# We study sovereign debt investorbase dynamics during crises based on near-global data set

- We find that sovereign debt is repatriated shifted from external to domestic creditors during defaults but not other types of crises

## **Motivation and contribution**

- **Data limitations** are common when studying sovereign borrowing, particularly during rare crises
- Previous papers: Limited sets of countries, short time series, individual crises
- Our advantage: Leverage a **new, comprehensive sovereign debt data set** to overcome power problems
- Question: Who holds sovereign debt during crises?
- Focus:
- -Private **external versus domestic** creditors
- -Dynamics during **different types of crises**

## **Empirical specification: Event study**

We regress repatriation on crisis start dummies at a range of horizons:

$$y_{it} = \alpha_i + \sum_k \sum_s \beta_{sk} D_{itsk} + \epsilon_{it}$$

- $y_{it}$ : Repatriation measure in country *i*, year *t*
- $D_{itsk}$ : Dummy = 1 if country *i* in year *t* is  $s \in [-4, 4]$  years away from a crisis of type  $k \in \{\text{default, banking, currency}\}$
- $\alpha_i$ : Country fixed-effects (robust to two-way FEs)
- Significant drop in  $\beta_{sk}$  over the event window: Repatriation

### **Measures of repatriation**

• Baseline measure ( $F_t$  external debt,  $D_t$ : domestic debt):

External debt share  $=\frac{F_t}{F_t + D_t}$ 

• Two concerns:

- -Repatriation inherently a flow concept - Depreciation  $\rightarrow$  rise in external debt share because foreign-currency denominated debt tends to be external
- Alternative measure:
- $\frac{\text{External flows}}{\text{GDP}} = \frac{F_t F_{t-1}}{\text{GDP}}$
- We adjust foreign-currency denominated part of  $F_t F_{t-1}$  for exchange rate changes

• Who holds sovereign debt? Characteristics of sovereign debt investors are understudied but important: Shape borrowing and repayment incentives • Crisis management matters: Preemptive defaults less likely to be associated with repatriation. Financial repression is unlikely to drive the findings.



- Extension of Arslanalp Tsuda (2014)

# Additional results/ robustness

- No evidence for cyclical repatriation
- Output not significantly worse during strong-repatriation crises
- than the reverse
- 10-year-trend: Emerging market sovereign debt moving abroad
- Foreign official loans offset repatriation
- Results robust to country selection, event study horizon, crisis definitions

## **Crisis dynamics of other relevant variables**



### The sovereign debt data set

• Near-global cross-section (180 countries), long time series (1989-2020) • Consistent debt definition (general government; face value) • Consistent external/domestic creditor definition: Residence principle • Foreign official loans are excluded: Interested in private creditor behavior

### The crisis data set

• Three main crisis types: Sovereign default, banking crisis, currency crisis • Episodes identified based on existing sources (Asonuma Trebesch 2016, Laeven Valencia 2018, Bordo et al. 2001, Frankel Rose 1996)

• Frequency distribution: 65 defaults, 129 banking crises, 213 currency crises • Emerging markets more crisis-prone than advanced countries

• Reverse causality: Granger tests favor crises causing repatriation rather