Alcohol-Induced Deaths & the Minimum Legal Drinking Age

Jeffrey DeSimone, University of Alabama at Birmingham

Summary

- Using RD on annual 2007–2015 U.S. averages & age in years, I estimate how reaching the MLDA affects alcohol-induced mortality, for which a death certificate ICD-10 code reflects alcohol as a direct cause.
- At age 21, the alcohol-induced death rate jumps by 30–50%, simultaneously with large alcohol use increases. Estimates 1999–2006 are similar, closely from replicating prior findings using age in days.

Results

- The main result is that the alcohol-induced • death rate increases sharply & significantly at age 21 (figure a.), for LLRs using various bandwidths/kernels & OLS regardless of bias correction or logging deaths.
 - Mirroring CD, the effect size is 30–50% of the predicted age 21 death rate.
- In 2007–2015 NSDUH age-by-year data, drinking rises discontinuously at age 21 (figure b.), corroborating existing evidence

Figures



Introduction

- In 2008, two decades after the last U.S. state raised it to 21, the MLDA received renewed attention when the Amethyst Initiative (college & university presidents) argued that if legal, drinking among 18-20 year-olds would be less dangerous.
- However, using data on age in days among 19–22 year-olds, Carpenter & Dobkin (2009 & 2011, CD) convincingly showed that mortality rates, particularly from external causes such as suicide and motor vehicle accidents (MVAs), spike upward just after the 21st birthday.
- I focus on CD's "deaths with a mention of alcohol," i.e. with a death certificate ICD-10 code reflecting a MCD categorized by CDC as a direct result of excessive drinking: most relevant for this age group are

but for my sample period/aggregation level.

- Past month alcohol use, binge drinking & binge days each jump by 20% at age 21.
- **Restricting the sample to deaths in 1999–** 2004 not attributable to suicide, MVA or homicide (mimicking CD, except ICD-10 coding began in 1999) yields bias-corrected estimates of 30–45%, closely replicating their findings (figure c.).
- Falsification exercises suggest that the RD effect significance is not attributable merely to using less-specific age information.
 - Effects are not consistently large or significant at any other age from 17–25.
 - Age-specific population increases at the **MLDA** are always highly insignificant & typically below 0.5%.
 - **Excluding suicide & MVA (which CD** found respond to the MLDA), the age 21 increase is significant (at only 10%) for

alcohol poisoning, alcoholic psychosis, alcohol abuse/dependence, and high BAC.

Empirical Strategy

- I use death rates by year of age, which are commonly available in publicly accessible data: my sample includes ages 14–27.
- I compare LLR estimates with those from OLS using a 5th-order age polynomial.
- To address rounding bias, I estimate LQRs, plus OLS using separate cubics to allow a correction based on Dong (2015).
- My sample period begins upon ICD-10 code F10.0 discontinuation in 2007, while CD analyzed 1997–2004.
- I study all alcohol-induced deaths, while CD removed (& studied separately) those with UCD of suicide or MVA.

just 1 of the next 12 leading UCDs among ages 14–27 (those with > 2,000 sample period mentions among this cohort).

- **Decomposing by UCD, 40% of the effect is** attributable to MVAs (21% of mentions), 20% each to alcohol-induced (19% of mentions) & causes other than alcohol, drugs, MVA or suicide (13% of mentions).
 - Age 21 increases are insignificant for drug-induced causes (39% of mentions) & suicide (8% of mentions).
 - Effects are small & insignificant for deaths with no alcohol mention.

CD found significant MLDA effects on...

- MVA, but mine is insignificant b/c the SE spiked during the financial crisis; significance is very high since 2010.
- Suicide, but this discontinuity has decreased substantially since the crisis & was driven all along by an effect on gun suicides, which remains significant.

Conclusion

- Consistent with CD but contradicting the Amethyst Initiative's claims, the results imply that a MLDA of 21 rather than 18 saved an average of at least 79 lives annually over the sample period.
- Adjusting the EPA's statistical value of life to 2016 terms (and fully allocating to ages 18+) implies a corresponding minimum savings of nearly \$800 million per year.

References

- Effects are generally large & significant across subsamples defined in various ways, but are proportionately largest among non-Hispanic whites, outside MSAs, for poisoning & during the crisis.
- Carpenter & Dobkin, "The Effect of Alcohol **Consumption on Mortality: Regression Discontinuity Evidence from the Minimum** Drinking Age," AEJ: Applied Economics, January 2009, 164–182.
- Carpenter & Dobkin, "The Minimum Legal Drinking Age and Public Health," J Econ <u>Perspectives</u>, Spring 2011, 133–156.
- Dong, "RD Applications with Rounding **Errors in the Running Variable,**" J Applied **Econometrics**, April/May 2015, 422–446.