# Saving Lives with Indexed Disaster Funds: Evidence from Mexico - Online Appendix

By: Alejandro del Valle

# A Supplementary Tables and Figures

	Transport fatalities	Self-harm inter- personal violence	Commu- nicable	Non- commu- nicable	Amenable	PHI covered
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Panel A. <i>FS</i> <i>p</i> -value	0.235 p < 0.001	0.226 p < 0.001	0.227 p < 0.001	0.230 p < 0.001	0.231 p < 0.001	0.235 p < 0.001
CI 95 percent	[0.13, 0.32]	[0.13, 0.29]	[0.12, 0.28]	[0.12, 0.28]	[0.13, 0.31]	[0.13, 0.32]
Panel B. <i>ITT</i> p-value CI 95 percent Control Mean	-0.033 0.085 [-0.08,0.01] 0.031	$\begin{array}{c} 0.035\\ 0.110\\ [-0.01, 0.10]\\ 0.021\end{array}$	0.007 0.597 [-0.03,0.05] -0.015	-0.256 0.024 [-0.53,-0.04] 0.294	-0.296 0.005 [-0.58,-0.10] 0.342	-0.239 0.010 [-0.49,-0.07] 0.336
Panel C. <i>LATE</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	-0.140 0.091 [-0.37,0.03] 0.364	$\begin{array}{c} 0.155\\ 0.100\\ [-0.04, 0.44]\\ 0.285\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.031 \\ 0.573 \\ [-0.13, 0.24] \\ 0.301 \end{array}$	-1.110 0.019 [-2.49,-0.23] 0.900	-1.280 0.007 [-2.67,-0.42] 0.510	-1.018 0.014 [-2.19,-0.24] 0.718
Bandwidth Obs(left right)	38.0 721 398	55.7 1010 $ 523$	$59.2 \\ 1077 536$	$61.9 \\ 1122 556$	45.3 848 433	40.5 756 414

Table A1: Impact of Fonden on 24-month cause-specific mortality

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the cause-specific 24-month AMRD listed in the column title. Amenable refers to non-communicable conditions responsive to basic medical care. PHI covered refers to non-communicable amenable conditions for which interventions and medications are covered for free by public health insurance. All dependent variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the LATE p-value when the outcome is the AMRD calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

Dep. variable:	All-	cause	PHI covered		
Sample split:		Public doctor	rs (per capita)		
1 1	Above Median	Below Median	Above Median	Below Median	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Panel A. FS	0.221	0.235	0.214	0.240	
<i>p</i> -value	0.005	0.001	0.015	0.001	
CI 95 percent	[0.06, 0.34]	[0.09, 0.36]	[0.04, 0.35]	[0.10, 0.37]	
Panel B. <i>ITT</i>	-0.675	0.140	-0.428	0.008	
<i>p</i> -value	0.007	0.251	0.004	0.728	
CI 95 percent	[-1.30, -0.21]	[-0.13, 0.50]	[-0.84, -0.16]	[-0.12, 0.17]	
Control Mean	0.633	0.180	0.428	0.200	
Panel C. LATE	-3.051	0.596	-1.999	0.032	
<i>p</i> -value	0.019	0.246	0.018	0.727	
CI 95 percent	[-6.81, -0.61]	[-0.56, 2.18]	[-4.62, -0.43]	[-0.50, 0.72]	
Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	0.046	0.193	0.405	0.113	
Bandwidth	57.8	47.3	42.8	45.1	
Obs(left right)	573 288	396 199	432 236	381 190	

Table A2: Impact of Fonden on 24-month mortality by preexisting level of public medical infrastructure

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. The dependent variable in panel B, columns 1 and 2, is the all-cause 24-month AMRD. In panel B, columns 3 and 4, the dependent variable is the PHI-covered 24-month AMRD, which refers to deaths caused by non-communicable amenable conditions covered for free by public health insurance. The dependent variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the LATE p-value when the outcome is the AMRD calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. In columns 1 and 3, I restrict the sample to areas with above-median public doctors per capita (high health supply). In columns 2 and 4, I restrict the sample to areas with below-median public doctors per capita (low health supply). For all-cause mortality (columns 1 and 2), the p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE for high and low health supply areas is equal is 0.009. For PHI-covered conditions (columns 3 and 4), the p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE for high and low health supply areas is equal is 0.018.

