Motivation of This Study

- In the last few decades, business has been experiencing a wave of organizational restructuring observed not only in the equity redistribution of ownership, but also in joint ventures and strategic alliances (Chen et al. [2015]).
- This increasing trend of strategic alliance formation has drawn increased interest not only from business practitioners but also from academicians.
- Strategic alliance formation helps to create value for the alliance partner firms through sharing resources (Chou et al. [2014]). Anand and Khanna [2000], Chen, King, and Wen [2015].
- There are a lot of research works that analyze the value creation mechanism for technological alliances (Li, Qiu, and Wang [2019], Berchicci [2013] etc.).
- Though the majority of alliances are non-technological alliances, there are not much research on the value addition mechanism of these alliances.

Research Questions

✓ Does non-technological alliance formation have any spillover effect on innovation?
✓ What specific search method (exploration of new technologies or exploitation of well-known technologies) non-technological alliance partner firms use to boost up their innovation?
✓ Do these alliance partner firms produce new products in post alliance years that help them to differentiate themselves from their competitors?

Contributions to the Literature

✓ At first, while there are a lot of research works that analyze technological alliances, to our knowledge, our paper is the first paper that examines the value addition mechanism of non-technology alliances.
✓ Besides that, many papers theoretically acknowledged the Developing Specialization Effect of alliance formation and our paper finds large sample empirical evidence for such theory.
✓ Finally, Li et al. [2019] paper shows that alliances formed by technology conglomerates result in novel innovation through exploration of new technology. Whereas, considering non-technology alliances, we find evidence for exploitation of existing technology.

Key Findings

✓ Non-technology alliances result in more innovation output as measured by the number of patents and citations.
✓ The non-technology alliance driven increase in the number of patents is due to exploitation activities (developing specialization), as reflected by increased patents in firm’s existing technological areas and more self-citations and backward citations.

Hypothesis Development

- Theoretically firms can get benefited from alliance formation in mainly two ways:
  - Knowledge Acquisition: exploration activities
  - Developing Specialization: exploitation activities
- Knowledge Acquisition means changes in the knowledge or performance of the recipient partner firm(s) from the experience of other partner firm(s)
- Developing Specialization means partner firms gain expertise in different subsets of a specific production unit and utilize their improved performance to a joint commercial outcome.

H2a. (Knowledge Acquisition Hypothesis): Non-tech alliance formation has no effect on the innovation outcome of alliance partner firms

H2b. (Developing Specialization Hypothesis): Non-tech alliance formation has positive spillover effect on the innovation outcome of alliance partner firms

Exploitation Vs. Exploration

- Our empirical analysis in this section try to explore the underlying mechanism of spillover effect of non-tech alliance firms on innovation.
- For this purpose, we classify patents into two classes based on their technology classes:
  - New Patents means the patents of a firm in new technology classes which are result of exploration activities.
  - Old Patents means the patents of a firm in it’s existing technology classes which are result of exploitation activities.

New Patents VS Old Patents

Regression to explore the underlying mechanism of spillover effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>(1)</th>
<th>(2)</th>
<th>(3)</th>
<th>(4)</th>
<th>(5)</th>
<th>(6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
<td>Old Patents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NT-Alliance</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>0.814</td>
<td>0.627</td>
<td>0.289***</td>
<td>0.248***</td>
<td>0.248***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R&amp;D/T&amp;A</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>-0.186</td>
<td>-0.77**</td>
<td>0.098***</td>
<td>0.85**</td>
<td>0.899**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>0.15**</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.36**</td>
<td>0.77***</td>
<td>0.79***</td>
<td>0.79***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observations</td>
<td>8,235</td>
<td>8,235</td>
<td>8,235</td>
<td>8,235</td>
<td>8,235</td>
<td>8,235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adj. R-squared</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
<td>0.34**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-test</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry Cluster</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusions

- We find the evidence for spillover effect of non-technology alliance formation on innovation.
- This increase in patents of non-technology alliance partner firms is mainly derived from the increase in patents in firms existing technological areas rather than patents in new areas.
- So Though there are many papers which provide evidence for Knowledge Acquisition aspect of alliance formation, our paper sheds light on the other benefit (Developing Specialization).
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