Discordant Relaxations of Misspecified Models

Désiré Kédagni* , Lixiong Li[†] and Ismaël Mourifié[‡] December 20, 2021

Iowa State*, Johns Hopkins † and University of Toronto ‡

- Misspecification in partially identified models can lead to spuriously informative bounds
- Problem of Inference: misleading CI. Andrews and Kwon (2019), Molinari (2020)

This paper:

• Problem of Identification: misleading outer set

Often in practice, outer set $\widetilde{\Theta}$ instead of Θ_I is estimated:

- Blundell, Gosling, Ichimura and Meghir(2007)
- Ciliberto and Tamer(2009), Ciliberto, Murry and Tamer(2018)
- Aucejo, Bugni and Hotz(2017)
- Sheng(2018), de Paula, Richards-Shubik and Tamer(2018)
- Dickstein and Morales(2018)
- Chesher and Rosen(2020)
- ...

• Negative Result:

outer sets can be misleading / discordant if based on nonsharp id. restrictions.

• Positive Result:

outer sets may not be discordant under some conditions.

• Related Result:

ideas on how to summarize discordant results from different assumptions.

Discordant Outer Sets: an Example

$\mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}|Z] \leqslant \theta \leqslant \mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}|Z] \quad a.s.$

Example: heterogeneous treatment model

(Y_0, Y_1)	potential outcome
D	binary treatment
$Y = Y_D$	observed outcome
Ζ	instrument
\underline{Y}_d	$\underline{y}\mathbb{1}(D \neq d) + Y\mathbb{1}(D = d))$
\overline{Y}_d	$\overline{y}\mathbb{1}(D \neq d) + Y\mathbb{1}(D = d))$
θ_d	$\mathbb{E}[Y_d]$
$\mathbb{E}[Y_d Z] = \mathbb{E}[Y_d]$	mean independence

$$\mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}|Z] \leqslant \theta \leqslant \mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}|Z] \quad a.s.$$

Then,

$$\theta \in [\underline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}] := \left[\sup_{z} \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}|Z = z], \inf_{z} \mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}|Z = z] \right]$$

it implies for any $h(\cdot) \ge 0$,

$$\mathbb{E}[h(Z)(\underline{Y}-\theta)] \leq 0, \quad \mathbb{E}[h(Z)(\theta-\overline{Y})] \leq 0$$

its id. set $\Theta_I(h)$.

$$\operatorname{\mathsf{Recall}} \underline{\gamma} = \sup_{z} \mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}|Z = z] \quad \overline{\gamma} = \inf_{z} \mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}|Z = z] \; .$$

- when $\underline{\gamma} \leqslant \overline{\gamma}$, $[\underline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}] \subseteq \Theta_I(h)$
- when $\underline{\gamma} > \overline{\gamma}$, $[\underline{\gamma}, \overline{\gamma}] = \emptyset$, what is $\Theta_I(h)$?

Theorem

Suppose $\mathbb{E}[\underline{Y}|Z] \leq \mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}|Z]$ a.s.

When full model refuted, i.e. when $\gamma > \overline{\gamma}$ and $[\gamma, \overline{\gamma}] = \emptyset$,

$$\forall \theta \in (\overline{\gamma}, \gamma), \ \exists h \ge 0, \ s.t. \ \Theta_I(h) = \{\theta\}$$

Takeaway: an outer set can be very tight but misleading

Here, when the model is refuted, outer sets are discordant: there exist h_1 , h_2 such that $\Theta_I(h_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\Theta_I(h_2) \neq \emptyset$,

 $\Theta_I(h_1) \cap \Theta_I(h_2) = \emptyset$

Here, when the model is refuted, outer sets are discordant: there exist h_1 , h_2 such that $\Theta_I(h_1) \neq \emptyset$, $\Theta_I(h_2) \neq \emptyset$,

 $\Theta_I(h_1) \cap \Theta_I(h_2) = \emptyset$

In general,

nonsharp id. restrictions \Rightarrow discordant outer sets examples including

- Artstein inequality on random set and Choquet capacity
- conditional moment inequality models

Compatible Outer Sets: an Example

Potential Outcome Model:

- *D* binary treatment: college education or not
- Z instrument: max. years of education of parents
- *Y*_{dz} potential outcome: potential wage
- $Y = Y_{DZ}$ observed outcome: observed wage

θ ATE

Assume mean independence: $\forall (d, z), \mathbb{E}[Y_{dz}|Z] = \mathbb{E}[Y_{dz}].$

Assumptions

exclusion restriction in Manski (1990) aexclude:

instruments z has no impact on potential outcome Y_{dz}



Adaptive Monotone IV assumption *a_k*:

 Y_{dz} weakly increases with z then remain flat after $z \ge k$



Outer Sets and Minimum Relaxation

Note that

• assumptions are nested,

 $a_{\mathsf{exclude}} \Leftrightarrow a_1 \Rightarrow a_2 \Rightarrow \cdots \Rightarrow a_K$

• id. set $\Theta_I(a_k)$ is an outer set of the id. set $\Theta_I(a_{\text{exclude}})$

However,

• outer sets are compatible even if model *a_{exclude}* is refuted

either $\Theta_I(a_k) \subseteq \Theta_I(a_{k'})$ or $\Theta_I(a_{k'}) \subseteq \Theta_I(a_k)$

• Importantly, data reveals the unique minimum relaxation needed to restore data-consistency.

In our paper,

- we derived the iff condition for the uniqueness of minimum data-consistent relaxation
- we also discussed what conclusion could be drawn if minimum data-consistent relaxations are not unique.