The Coronavirus and the Great Influenza Pandemic: Lessons from the "Spanish Flu" for the Coronavirus's Potential Effects on Mortality and Economic Activity

> Robert J. Barro Harvard University

> > José F. Ursúa Dodge & Cox

> > Joanna Weng *EverLife*

AEA session • 01/03/2021

"Economic Impact of COVID-19: Lessons from Past Pandemics and Recent Data"

# Introduction

Motivation

- **Rare disasters taxonomy**: Natural catastrophes, like pandemics, feature prominently
- Great Influenza Pandemic 1918-20: Measurable economic and financial impact
- Uncertain COVID-19 outcome: Especially when we wrote the paper in March 2020

This paper

- Main goal: Estimate the macroeconomic impact of the Great Influenza Pandemic
- Secondary goal: Establish plausible guides for COVID-19 or other pandemic outcomes
- Strategy: Assemble data on flu 1918-20 and war deaths 1914-18; disentangle WWI
- **Economic variables**: On average, 6% and 8% declines in GDP and consumption p.c.
- Financial variables: Lower realized real returns on stocks and bills (higher inflation)

- Previous work: Barro and Ursúa (2008, 2012) analyzed cumulative declines in real GDP and consumption per capita by more than 10%
- Early 1920s: We found a number of rare disaster observations with troughs between 1919 and 1921, which we hypothesized could be connected to the flu, but we had not separated its effect from that of WWI

Enicodo/noriod	C (28 coun	tries)	GDP (40 countries)		
Episode/period	Number of events	Mean fall	Number of events	Mean fall	
Pre-1914	31	0.16	51	0.17	
World War I	20	0.24	31	0.21	
Early 1920s (flu?)	10	0.24	8	0.22	
Great Depression	14	0.20	23	0.20	
World War II	21	0.33	25	0.37	
Post–World War II	24	0.18	35	0.17	
OECD countries	6	0.12	6	0.13	
Non-OECD countries	18	0.19	29	0.17	
Other	5	0.19	10	0.15	
Overall	125	0.22	183	0.21	

#### Breakdown of macroeconomic disasters 1870-2006:


Note: These results update Barro and Ursúa (2008, table 7) to include the four countries with newly constructed data as shown in Table 3. (China is not included for C. New Zealand is included for C but was not in Barro and Ursúa 2008.) Declines in real per-capita personal consumer expenditure, C, and GDP are those of 0.095 or greater when computed from a peak-to-trough approach over multiple years.

## Great Influenza Pandemic: Selected features

- **3 waves:** 1. March 1918 August 1918
  - 2. September 1918 January 1919
  - 3. February 1919 June 1920
- Oddities: A) Age Patterns: ≈ 50% of deaths in ages between 20-45
  - B) Little connection to standard socio-economic variables
  - C) Rare physiological complications: rapid progression to fatal pneumonia
  - D) Simultaneous infection of humans and swine [Influenza A subtype H1N1]
  - E) Sequels of encephalitis lethargica
- Social distress: 
  a Airborne disease
  - Savage quick deaths
  - Confusion, panic, quack remedies
  - Corpses piled in the streets
  - Closure of businesses and services
- Famous: [Survivors] Friedrich Hayek, General Pershing, Walt Disney, King Alfonso XIII, Mary Pickford, Georges Clemenceau, David Lloyd George, and Woodrow Wilson;
   [Dead] Max Weber, Gustav Klimt, Egon Schiele, and Marto siblings

## Great Influenza Pandemic: Excess mortality


- Array of sources: Ursúa (2009), Weng (2016), Johnson and Mueller (2002), Murray, et al. (2006), Mitchell (2007), and the Human Mortality Database.
- **Sample**: 43 countries ~ 89% of world population in 1918 (larger share of world GDP)
- World deaths: 23.5m (1918) + 8.4m (1919) + 2.8m (1920) = 34.6m → 39m total
- World death rates: 1.38% (1918) + 0.49% (1919) + 0.16% (1920) = 2.0% world total



• **Comments:** (1) Morbidity data; (2) Possible impact of economic conditions.

