z; \theta) \), so complete log-likelihood is

\[
(3) \quad \mathcal{L}(g, x, \theta, \eta) = \log \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} L(g, x, z; \theta, \eta)
\]

Estimation of this model is challenging for two reasons. First, the likelihood \( \pi(g, x, z; \theta) \) is proportional to a normalizing constant that is impractical or infeasible to compute. Second, the complete likelihood involves integrating over all possible unobservable block structures \( z \), which is also impractical.

We therefore perform approximate inference based on an approximation of the likelihood (3). We exploit the fact that our model corresponds to a stochastic block model when \( \gamma = 0 \). Indeed, most of the links are across blocks, while the contribution to the likelihood of the within-type links is small. Therefore, we estimate the types assignments using the approximate stochastic block model likelihood, setting \( \gamma = 0 \) for the community discovery step (Babkin et al., 2020). Let \( L_0(g, x, z; \alpha, \beta) := p_\eta(z) \pi(g, x, z; \alpha, \beta, \gamma = 0) \), so we have \( L(g, x, z; \theta, \eta) \approx L_0(g, x, z; \alpha, \beta, \eta) \). To estimate the stochastic block model, we use a variational mean-field approximation approach (Bickel et al., 2013; Babkin et al., 2020). This amounts to find the approximate multinomial distribution \( q_\xi(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_\xi_{i}(z_i) \) that minimizes the Kullback-Leibler divergence from the true likelihood; we thus obtain a lower bound \( \ell_B(g, x, \alpha, \beta, \eta; \xi) \) to the log-likelihood

\[
\mathcal{L}(g, x, \theta, \eta) \approx \log \sum_{z \in \mathcal{Z}} L_0(g, x, z; \alpha, \beta, \eta)
\]

\[
g \geq \ell_B(g, x, \alpha, \beta, \eta; \xi)
\]

\[
= \sum_{i < j} K \sum_{k=1}^{n} \xi_{ik} \xi_{jl} \log \pi_{ij,kl}(x)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \xi_{ik} (\log \eta_k - \log \xi_{ik})
\]

where \( \pi_{ij,kl}(x) \) is the probability that \( i \) and \( j \) of type \( k \) and \( l \) are connected. Maximizing the lower bound \( \ell_B(g, x, \alpha, \beta, \eta, \xi) \) is still computationally intensive for a large network, thus we resort to a minorization approach, first proposed in Vu, Hunter and Schweinberger (2013). At iteration \( s + 1 \) of the algorithm, we find the \( \xi \) that maximizes the minimizer \( M(\xi, \xi^{(s)}) \) of the lower bound

\[
M(\xi, \xi^{(s)}) := \sum_{i < j} K \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left( \frac{\xi_{ik}^{(s)} \xi_{jl}^{(s)}}{2 \xi_{ik}^{(s)}} + \frac{\xi_{ik} \xi_{jl}}{2 \xi_{ik}^{(s)}} \right) \log \pi_{ij,kl}(x)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \xi_{ik} (\log \eta_k^{(s)} - \log \xi_{ik}^{(s)}) - \frac{\xi_{ik}}{\xi_{ik}^{(s)}} + 1
\]

The maximization of \( M(\xi, \xi^{(s)}) \) amounts to the solution of \( n \) independent maximization problems, amenable to massive parallelization. The update rules for \( \xi \), \( \eta \), and \( \pi_{ij,kl}(x) \) follow

\[
\xi^{(s+1)} := \arg \max_{\xi} M(\xi, \xi^{(s)})
\]

\[
\eta^{(s+1)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_{ik}^{(s+1)}
\]

\[
\pi_{ij,kl}^{(s+1)}(x) := \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_{ik}^{(s+1)} \xi_{jl}^{(s+1)} 1 \{ g_{ij}, \chi_{ij} \} \sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_{ik}^{(s+1)} \xi_{jl}^{(s+1)} 1 \{ \chi_{ij} \} }{\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \xi_{ik}^{(s+1)} \xi_{jl}^{(s+1)} 1 \{ \chi_{ij} \} }
\]

for \( k, l = 1, \ldots, K \), where \( \chi_{ij} = \{ \chi_{1,ij}, \ldots, \chi_{P,ij} \} \)
and $\chi_{p,ij} = 1\{x_{ip} = x_{jp}\}$. Once this Variational EM algorithm has converged, we assign $\tilde{z}$ according to the modal type of each node. Given the estimated types $\tilde{z}$, we then estimate the structural parameters $\theta = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ using a pseudolikelihood estimator. Let $p_{ij}^w$ and $p_{ij}^b$ be the conditional probabilities of links within- and between-type, respectively

$$
p_{ij}^w = \Lambda \left( u_{ij}^w + u_{ji}^w + 4\gamma \sum_{r \neq i,j} I_{ijr} g_{jr} g_{ir} \right)$$

$$
p_{ij}^b = \Lambda \left( u_{ij}^b + u_{ji}^b \right)
$$

where $\Lambda(u) = e^u/(1 + e^u)$ is the logistic function and $I_{ijr} = 1$ if $z_i = z_j = z_r$. The pseudolikelihood estimator solves

$$
\hat{\theta}_{PL} = \arg \max_{\theta} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j \neq i} \left[ g_{ij} \log p_{ij} + (1 - g_{ij}) \log (1 - p_{ij}) \right]
$$

with $p_{ij} = p_{ij}^w$ if $z_i = z_j$ and $p_{ij} = p_{ij}^b$ otherwise. Asymptotic theory for this estimator is contained in Boucher and Mourifie (2017).

