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- Many covariates in modern high-dimensional data sets create challenges in computation and inference
- Machine learning (ML) methods is a way to reduce the dimension and relax parametric assumptions
- Existing work with ML methods: average treatment effects, static models of strategic interaction (Chernozhukov et al. (2017a), Chernozhukov et al. (2017b))
- This talk: introduce ML methods for estimation of value function


## Motivating example: Rust (1987)+static heterogeneity

- Rust (1987)+bus characteristics:
per-period utility function $u(s ; a ; \epsilon)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}-R+\epsilon(0), \quad a=0 \\ -\mu \cdot s+\epsilon(1), \quad a=1\end{array}\right.$
- $s$ is mileage, $a \in\{1,0\}, a=0$ is bus replacement
- future mileage $s_{t+1}$ depends on $\left(x_{t}, a_{t}\right)$ where state $x_{t}=\left(s_{t}\right.$, characteristics $)$
- $\epsilon(0), \epsilon(1)$ unobserved shock
- $\theta=(R, \mu)$ structural parameter
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- future mileage $s_{t+1}$ depends on $\left(x_{t}, a_{t}\right)$ where state $x_{t}=\left(s_{t}\right.$, characteristics $)$
- $\epsilon(0), \epsilon(1)$ unobserved shock
- $\theta=(R, \mu)$ structural parameter
- Goal: estimate and conduct inference about expected value function $\mathbb{E} V(x)$
- Main challenge: $V(x)$ depends on
- transition density $f\left(s_{t+1} \mid x_{t}, a_{t}\right)$
- conditional choice probability (CCP) $p(a=1 \mid x)$
that are high-dimensional objects


## Main challenge: bias carries over from stage 1 to stage 2

- Need modern regularized methods to estimate transition density and CCP
- Regularization bias converges slower than root- $N$
- Bias carries over from stage 1 to stage 2
- Biased value function estimator cannot be used for inference based on standard asymptotic theory


## Main idea: orthogonality

- Adjust second-stage moment to make it insensitive with respect to first-stage bias
- Previous work: adjustment relied on the closed-form expression of a moment (Neyman (1959), Newey (1994), Chernozhukov et al. (2017a))
- Our contributions:
- Introduce implicit orthogonalization that does not require closed form
- Develop asymptotic theory for value function that allows for high-dimensional state space


## Literature review

Two-stage models with orthogonality Neyman (1959), Newey (1994), Robins and Rotnitzky (1995), Belloni et al. (2013), Belloni et al. (2016), Chernozhukov et al. (2017a), Chernozhukov et al. (2017b), Ichimura and Newey (2018)

DDC models Rust (1987), Aguirregabiria and Mira (2002), Aguirregabiria and Mira (2007), Bajari et al. (2007), Bajari et al. (2010)

## Outline

- Value function: example of average welfare
- Value function: asymptotic theory for general case


## Example: intro and key facts

- Goal: conduct inference about $\mathbb{E} V(x)$ assuming agent plays optimally
- Subgoal: remove the impact of estimation of $f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)$ and $p(x)$ on $V(x ; p ; f)$
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- Recursive property of the value function (e.g., Aguirregabiria and Mira (2002)):

$$
V(x ; p ; f)=\tilde{U}(x ; p)+\beta \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} \mid x}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; p ; f\right) \mid x\right]
$$

where $\tilde{U}(x ; p)$ is current ex-ante expected utility , e.g.
$\tilde{U}(x ; p)=-R \cdot p(0 \mid x)-\mu \cdot s \cdot p(1 \mid x)+\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \epsilon(a) p(a \mid x) \mid x\right]$
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- Abstract away from computation of $V(x ; p ; f)$


## Example: distribution of naive estimator

$1^{\text {st }}$ stage Estimate $\left(\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)\right)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{p}(x)$ (auxiliary sample)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ stage Compute $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V\left(x_{i} ; \hat{p} ; \hat{f}\right)$ (main sample)

Bias of naive estimator in the literature:

(a) Double Machine Learning (DML), Chernozhukov et al. (2017a)

Distribution of the non-orthogonal estimator

(b) DML for Set-Identified Models, Semenova (2018)