## World War I: Assessing intensity

- Approach: Gauge war intensity by the ratio of military combat deaths---mainly from Urlanis (2003)---to total population
- Sample: 7 country combatants (used to proxy none available data for respective allies)
- World deaths: 6.2m total from 1914-18 (excludes prisoners of war and civilians)
- World death rate: 0.47% total from 1914-18



• Comments: (1) 1918 matters for both; (2) Many countries suffered only the flu. 6

## **Economic outcomes**: Regression results


- **Samples:** GDP pc (42 countries), Consumption pc (30 countries); 1901-1929
- Regression: GDP or C pc growth vs. constants, flu death rates, war death rates
   [panel least squares; s.e. of coefficients allowing clustering of error terms by year]

Dependent variable	GDP growth rate		Consumption growth rate	
Independent variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Constant	0.0202***	0.0169***	0.0179***	0.0150***
	(0.0034)	(0.0035)	(0.0033)	(0.0034)
Flu death rate	-2.98**	-2.67**	-4.06**	-4.18**
	(1.27)	(1.18)	(1.92)	(1.82)
Lag of flu death rate		2.68		0.96
		(2.10)		(2.06)
2 <sup>nd</sup> lag of flu death rate		2.22		1.38
		(2.10)		(1.93)
War death rate	-17.9***	-13.3***	-21.2***	-21.2***
	(3.0)	(3.1)	(3.8)	(4.1)
Lag of war death rate		-10.2***		2.0
		(3.8)		(4.9)
2 <sup>nd</sup> lag of war death rate		12.5***		8.8**
		(3.3)		(4.2)
p-value, lags of flu death rate=0		0.25		0.70
p-value, lags of war death rate=0		0.000		0.081
p-value, coeffs of flu add to zero		0.48		0.051
p-value, coeffs of war add to zero		0.012		0.085
R-squared	0.041	0.043	0.057	0.058
s.e. of regression	0.070	0.070	0.077	0.077
Number of observations	1183	1175	875	867

See note in the paper's p. 24

## Economic outcomes: Key takeaways

- Flu effect: With 2% flu death rate  $\rightarrow -6.0\%$  in GDP pc and -8.1% in C pc
  - Cannot rule out flu effects on level of GDP pc that are fully permanent or temporary
- War effect: With 0.5% war death rate  $\rightarrow -8.4\%$  in GDP pc and -9.9% in C pc
  - Adverse effects on level of GDP pc appear to be ~50% permanent [In line with Nakamura, Steinsson, Barro, and Ursúa (2013) and Barro and Jin (2019)]



• Country comments: (1) U.S. is off, (2) GDP in India in line; (3) C in Canada in line.

## Financial outcomes: Regression results

- Samples: Stocks (27 countries), Bills (21 countries), Inflation (35 countries)
- **Regression**: Same specification as before.

Dependent variable	Real stock return		Real T-bill return		Inflation rate	
Independent variables	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Constant	0.063***	0.050***	0.026***	0.024***	0.024***	0.026***
	(0.017)	(0.017)	(0.008)	(0.008)	(0.009)	(0.009)
Flu death rate	-13.1	-10.8	-7.0***	-6.8***	10.1***	10.0***
	(8.5)	(8.2)	(2.2)	(2.1)	(3.0)	(2.8)
Lag of flu death rate		-2.3		4.5		-10.2**
		(8.0)		(3.8)		(4.8)
2 <sup>nd</sup> lag of flu death rate		1.6		3.0		-0.8
		(6.2)		(3.8)		(4.7)
War death rate	-40.0***	-30.9*	-29.9***	-27.2***	28.6***	19.8***
	(14.3)	(17.9)	(4.3)	(5.5)	(4.3)	(5.3)
Lag of war death rate		-15.4		-5.9		23.3***
		(23.8)		(9.3)		(8.2)
2 <sup>nd</sup> lag of war death rate		89.1**		0.0		4.5
		(36.4)		(6.2)		(5.6)
p-value, lags of flu death rate=0		0.93		0.33		0.102
p-value, lags of war death rate=0		0.050		0.59		0.012
p-value, coeffs of flu add to zero		0.35		0.89		0.89
p-value, coeffs of war add to zero		0.27		0.001		0.000
R-squared	0.028	0.082	0.106	0.113	0.089	0.113
s.e. of regression	0.209	0.204	0.091	0.090	0.098	0.096
Number of observations	533	529	520	512	893	885 9

