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1. The overlooked development of custom farming associated with precision agriculture: some stylized facts

- Custom farming in France: 7/10 farmers, old practice but rapid development and major changes since 2000
- 2016: 7% of farmers who outsource significantly, + 53 % increase since 2000, a market of 4 billion euros
- More medium and large size farms that outsource (+ 103 % over 2000-16)
- Important increase in custom companies (+ 14 % over 2000-16) and workers (+ 65 %)

... could be a signal of major changes in agricultural labor organization
• **Major changes** in custom farming practices:
  
  - Operations associated with precision agriculture using sophisticated digital technologies (GPS guidance system, equipment with optical sensors...) and specialized skills
    = 2/3 of grain farms but only 1/3 are equipped
  - « A to Z » outsourcing : 12% of grain farms

  ⇔ **More strategic outsourcing ?**

• **Similar phenomenon** in other European countries

• Surprisingly, **very few studies** on custom farming compared to contract farming and industrial outsourcing

➡️ **What brings farmers to outsource cropping operations with high asset specificity?**
2. The TC-PR-RC conceptual framework

TC-PR: Outsourcing in the presence of high asset specificity = a counter-intuitive phenomenon

**THE ISSUE**

= Possibilities of opportunistic behavior on both sides under one-shot relationship

\[\text{HYP 1 (Williamson; Milgrom \\ Roberts; Allen \\ & Lueck)}\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FARMER</th>
<th>CUSTOM OPERATOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Ownership of land and harvest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Knowledge of farming conditions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Non optimal use of assets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Timeliness cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Lock-in cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) Gains in effort specialization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basically also a farmer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ownership of the specialized equipment and labor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical expertise</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Hold-up cost</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(-) Sharing of specialized knowledge</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) Gains in effort and asset specialization</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(+) Economies of scale</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Incentive mechanisms under RC & repeated interactions

\[\text{HYP 2 (Baker \\ & Gibbons \\ & Murphy; Ruzzier)}\]

(+) Gains in expertise from collective learning
(+) Reputation and trust built over time
(+) Threat of outside options
(+) Ex-ante built-in contractual bonus
3. Empirical evidences from France

• **Mixed methodology** combining quantitative regressions of a choice model and case study of contractual arrangements

• **Data:**
  - 1200 farmers surveyed in South-West of France (71 % outsource one and more cropping operations)
  - Case study of 20 largest to medium custom operators
  - Plus secondary data on operators

• **Quantitative analysis:**
  - Logistic & negative binomial count models to study the determinants of outsourcing for different intensity of asset specificity
  - Proxies for RC: geographical proximity & state of local outsourcing market, outsourcing habit
3.A. Major results about the determinants of outsourcing choice

• **In the case of single outsourcing**, the determinants vary according to the type of operation outsourced:
  - The access to precision equipment and specialized skills in the case of seeding and harvesting
  - The reputation of the contractor in the case of pesticide application

• **In the case of multiple outsourcing** with increasing intensity of asset specificity, the probability to outsource increases with:
  - The desire to focus on core activities
  - The access to precision equipment & skills
  - The habit of outsourcing

• **The size effect**: The smaller is the farm, the more likely the farmer will outsource precision operations

• **The effect of an active local outsourcing market** is ambiguous since, for operations intensive in specific assets, farmers do not necessarily work with local operators
3.B. Major results about contractual arrangements and built-in incentive mechanisms

• The basic arrangement:
  o annual contract tacitly renewed with a flat payment per hectare or per operation

• In the presence of high asset specificity, informal mechanisms (trust & reputation) may not be sufficient => additional incentive mechanisms:
  o Built-in *ex-ante* bonus proportional to the value of the output (in quantity and quality)
  o For “A to Z” outsourcing, a third party who act as an intermediary and controls both the farmer and the custom operator
  o Additional services: technical & strategic advices based on the management & use of big data, supply of inputs and marketing of harvest with gains in scale & scope economies
4. Conclusions

Major results

• Lack of investment capacity no longer a major determinant
• French farmers tend to outsource more and more operations intensive in specific assets to avoid costly investment (in specialized equipment & labor) and to re-organize owned assets around core activities ↔ strategic outsourcing & development of “alliances”
• This is possible under certain types of contractual arrangements and built-in incentive mechanisms (informal, ex-ante bonus)

Research perspectives

• Need to deepen the analysis of informal attributes of RC through formal modeling of repeated interactions with built-in incentive mechanisms ↔ economics of network
• Quantitative analysis of custom companies’ rationale
Policy issues

• Evidences of a **new organization of agricultural labor** in France (and more generally in Europe) and the **growth of a new market for custom services** (new demand, new supply, new jobs)

• Two major questions:

  o About the status of the farmer: *Emergence of a new agricultural model without family farms and without farmers? ⇨ Future CAP policies*

  o In relation with the development of outsourcing of operations with digital precision technologies

    ⇨ **Optimum definition of property and use rights of data produced by precision technologies since the use of those data can represent a strategic business for the custom companies? ⇨ EU data protection law**
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!