Motivation

Questions

Dynamic Risk Sharing in a Fiscal Union¹

Chang Liu²

National University of Singapore

AEA 2022 Poster Session

¹superceding "Regional Risk and Aggregate Fluctuations" ²Contact me via: chang.liu@nus.edu.sg. A new version of this paper to be updated on: https://sites.google.com/view/liu-chang

What I find

Empirically,

- Countercyclical regional income risk.
- Most (70%-80%) of the regional income shocks are insured away.
- No significant difference in the level of risk sharing between normal and recession times.
- State-contingent federal fiscal transfers help stabilize the regional economy, especially during recessions.

Model implies that,

- Countercyclical regional risk amplified the magnitude of output slump in the Great Recession by 0.6 percentage points, through precautionary saving motives
- State-contingent fiscal transfers effectively dampened output plunge by 0.4 percentage points, by providing insurance to regions that needed it the most

- A continuum of heterogeneous regions, subject to regional idiosyncratic risks, that reside in the same monetary and fiscal union;
- Representative household within each region that borrows and lends with each other with nominal bonds and subject to a borrowing constraint;
- Intermediate goods are traded across regions without frictions;
- Regional firms set prices subject to nominal rigidity. The goal is to study the implications of regional risk and risk sharing patterns for aggregate fluctuations.

Heterogeneity across regions is a salient feature of the U.S. economy. In this paper, I focus on U.S. **states**.



Two questions:

- 1. Empirical: How do regions share risks over the business cycle?
- 2. Quantitative: Does regional heterogeneity matter for aggregate fluctuations, and what's the role of macro policies?

Some key concepts:

- regional risk: the conditional standard deviation of idiosyncratic shocks to U.S. state-level output/income growth
- regional risk sharing: the smoothing of income shocks through capital market, credit market, government transfers etc.

2/9

Dynamic Panel GMM Estimation

- Complete market: idiosyncratic income shock pass-through = 0
- Assume the following exogenous process for regional output and consumption:

$$\Delta \log y_{i,t} = \mu_i^y + \chi_{i,t} + \Xi_t$$

$$\Delta \log c_{i,t} = \mu_i^c + \varphi_t^{\chi} \chi_{i,t} + \varphi_t^{\Xi} \Xi_t + u_{i,t} \mathbb{I}(t \le 1997) + e_{i,t}^c$$
(2)

$$\chi_{i,t} = \rho^{\chi} \chi_{i,t-1} + e_{i,t}^{\chi}$$

- $\Xi_t = \rho^{\Xi} \Xi_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$
- $u_{i,t}, e_{i,t}^c, e_{i,t}^{\chi}, \varepsilon_t$ are i.i.d. noises with variances $\sigma_u^2, \sigma_c^2, \sigma_{\chi,t}^2, \sigma_{\Xi}^2$
- Strategy: cross-sectional moments in identifying idiosyncratic shocks; cross-time moments for aggregate shocks.

Model Fit and Counterfactual: Aggregate Output

Are φ_t^{χ} and $\sigma_{\chi,t}$ Cyclical?

Project φ_t^{χ} and $\sigma_{\chi,t}$ on a recession indicator *rec*:

| | φ_t^{χ} | $\sigma_{\chi,t}$ |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| Rec | 0.082 | 0.008*** |
| | (0.136) | (0.003) |
| Constant | 0.234*** | 0.020*** |
| | (0.070) | (0.001) |
| Ν | 53 | 53 |
| Standard errors in parentheses | | |
| * $p < 0.1$, ** $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.01$ | | |

On average, $\varphi^{\chi} = 0.26$; $\sigma_{\chi} = 0.0197$ for normal times and 0.0274 for recessions.

5/9

(1)

(3)

(4)

Basic Model Features

Conclusions

- This paper documents a countercyclical pattern of regional risk, which worsens risk sharing and amplifies the aggregate impact of a negative aggregate productivity shock.
- Regional risk sharing does not vary over the business cycle, suggesting a role for federal fiscal transfers in regional risk sharing.
- Quantitatively, state-contingent fiscal transfers help stabilize both regional and aggregate economy.
- Highlight the redistribution channel of automatic stabilizers.

1/9

4/9

6/9

3/9