

Posted by PER PETTERSSON-LIDBOM

Posted on 5/9/2014 6:40 PM

My comment concerned three issues in the differences-in-differences specification of Aidt and Shvets (2012): (i) the sensitivity of the functional form of the dependent variable (ii) that the standard errors were not clustered robust and (iii) omitting fixed effects at the district level. Although I might have not correctly specified all district fixed effects due to re-districting, as noted by Shvets, the two others problems still apply. Moreover, I strongly doubt that that if the district fixed effects were correctly specified, that that would change the results in Panel C of Table 1 in my comment. I suggest that the authors should take my critique seriously rather than just saying that it is misguided.