# ONLINE APPENDIX FOR "CORE DETERMINING CLASS AND INEQUALITY SELECTION" 

By Ye Luo and Hai Wang ${ }^{1}$

In this supplement additional material for the artical "Core Determining Class and Inequality Selection" is presented. It contains figures and tables mentioned in the main text.


Fig 1. Correspondence map with size $15 \times 25$

[^0]| Number of experiments $(M)$ | 100 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Number of events $\times$ number of outcomes $\left(d_{1} \times d_{2}\right)$ | $15 \times 25$ |  |
| Number of inequalities in true model | 471 |  |
| Conservative bound of acceptance rate $(1-\alpha)$ | 0.95 |  |
| Sample size $(n)$ | 500 | 2000 |
| Average $\lambda$ | 0.0710 | 0.0355 |
| Frequency of Coverage $(\eta=0)$ | $97 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| Avg. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0)$ | 184.66 | 187.42 |
| Max. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0)$ | 241 | 234 |
| Min. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0)$ | 145 | 92 |
| Frequency of Coverage $(\eta=0.1)$ | $99 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Avg. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.1)$ | 32.59 | 86.02 |
| Max. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.1)$ | 43 | 145 |
| Min. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.1)$ | 27 | 27 |
| Frequency of Coverage $(\eta=0.2)$ | $99 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Avg. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.2)$ | 26.73 | 56.69 |
| Max. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.2)$ | 28 | 108 |
| Min. number of inequalities selected $(\eta=0.2)$ | 24 | 27 |
| Running time $($ sec/instance $)$ | 87 | 146 |

Table 1
Results of Monte-Carlo Experiments on Main Example

| Number of inequalities selected in $L^{0}$ | 79 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Number of inequalities selected in $L^{1}$ | 211 |
| Number of inequalities that $L^{0}$ model selected in $L^{1}, \eta=0$ | 79 |
| Number of inequalities that $L^{0}$ model selected in $L^{1}, \eta=0.05$ | 78 |
| Number of inequalities that $L^{0}$ model selected in $L^{1}, \eta=0.10$ | 78 |
| Number of inequalities that $L^{0}$ model selected in $L^{1}, \eta=0.15$ | 77 |
| Number of inequalities that $L^{0}$ model selected in $L^{1}, \eta=0.20$ | 72 |
| Running time of $L^{0}$ model (min) | 2195 |
| Running time of $L^{1}$ model $(\min )$ | 1.45 |

TABLE 2
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