Sample split:	Public doctors (per capita)				
	Above Median	Below Median			
	(1)	(2)			
Panel A. FS	0.233	0.211			
<i>p</i> -value	0.001	0.002			
CI 95 percent	[0.08, 0.34]	[0.07, 0.29]			
Panel B. <i>ITT</i>	0.057	0.056			
<i>p</i> -value	0.146	0.070			
CI 95 percent	[-0.02, 0.14]	[-0.01, 0.14]			
Control Mean	-0.077	-0.061			
Panel C. LATE	0.244	0.265			
p-value	0.143	0.059			
CI 95 percent	[-0.10, 0.66]	[-0.01, 0.71]			
Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	0.528	0.844			
Bandwidth	55.9	58.8			
Obs(left right)	542 277	474 245			

Table A3: Impact of Fonden on night lights by preexisting level of public medical infrastructure

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the night lights log difference between the 12 months before and the 12 months after a disaster. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the p-value of the LATE when the outcome is calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported use robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. Public doctors per capita are observed in 2003; its median value is 0.45. The sample in columns 1 and 2 is the same as the one used for the analysis presented in table 4. I find no evidence of differential Fonden effects, the p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE by the level of medical infrastructure is equal is 0.799.

Dep. variable:	All-	All-cause		PHI covered			
Sample split:	Above Median (1)	Storm drain co Below Median (2)	verage (percent) Above Median (3)	Below Median (4)			
Panel A. FS	0.232	0.202	0.238	0.236			
<i>p</i> -value	0.001	0.003	0.001	0.001			
CI 95 percent	[0.08, 0.31]	[0.06, 0.32]	[0.08, 0.31]	[0.10, 0.38]			
Panel B. <i>ITT</i>	-0.586	-0.954	-0.096	-0.432			
<i>p</i> -value	0.077	0.021	0.494	0.038			
CI 95 percent	[-1.47, 0.07]	[-1.97, -0.16]	[-0.65, 0.31]	[-0.94, -0.03]			
Control Mean	0.691	0.894	0.251	0.452			
Panel C. LATE	-2.520	-4.726	-0.404	-1.828			
<i>p</i> -value	0.064	0.051	0.454	0.075			
CI 95 percent	[-6.98, 0.20]	[-11.17, 0.03]	[-2.80, 1.25]	[-4.27, 0.20]			
Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	0.732	0.150	0.631	0.177			
Bandwidth	54.9	58.3	57.6	47.1			
Obs(left right)	479 273	549 249	499 283	457 205			

Table A4: Impact of Fonden on 8-month mortality by preexisting level of storm drain infrastructure

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the 8-month AMRD listed in the column title. These variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. PHI covered refers to deaths caused by non-communicable amenable conditions covered for free by public health insurance. To proxy storm drain coverage, I use the percentage of dwellings connected to sewage measured in the most recent census that predates the natural disaster; its median value is 0.71. In columns 1 and 3, I restrict the sample to areas with above-median storm drain coverage. In columns 2 and 4, I restrict the sample to areas with below-median storm drain coverage. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the p-value of the LATE when the outcome is calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported use robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. I find no evidence of differential Fonden effects. The p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE on the all-cause AMRD by the level of storm drain coverage is equal is 0.520. The p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE on the PHI-covered AMRD by the level of storm drain coverage is equal is 0.413.

Dep. variable:	All-	cause	PHI covered			
Sample split:	Above Median (1)	Storm drain co Below Median (2)	verage (percent) Above Median (3)	Below Median (4)		
Panel A. FS	0.251	0.226	0.250	0.242		
<i>p</i> -value	p < 0.001	0.001	p < 0.001	0.001		
CI 95 percent	[0.10, 0.33]	[0.09, 0.36]	[0.09, 0.32]	[0.10, 0.38]		
Panel B. <i>ITT</i>	-0.235	-0.510	-0.158	-0.278		
<i>p</i> -value	0.300	0.046	0.162	0.022		
CI 95 percent	[-0.63, 0.19]	[-1.17, -0.01]	[-0.45, 0.07]	[-0.62, -0.05]		
Control Mean	0.348	0.560	0.271	0.362		
Panel C. LATE	-0.939	-2.255	-0.634	-1.152		
<i>p</i> -value	0.244	0.087	0.122	0.041		
CI 95 percent	[-2.70, 0.69]	[-5.57, 0.38]	[-1.94, 0.23]	[-2.70, -0.06]		
Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	0.154	0.434	0.582	0.904		
Bandwidth	59.2	49.9	58.9	45.8		
Obs(left right)	518 286	483 224	513 286	443 199		

Table A5: Impact of Fonden on 24-month mortality by preexisting level of storm drain infrastructure

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the 24-month AMRD listed in the column title. These variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. PHI covered refers to deaths caused by non-communicable amenable conditions covered for free by public health insurance. To proxy storm drain coverage, I use the percentage of dwellings connected to sewage measured in the most recent census that predates the natural disaster; its median value is 0.71. In columns 1 and 3, I restrict the sample to areas with above-median storm drain coverage. In columns 2 and 4, I restrict the sample to areas with below-median storm drain coverage. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the p-value of the LATE when the outcome is calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported use robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. I find no evidence of differential Fonden effects. The p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE on the all-cause AMRD by the level of storm drain coverage is equal is 0.362. The p-value for the null hypothesis that the LATE on the PHIcovered AMRD by the level of storm drain coverage is equal is 0.550.

