Is women's competitiveness expressed vicariously through their husband's income?

Gahye (Rosalyn) Jeon

Georgia State University

Abstract

Prior research on the contribution of competitiveness on the gender income gap has focused on the effect of individual competitiveness.¹

However, individual's competitiveness may not be solely expressed in their own labor market performance, but also in the labor market performance of their domestic partners with whom they enjoy public goods and a shared income.

We investigate the influence of heterosexual individuals' own and cohabiting partner's competitiveness on their own and partner's future income

Our evidence suggests that competitive women match with higher potential income men as spouses and motivate these men to earn a higher income, increasing the future income of their household.

David Ong

Jinan University-University of Birmingham Joint Institute. Jinan University

Data and Methods

Large representative sample survey of Dutch population

Dutch Longitudinal Internet Studies for the Social Sciences (LISS)

- Self-reported competitiveness²
 - ▶ one-time survey in March 2017
 - "How competitive do you consider yourself to be?"
 - ▶ 11-point Likert-scale response: from 'not competitive at all' to 'very competitive'
- Future monthly income (2018 2021)

Empirically estimating the causal effect of competitiveness on future income We use men's and women's March 2017 income as proxy controls for unobserved individual and couple heterogeneities.

Table 1. OLS regressions of coupled men's and women's average monthly income on both men's and women's competitiveness (by year)

	Average monthly income							
(Euros)	March 2017	2018	2019	2020	2021			
Panel A. Coupled men's average m	onthly incor	ne						
$N \approx 595$; Adjusted R-squared ≈ 0.170								
Men's competitiveness	194.2***	205.3***	268.5***	266.5***	223.0***			
Women's competitiveness	182.1***	234.5***	238.1***	242.3***	266.3***			
Panel B. Coupled women's average monthly income								
N ≈ 609; Adjusted R-squared ≈ 0.243	-							
Men's competitiveness	61.71	7.678	8.610	6.275	1.154			
Women's competitiveness	136.0***	137.6***	136.7**	160.1***	149.5**			
Panel C. Coupled men's average m	onthly incor	me controlli	ng for Marc	h 2017 inco	me			
$N\approx 580;$ Adjusted R-squared ≈ 0.824								
Men's competitiveness		12.33	57.74	64.10*	39.91			
Women's competitiveness		54.10**	64.22*	79.33**	104.9**			
Panel D. Coupled women's average	e monthly in	come contre	olling for M	arch 2017 in	ncome			
$N \approx 583$; Adjusted R-squared ≈ 0.812	-		-					
Men's competitiveness		-34.63	-19.57	-16.73	-13.31			
Women's competitiveness		14.95	19.06	49.48	54.37			

Controls: Men's and Women's age and education, Marital status, and Children. Panels B and D also include both Men's and Women's March 2017 income

Table 2. OLS regressions of individuals' average monthly income on own competitiveness (by gender and cohabitation status)

		Average monthly income				
(Euros)	Single men N ≈ 232	$\begin{array}{c} Coupled \ men \\ N \approx 815 \end{array}$	Single women $N \approx 297$	$\begin{array}{c} Coupled \ women \\ N \approx 926 \end{array}$		
Panel A. Average monthly income (April 2017 – Jan 2021)						
Competitiveness	124.0	232.5***	197.1***	96.17**		
Panel B. Average monthly income (April 2017 – Jan 2021) controlling for March 2017 income						
Competitiveness	89.52**	36.56	45.48	6.400		
Controls Age Educatio	n Marital status and	Children				

Table 3. OLS regressions of men's and women's average work hours on both men's and women's competitiveness

	Average work hours condition	Average work hours conditional on positive work hours			
(hours per week)	Coupled men N ≈ 301	Coupled women $N \approx 252$			
Panel A. Average work hours	(2018–2021)				
Men's competitiveness	1.407**	-0.586			
Women's competitiveness	-0.497	1.497**			
Panel B. Average work hours	(2018-2021) controlling for 2017	work hours			
Men's competitiveness	1.404***	-0.176			
Women's competitiveness	-0.902	0.458			
Controls: Men's and Women's ag	e and education, Marital status, and	Children. Panel B also includes			

Men's and Women's 2017 work hours, respectively.

References Shurchkov, O., & Eckel, C. C. (2018). Gender differences in behavioral traits and labor market outcomes (pp. 480-512). Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press. Buser, T., Niederle, M., & Oosterbeek, H. (2021). Can competitiveness predict education and labor market outcomes? Evidence from incentivized choice an survey measures (No. w28916). National Bureau of Economic Research.

Contact: ghrjeon@gmail.com; dvdong@gmail.com

Main Results

Panel A: Coupled men's income on couples' competitiveness Men's and women's competitiveness is positively associated with men's income.

- Panel B: Coupled women's income on couples' competitiveness
- ▶ Women's, but not men's, competitiveness is associated with women's income.

-> Consistent with competitive women selecting high-income men or vice versa.

Panel C: Coupled men's income on couples' competitiveness controlling for March 2017 income

Only the female partner's competitiveness, but not his own, increases coupled men's income (2.1% per std).

Panel D: Coupled women's income on couples' competitiveness controlling for March 2017 income

▶ Men's nor women's competitiveness increases the female partner's income.

->> Significant and positive effect of women's competitiveness on male partner's future income controlling for men's own competitiveness and the couples' past labor market incomes.

Additional Results

Table 2. Panel B: Individuals' income on own competitiveness controlling for March 2017 income

▷ Single men's competitiveness increases their own income, but not coupled men's, nor single and coupled women's competitiveness.

->> Whereas men's competitiveness increases their income only as singles, women's competitiveness never increases their income.

Table 3. Panel B: Men's and women's work hours on couples' competitiveness controlling for 2017 work hours.

▶ Men's competitiveness, but not women's, increases coupled men's work hours.

-> Women are not increasing their male partner's income by increasing their work hours as might be predicted by the standard marriaae model.

Conclusions

Consistent with competitive women matching with higher income men and motivating these men to earn a higher income, women's competitiveness is positively associated with and causally increases their male partner's income. Men's competitiveness increases their own income only as singles.

Inconsistent with competitive women increasing men's income by specializing in household production, women's competitiveness does not affect men's work hours. Men's competitiveness increases men's work hours.