See note in the paper's p. 25

## Financial outcomes: Key takeaways

- Flu effect (at 2.0% flu death rate):
  - *Stocks*: Negative (–26%) but insignificant
  - *Bills*: Negative (–14%) and significant; eventual recovery
  - Inflation: Positive (+20%) and significant; eventual decline
- War effect (at 0.5% war death rate):
  - *Stocks*: Negative (–20%) and significant; eventual recovery
  - *Bills*: Negative (-15%) and significant
  - Inflation: Negative (+14%) and significant
- Comments on inflation: (1) Data exclude hyper-inflation observations; (2) Data are possibly influenced by price controls.

# **Ongoing work**

- Policy differences: Beyond GDP and C, we are assembling data on government expenditures and revenues, money supply, wage growth, exports, and containment measures
- Higher frequency data: Match with regional activity indexes in the U.S. and maybe other countries
- Growth model with "structural" disasters: Differentiated shocks to production inputs. Insights from finite horizon models (Blanchard, 1985; Yaari, 1965) may be useful
- "Pandemic Markets": Deeper dive into asset price behavior at higher frequencies

## Appendix

Туре	Location	Timing	Death toll (000) [% of local pop.]	Material losses
Earthquake	China (Shaanxi and suroundings)	1556	820-830 [ ≈ 40-60 ]	Cities and villages entirely destroyed
Volcano	Indonesia (Mount Tambora)	1815	92 [ Up to 100 ]	All capital (connection to year-without-summer)
Flood	China (Yellow, Yangtze, Huai)	1931	1,000-4,000 [ > 1.0 ]	70% rice crop destroyed; 80 million homeless
Cyclone	Bangladesh - West Bengal	1970	500 [ ≈ 18 ]	\$USD 480 million
Mudslide	Venezuela (Vargas state)	1999	20 [ ≈ 6 ]	\$USD 1.8-3.5 billion

Source: Constructed with information from Withington (2008) and news reports.

Туре	Location	Timing	<b>Death toll</b> [% of local pop.]	Economic effects
Plague of Athens (typhus or typhoid)	Athens (and Attica)	431 - 427 BC	100 k [ ≈ 30% ]	City devastated; lost momentum vs. Sparta
Plague of Justinian (mainly bubonic)	Byzantine Empire	541 - 542	25 M [ ≈ 33% ]	Constantinople caos; foregone reunification Roman Empire
Black Death (all plagues / virus)	Europe	1348 - 1351	25M - 50 M [ ≈ 30-45 % ]	Wage increases; technology; property rights.
<b>Great Famine</b> (potato blight)	Ireland	1845- 1851	1-1.5 M [ ≈ 13-19 % ]	Potato price up 250-600%; 1 M emigrated
<b>Great Influenza</b> (A/H1N1)	Worldwide	1918 - 1920	To be discussed	To be discussed
AIDS (HIV)	Worldwide	1981 - present	29 M [ Prevalence 0.8%]	Long-term in industrialized; high & short-term in Africa

Source: Constructed with information from Withington (2008) and Kohn (2008).

