When Aiyagari meets Piketty: Growth, Inequality and Capital Shares
Toni Juuti (LABORE & VATT), Kari Heimonen (JSBE), Juha Junttila (JSBE) & Teemu Pekkarinen (UH)

This paper

Previous research on the inequality-growth nexus:
- Credible theoretical mechanisms pulling in different directions.
- Conflicting empirical findings on associations & fundamental challenges in identifying causal effects.

We ask: Is the inequality-growth nexus dependent on factor shares?
We use theory (Aiyagari, 1994, QJE) and cross-country panel data:
- Recent data: World Inequality Database and Bachas et al. (2021, WP).
Contribution: reveal a novel link between growth, inequality and factor shares.

Theory

Aiyagari (1994): growth model w/ precaut. saving motives and liquidity constraints:
- We study capital accumulation as the prime engine of growth.
- We pull three levers: 1) inequality, 2) capital share, 3) credit constraint.
Capital market equilibria to illustrate the mechanisms of the model:

Historical data and empirical approach

Data on top income shares and factor shares (Bengtsson and Waldenström, 2018):
- Unbalanced panel of 13 developed countries, 13-21 five-year growth windows (1900-2014), combine with Maddison Historical Statistics.

Empirical findings using recent data

Recent data on top income shares (WID) and factor shares (Bachas et al., 2021):
- Cover 132 countries over 1980-2019: enables us to group countries.
- Down-ward sloping pattern in emerging markets, where the accumulation of physical capital was the prime engine of growth over the sample period.

Points estimates and 95% confidence intervals by capital share

Empirical findings using historical data

 Association between top 1% share and growth conditional on capital share:
- Positive association when capital share is low.
- Negative association when capital share is high.

Robustness:
- Dependency to the level of inequality rather than capital share? No.
- Top 10% and top 0.1%? Similar but magnitudes vary.
- Down-ward sloping pattern also when we examine the association between top 1% share and gross capital formation conditional on capital share.
- Define growth windows in several ways, exclude extreme growth rates, address capital depreciation in different ways, use various estimators, . . .

Conclusion

We reveal a novel link between inequality and factor shares:
- Previous literature: capital share positively associated with income inequality.
- We show: inequality-growth nexus depends on factor shares.

Limitations: 1) historical perspective & capital accumulation vs. modern economies, 2) association ≠ causal effect, 3) cross-country variation vs. country-level policies.
Future work: complement the existing work with Finnish registry data.
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