

Posted by JULIA SHVETS

Posted on 3/17/2014 11:22 AM

We are pleased to see this interest in our study.

However, Per Pettersson-Lidbom's arguments are invalid because they ignore redistricting. The author's 'panel' estimates implicitly assume that a district is a fixed unit and that the (state-assigned) district number refers to the same district over time. Sadly, this is not even approximately true. Further details are available on page 5 of our online data appendix or from us directly.