		Female (2)	$ \begin{array}{c} 0-14 \\ (3) \end{array} $	15-49 (4)	$\begin{array}{c} 50 \text{ or older} \\ (5) \end{array}$
Panel A. <i>First Stage</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent	$0.242 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.14, 0.29]$	$0.245 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.15, 0.29]$	$0.226 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.12, 0.29]$	$0.231 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.13, 0.31]$	$0.226 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.12, 0.29]$
Panel B. <i>ITT</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Control Mean	-0.405 0.058 [-0.93,0.01] 0.587	-0.261 0.085 [-0.65,0.04] 0.307	$\begin{array}{c} 0.073 \\ 0.360 \\ [-0.13, 0.35] \\ -0.086 \end{array}$	-0.201 0.132 [-0.55,0.07] 0.265	-1.243 0.045 [-2.70,-0.03] 1.952
Panel C. <i>LATE</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	-1.674 0.041 [-4.12,-0.08] 0.053	-1.067 0.065 [-2.79,0.08] 0.816	$\begin{array}{c} 0.323 \\ 0.337 \\ [-0.54, 1.56] \\ 0.852 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} -0.870\\ 0.117\\ [-2.45, 0.27]\\ 0.269\end{array}$	-5.488 0.041 [-12.70,-0.26] 0.154
Bandwidth Obs(left right)	74.4 1298 $ 611$	77.4 1347 $ 627$	$52.3 \\ 954 500$	45.8 865 436	$53.4 \\ 959 502$

### Table A6: Impact of Fonden on 24-month sex and age-specific mortality

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable corresponds to the age or gender-specific 24-month AMRD listed in the column title. The dependent variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the LATE p-value when the outcome is the AMRD calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. The p-values for the null hypothesis that the LATE by gender is equal is 0.554. The p-values for the null hypotheses that the LATE for those 50 or older is equal to each of the younger groups are 0.030 and 0.097.

Dep. Variable	8-month	mortality	24-month mortality		
-	neighbors within 15 km (1)	neighbors 15-30 km (2)	neighbors within 15 km (3)	neighbors 15-30 km (4)	
Panel A. <i>First Stage</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent	$0.228 \ p < 0.001 \ [0.11, 0.30]$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.235 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.14, 0.29] \end{array}$	0.227 p < 0.001 [0.10, 0.30]	$\begin{array}{c} 0.231 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.13, 0.28] \end{array}$	
Panel B. <i>ITT</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Control Mean	-0.367 0.025 [-0.80,-0.05] 0.435	-0.145 0.109 [-0.38,0.04] 0.277	-0.074 0.341 [-0.29,0.10] 0.254	-0.002 0.979 [-0.09,0.09] 0.224	
Panel C. <i>LATE</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	-1.605 0.031 [-3.84,-0.18] 0.363	$\begin{array}{c} -0.617\\ 0.093\\ [-1.71, 0.13]\\ 0.264\end{array}$	-0.325 0.304 [-1.32,0.41] 0.268	$\begin{array}{c} -0.011\\ 0.975\\ [-0.41, 0.40]\\ 0.320\end{array}$	
$\begin{array}{l} \text{Bandwidth} \\ \text{Obs}(\text{left} \text{right}) \end{array}$	$49.2 \\763 400$	75.1 1227 $ 585$	$55.2 \\ 827 443$	$68.4 \\ 1159 556$	

 Table A7: Spillover Effects

**Note:** Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month AMRD (columns 1 and 2) and the all-cause 24-month AMRD (columns 3 and 4). In both cases, the dependent variable is computed using only information from neighboring municipalities within the distance indicated in the column title. These variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the p-value of the LATE when the outcome is the dependent variable calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

Donut-hole	Intention to Treat	p-value	CI $95\%$	Bandwidth	Obs	Exclu	ided Obs
Radius (1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	Left (7)	Right (8)
0.0	-0.816	0.002	[-1.48, -0.34]	51.4	1432	0	0
0.5	-0.711	0.006	[-1.36, -0.23]	55.5	1520	$^{2}$	7
1.0	-0.945	0.001	[-1.70, -0.43]	49.6	1355	29	10
1.5	-0.830	0.001	[-1.51, -0.42]	61.8	1626	33	13
2.0	-1.067	0.001	[-1.89, -0.52]	48.1	1291	40	21
2.5	-0.570	0.057	[-1.28, 0.02]	50.4	1337	61	26

Table A8: Robustness donut-hole analysis

**Note:** Estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. The dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference. The variable is measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. Column 1 reports the excluded radius in mm, and columns 7 and 8 report the number of observations excluded on each side of the threshold. The p-values and 95% confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