## Example: bias due to CCP

- True value $f_{0}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)$ is known. Taylor expansion around $p_{0}$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[V(x ; p)-V\left(x ; p_{0}\right)\right] \approx \partial_{p} \mathbb{E} V\left(x ; p_{0}\right)\left[p(x)-p_{0}(x)\right]
$$
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- $\partial_{P} V\left(x ; p_{0}\right)$ solves a contraction map. Unique solution $\partial_{\rho} V\left(x^{\prime} ; p_{0}\right)=0$.
- Bias of CCP has no first-order effect on the bias of value function at any state $x$
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- Assuming stationarity ( $x$ and $x^{\prime}$ have same marginal dist.):
$\partial_{\gamma} \mathbb{E} V\left(x ; \gamma_{0}\right)=\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \int V\left(x^{\prime} ; \gamma_{0}\right) f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x ; \gamma_{0}\right) d x^{\prime}=\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \mathbb{E} V\left(x^{\prime}\right) S\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)$,
where $S\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)=\frac{\partial_{\gamma} f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x ; \gamma\right)}{f\left(l^{\prime} \mid w^{\prime}\right)}$ is conditional score


## Example: orthogonal moment for average welfare

Recall the expression for the derivative:

$$
\partial_{\gamma} \mathbb{E} V\left(x ; \gamma_{0}\right)=\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \mathbb{E} V\left(x^{\prime}\right) S\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right) .
$$

- Correction term $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\left(V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right)-\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right) \mid x\right]\right)$
- $V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right)-\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right) \mid x\right]$ mean zero residual
- $\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$ multiplier, known in case of average welfare!
- Orthogonal moment function for $\mathbb{E} V(x)$ :

$$
g(\text { data; } p ; f):=V(x ; f)+\underbrace{\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}\left(V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right)-\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; f\right) \mid x\right]\right)}_{\text {correction }(f)},
$$

where data $=\left(x, a, x^{\prime}\right)$

## Example: robustness to misspecification of transition density

- Define $\Delta V(x ; p)=V(x ; p ; f)-V\left(x ; p ; f_{0}\right)$. Recursive property implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; p ; f\right) \mid x\right] & =\frac{1}{\beta}(V(x ; p ; f)-\tilde{U}(x ; p)) \\
\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; p ; f\right) \mid x\right]-\mathbb{E}_{f_{0}}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; p ; f_{0}\right) \mid x\right] & =\frac{1}{\beta} \Delta V(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Robustness to misspecification

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{E}\left[g(x ; f)-g\left(x ; f_{0}\right)\right] & =\mathbb{E}\left[\Delta V(x)+\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \Delta V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\frac{1}{1-\beta} \Delta V(x)\right] \\
& =\frac{\beta}{1-\beta} \mathbb{E}\left[\Delta V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\Delta V(x)\right]=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Special case of linearity implication:
- Recursive equation is linear in the density $V(x ; p ; f) \Rightarrow$ robustness to any order of the bias of $f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)$


## Example: distribution of the estimator based on modified moment equation

$1^{\text {st }}$ stage Estimate $\left(\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)\right)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ and $\hat{p}(x)$ (auxiliary sample)
$2^{\text {nd }}$ stage Compute $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(\operatorname{data}_{i} ; \hat{p} ; \hat{f}\right)$ (main sample)

Orthogonal, $n=500, p=20$

(a) Double Machine Learning (DML), Chernozhukov et al. (2017a)

(b) DML for Set-Identified Models, Semenova (2018)

## Example: summary

Goal estimate the average welfare $\mathbb{E} V(x)$ in single-agent DDC model when

- state $x$ is high-dimensional
- $p(a \mid x)$ and $f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)$ are estimated by regularized methods (lasso, ridge, boosting, etc.)


## Steps

1. $V(x ; p)$ is orthogonal with respect to CCP $p(\cdot)$ at each $x$
2. Derived correction term for the transition density $f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)$
3. Orthogonal moment for $\mathbb{E} V(x)$ does not depend on $f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)$

Notes

- Main equation of the talk

$$
V(x ; p ; \gamma)=\tilde{U}(x ; p)+\beta \mathbb{E}_{x^{\prime} ; \gamma}\left[V\left(x^{\prime} ; p ; \gamma\right) \mid x\right]
$$

## Outline

- Example
- Asymptotic theory for general case


## General case: motivating examples

Goal is to estimate and provide confidence interval for $\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$.