### III. Data and Results

We use anonymized data on business card exchanges among users of Eight who have agreed to the terms of usage of the service. Users upload their own business card when creating their profile, which allows us to observe information about them as well as their business connections with other users. We employ information on the user’s geocoded location based on the address in their business card. We map the coordinates to an index of the H3 indexing system,\(^1\) which represents a tile of roughly 5.17 squared kilometers. We also employ data on their occupation type and industrial classification of the company they work for. The rest of the analysis is performed on a sub-network containing only users located in Tokyo. We employ k-core decomposition to extract the connected component that exhibits a minimum degree of 10. The resulting graph is formed by 30,323 nodes and 321,188 edges. Most users in the data are employed in companies in the Technology (22%) and Consulting (14%) industries. The most common occupational categories are Sales-related positions (17%) followed by company directors (15%). We also observe a large degree of geographic concentration: about 84% of the nodes are located in just five districts of Tokyo. The network is quite sparse, with a density of 0.0007. The median degree is 16 and the maximum degree is 343, reflecting the highly skewed degree distribution of the network.

![The Eight Mobile App](https://eng.uber.com/h3/)

Note: The Eight mobile app allows users to scan physical business cards employing the smartphone’s camera. High quality digitization is achieved through the usage of advanced OCR algorithms and the help of human operators.

Our implementation of the Variational EM algorithm is an improved version of the hergm R package (Schweinberger and Luna, 2018). The lighthergm package makes it possible to perform the block recovery step on networks with hundreds of thousands of nodes with model specifications including discrete covariates. Scalability is achieved through the usage of sparse matrices and by favoring matrix algebra over nested loops, which allows us to massively parallelize most computations. The code is publicly available on GitHub.\(^2\) Further implementation details can be found in Dabura et al. (2021). We estimate the model with $K = 100$ types. We initialize the types affiliations with the Infomap algorithm by Rosvall, Axelsson and Bergstrom (2009). We run 20,000

\(^1\)https://eng.uber.com/h3/

\(^2\)https://github.com/sansan-inc/lighthergm
Figure 2. : Results of the Block Recovery Step

(a) Lower Bound Convergence

![Graph showing lower bound value convergence](image)

(b) Block Size Distribution

![Graph showing block size distribution](image)

Note: The clustering step is first initialized with the resulting partition after applying 20,000 iterations of the EM algorithm without employing covariates. The values shown are obtained by employing for clustering the information on node location (H3 Index Tile), large industrial category and occupation type.

Table 1——: HERGM Parameter Estimates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Between</th>
<th>Within</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept ($\alpha$)</td>
<td>$-7.709^{***}$</td>
<td>$-4.754^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.002)</td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Contacts ($\gamma$)</td>
<td></td>
<td>$0.736^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(0.004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same Location ($\beta_1$)</td>
<td>$0.333^{***}$</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(H3 Tile)</td>
<td>(0.007)</td>
<td>(0.012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same Industry ($\beta_2$)</td>
<td>$0.694^{***}$</td>
<td>$0.034^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.005)</td>
<td>(0.009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Same Occupation ($\beta_3$)</td>
<td>$0.409^{***}$</td>
<td>$0.041^{***}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.006)</td>
<td>(0.010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayesian Inf. Crit.</td>
<td>4,171,768</td>
<td>808,597</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01.
Coefficient estimates are obtained by the method of Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood Estimation.

iterations of the variational EM algorithm without employing the information on node covariates to achieve a better starting partition at a relatively low computational cost. Finally, we apply 100 EM iterations starting from the partition obtained in the previous step, using the version of the algorithm that uses the full specification with node covariates. This is the most complex version of the algorithm in terms of memory consumption and computation. For our network, one iteration takes roughly 1.3 minutes and the whole computation can be performed with under 20 GB of memory. This is a considerable improvement in terms of processing time and memory usage over previous implementations of HERGMs, which did not allow for node covariates. Figure 2a shows the level of the lower bound at each iteration when applying the EM algorithm with covariates.

Figure 2b shows the distribution of block size.
The distribution is skewed, with a median size of 230 nodes and a maximum size of 1,155 nodes, although the largest block only accounts for roughly 3.8% of the total number of nodes. The resulting partition is an improvement over the initial value obtained from Infomap, which groups roughly half of the nodes in the four largest blocks.

The parameters of the utility function are obtained by Maximum Pseudo-Likelihood Estimation (MPLE), conditioning on the estimated node partition. The corresponding estimates are shown in Table 1. We observe evidence of homophily in professional networking. Business persons in our data exhibit a significant preference for connections with other users who are similar in terms of geospatial location, occupational category and industrial classification, especially for connections across the communities recovered by our model. This is consistent with other studies on industrial and spatial agglomeration (although geographic homophily within types is not significant). We also observe that pairs of users with contacts in common are significantly more likely to form new connections. Finding evidence of externalities even after controlling for homophily is an important result for such a sparse network. It may suggest that the network as a whole can benefit from lower costs to triadic closure. This can potentially be achieved through improvements on the way users connect with each other through the web app, and on the information they observe in their feed.

IV. Conclusion

In this work we study the decision process behind the formation of a large professional network, guided by an empirical network formation model with unobserved types. We use data of mostly face-to-face encounters from a popular professional networking service in Japan, and make use of a Variational Expectation Maximization algorithms to recover unobservable types, after controlling for node covariates. Our implementation is scalable and can be employed to the analysis of networks of tens of thousands of nodes at a low computational cost. A scalable block recovery algorithm can be useful for other downstream tasks such as node classification, link prediction, and the improvement of search engines by employing information on the similarity of nodes on the unobserved types recovered by our model. Although our two-steps method significantly enhances researchers’ ability to estimate HERGMs with large scale networks, additional improvements are possible, especially in the handling of a large number of covariates as well as in model selection. We leave these developments to future research.
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