## Influenza outbreaks

- Localized: 1732-33, 1742-43, 1762, 1767, 1775-76, 1782, 1803, 1830, 1950-51 (Britain) 1736-39 (Scandinavia) 1740, 1803 (France) 1789 (New England) 1820-40 (NZ) 1833 (Persia, Britain) 1837-39 (Samoa) 1900, 1926-28, 35-36 (Java) 1925-27, 1943-47, 1964-65, 1995-97 (Russia) 1928-29 (US)
- Widespread: 1580 (Asia, Europe, America) 1708-09, 1712, 1718-22, 1729-30, 1732-33, 1742-43, 1762, 1788-89 (Europe) 1781-82 (Asia, Europe) 1830-31 (Asia, America, Europe) 1836-37 (Asia, Europe, AUS, SAF) 1847-48 (Europe, Britain) 1889-90, 1918-20, 1957-58, 1968-69, 1977-78, 2009-10, 2020-21 (All) 1997-2000 (Asia)

- History: Collier (1974), Crosby (1976), Iezzoni (1999), Kolata (1999), Davies (2000), Getz (2000), Barry (2004), Kohn (2008)
- Epidemiology: Vaughan (1921), Patterson & Pyle (1991), Potter (2001), Luk, Gross & Thompson (2001), Taubenberger & Morens (2006)
- Statistics: Sydenstricker (1918, 1931), Harris (1919), Frost (1920), Collins (1931), British Ministry of Health (1920), Jordan (1927), Johnson & Mueller (2002)

#### Economics:

Disease, health and economic growth: Bloom & Godwin (1997), Arora (2001)
GDP p.c. growth across U.S. states from 1919 to 1930 : Brainerd & Siegler (2003)
Long-term effects of *in utero* exposure in post-1940 U.S. population: Almond (2006)
Mortality in U.S. states (flu and war) and manufacturing wages: Garret (2006)
Newspaper articles to gauge economic impact: Garret (2007)
Monetary cost of new pandemic: Meltzer, Cox & Fukuda (1999), World Bank (2008), USDH&HS (2005), CBO (2006), James & Sargent (2006), IMF (2006)

WWI U.S. economic mobilization: Rockoff (2005)
 Estimates of U.S. GNP 1909-1928: Romer (1988)

#### • Great War: 28 July 1914–11 November 1918 (Armistice)

□ U.S. enters on April 1917


Definition of "combatant" (not all at the same time, some nominal)

- □ Theaters of war (mainly Western and Eastern fronts + Pacific Islands)
- **Economic Policy:** 
  □ Important globalization up to 1914

□ Gold standard


□ Financing the war

#### Mortality patterns by age (U.S.\*, %)



\* Except 1889-90, which corresponds to England and Wales. Sources: British Ministry of Health (1920), Luk, Gross, Thompson (2001)


#### Patterns by economic status and age (U.S.; %)



Note: Data corresponds to nine urban localities with a population over 25k and relate to slightly over 100k individuals [New London, Baltimore, Augusta, Macon, Des Moines, Louisville, Little Rock, San Antonio and San Francisco]. Source: Sydenstricker (1931)


19

## Diffusion patterns



Source: Adapted from Potter (2001) and Patterson & Pyle (1991)

## Deaths (by city; per 1,000 of pop.)



Note: Deaths correspond to influenza and pneumonia (except Victoria, Australia, which corresponds only to influenza). 21 Source: British Ministry of Health (1920) and others.

"These men start with what appears to be an ordinary attack of La Grippe or Influenza, and when brought to the Hosp. they very rapidly develop the most viscous type of Pneumonia that has ever been seen. Two hours after admission they have the Mahogany spots over the cheek bones, and a few hours latter you can begin to see the Cyanosis extending from their ears and spreading all over the face, until it is hard to distinguish the coloured men from the white. It is only a matter of a few hours then until death comes, and it is simply a struggle for air until they suffocate. It is horrible.

One can stand to see one, two, or twenty men die, but to see these poor devils dropping like flies sort of gets on your nerves. We have been averaging about 100 deaths per day, and still keeping it up. There is no doubt in my mind that there is a new mixed infection here, but what I don't know."