## Examples:

- Truncated average welfare:

$$
\mathbb{E} V(x) 1_{\left\{q_{0.25} \leq V(x) \leq q_{0.75}\right\}}
$$

and $\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$ is the linear approximation of the functional above

- Average partial effect w.r.t $x_{1} \subset x$

$$
\mathbb{E} \partial_{x_{1}} V(x)=\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)
$$

where $w(x)=-\frac{\partial_{x_{1}} f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{-1}\right)}{f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{-1}\right)}$ and $f\left(x_{1} \mid x_{-1}\right)$ is the conditional density of $x_{1}$ given $x_{-1}$

- Counterfactual policy effect (Stock (1989)) from changing the distribution of $x$ to $t(x)$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}[V(t(x))-V(x)]=\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)
$$

where $w(x)=\frac{f_{t}(x)}{f_{x}(x)}-1, f_{t}(x), f_{x}(x)$ are the marginal densities of $t(x), x$

General case: comparison with average welfare

- CCP has no first-order effect on $\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$.
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- Correction for the transition density takes the form
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\text { correction }=\beta \lambda(x)\left(V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid x\right]\right),
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- residual $V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid x\right]$ same as before
- multipler $\beta \lambda(x)=\beta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \beta^{k}[w(x-k) \mid x]$
- Recap for $w(x)=1: \lambda(x)=\frac{\beta}{1-\beta}$
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## General case: relaxing stationarity

- Stationarity: marginal distribution of ( $x, x^{\prime \prime}, \ldots, x^{k}, \ldots$ ) and $\left(x^{t}, x^{t+1}, \ldots, x^{t+k}, \ldots\right)$ are the same. Example: mileage in Rust (1987)
- Without stationarity:
- data $=(a, x)_{t=1}^{\infty}$
- correction $\alpha($ data $)=\beta \sum_{k \geq 0} \beta^{k}\left(V\left(x^{k+1}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{k+1}\right) \mid x^{k}\right]\right)$
- With stationarity:
- data $=\left(x, a, x^{\prime}\right)$
- correction $\alpha($ data $)=\beta \frac{1}{1-\beta}\left(V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E}_{f}\left[V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid x\right]\right)$
- Stationarity is strong; not applicable for suboptimal policies and Bajari et al. (2007) type problems


## General case: weighted bootstrap

Goal is to provide confidence interval for $\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$. Weighted bootstrap statistic

$$
\tilde{\sigma}^{b}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} e_{i} g\left(\text { data }_{i}, \hat{\xi}_{i}, \tilde{\theta}^{b}\right)
$$

- $\hat{\xi}=\left\{\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right), \hat{\lambda}(x), \hat{p}(x), \hat{\theta}\right\}$ is estimated on auxiliary sample
- $\left(e_{i}\right)_{i=1}^{N}$ is $\operatorname{Exp}(1)$ i.i.d weights drawn independently from data


## General case: asymptotic results

Theorem 1. Asymptotic theory for the welfare estimator, known density
Suppose $\left(f_{0}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)\right)_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is known and $\|\hat{p}-p\|_{N, 2}=o\left(N^{-1 / 4}\right)$. Under mild conditions,

$$
\sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V\left(\operatorname{data}_{i} ; \hat{p}\right) \approx \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} V\left(\operatorname{data}_{i} ; p_{0}\right)+o_{P}(1)
$$

Theorem 2. Asymptotic theory for the welfare estimator, general case
Suppose $\|\hat{p}-p\|_{N, 2}=o\left(N^{-1 / 4}\right)$ and $\|\hat{\lambda}-\lambda\|_{N, 2}\left\|\hat{f}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)-f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right)\right\|_{N, 2}=o(1)$.

$$
\sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(\text { data }_{i} ; \hat{\xi}\right) \approx \sqrt{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} g\left(\text { data }_{i} ; \xi_{0}\right)+o_{P}(1),
$$

where $\xi=\left\{p(x), f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x\right), \lambda(x)\right\}$

## Notes

- std. case: directly assume bounded second-order derivatives
- this paper: derive asymptotic theory from the properties of linear integral equations


## General case: summary

Goal estimate and conduct inference about $\mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$
Key points $\backslash \mathbb{E} w(x) V(x)$ is orthogonal to CCP

- Correction term changes from $\beta\left(V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid x\right]\right.$ to $\beta \lambda(x)\left(V\left(x^{\prime}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[V\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid x\right]\right.$
- Robustness to any order misspecification turns into double robustness $\|\hat{\lambda}(x)-\lambda(x)\|_{2, N}\left\|\mid \hat{f}\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)-f\left(x^{\prime} \mid x, a\right)\right\|_{2, N}=o\left(N^{-1 / 2}\right)$ in expectation
- Relaxed stationarity

Results Derived sufficient conditions for asymptotic theory

- Provided weighted bootstrap procedure for inference


## Outline

- Value function: example of average welfare
- Value function: asymptotic theory for general case
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