Table A9:	Robustness	placebo	thresholds
-----------	------------	---------	------------

Alternativ cutoff	<sup>ve</sup> ITT	p-value	CI $95\%$	Bandwidth	Obs Left	Obs Right
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
-46.2	-0.211	0.427	[-1.2, 0.5]	18.4	307	329
-36.3	-0.068	0.855	[-0.9, 0.8]	16.8	260	360
-27.1	-0.110	0.802	[-0.8, 0.6]	12.5	220	261
-20.0	0.328	0.509	[-1.0, 2.0]	8.6	200	135
-9.2	0.168	0.367	[-1.3, 3.4]	10.1	157	173
0.0	-0.816	0.002	[-1.5, -0.3]	51.4	944	488
8.0	-0.102	0.801	[-1.7, 1.3]	27.2	99	282
15.4	-0.760	0.269	[-2.1, 0.6]	20.1	198	183
26.2	0.705	0.389	[-1.0, 2.6]	22.7	261	167
38.1	-0.491	0.622	[-2.4, 1.4]	15.7	129	115
52.2	-0.125	0.768	[-1.2, 0.9]	15.9	115	82

**Note:** The dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference. The variable is measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The table presents estimates of the ITT at the true zero threshold and various placebo thresholds. The sample in the first five rows is restricted to negative values of the running variable. The placebo thresholds are given by the first five deciles. The sample in the last five rows is restricted to non-negative values of the running variable. The placebo thresholds are determined analogously. Estimates are derived using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

	Alternative bandwidths			Local Polynomial Degree		Kernel	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Panel A. <i>First Stage</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent	$0.233 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.13, 0.32]$	$0.221 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.13, 0.30]$	$0.242 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.14, 0.34]$	$0.209 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.11, 0.30]$	$0.250 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.14, 0.36]$	$0.213 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.11, 0.26]$	$0.219 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.11, 0.29]$
Panel B. <i>ITT</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Control Mean	-0.948 0.002 [-1.62,-0.37] 0.838	-0.879 0.002 [-1.57,-0.36] 0.795	-0.958 0.002 [-1.63,-0.37] 0.839	-0.929 0.003 [-1.63,-0.32] 0.834	-1.102 0.002 [-1.83,-0.41] 0.877	-0.636 0.008 [-1.26,-0.18] 0.765	-0.839 0.002 [-1.52,-0.34] 0.793
Panel C. <i>LATE</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	$\begin{array}{r} -4.063 \\ 0.005 \\ [-7.56, -1.31] \\ 0.172 \end{array}$	-3.972 0.006 [-7.62,-1.27] 0.169	-3.964 0.007 [-7.26,-1.12] 0.179	-4.445 0.015 [-8.43,-0.91] 0.282	-4.414 0.011 [-7.93,-1.04] 0.219	-2.982 0.007 [-6.53,-1.01] 0.142	-3.822 0.003 [-7.65,-1.52] 0.165
Bandwidth (left right) Obs (left right)	35.5 35.5 683 381	50.9 41.2 942 417	35.1 28.4 679 328	79.5 79.5 1364 642	$\begin{array}{c} 52.0   52.0 \\ 951   497 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 48.8   48.8 \\ 908   467 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 46.5   46.5 \\ 875   438 \end{array}$
Bandwidth selection Local Polynomial Degree	$ \stackrel{\hat{h}_{CER}}{1} $	$ \hat{h}_{MSE2} \\ 1 $	$\hat{h}_{CER2}$ 1	$\hat{h}_{CER} \ 2$	$\hat{h}_{MSE} \ 2$	$ \hat{h}_{MSE} \\ 1$	$ \hat{h}_{MSE} \\ 1$

Table A10: Robustness tuning parameters

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, the dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference. The variable is measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. Estimates are derived using a triangular kernel except for columns 6 (epanechnikov) and 7 (uniform). The local polynomial degree and optimal bandwidth selection algorithm used are indicated in each column. The  $\hat{h}_{MSE}$  bandwidth selection algorithm is optimal for point estimation; the  $\hat{h}_{CER}$  selection algorithm is optimal for inference of confidence intervals. The subscript 2 in the description of the bandwidth selection algorithm denotes that different bandwidth lengths have been selected on each side of the threshold. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the LATE p-value when the outcome is the AMRD calculated using only pre-disaster data. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

	Alternative AMRD definitions		Calendar Month FE	Multi to	Multiple exposure to Fonden		Extreme events	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	
Panel A. <i>First Stage</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent	$0.222 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.12, 0.28]$	$     \begin{array}{r}       0.223 \\       p < 0.001 \\       [0.12, 0.29]     \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 0.238 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.14, 0.29] \end{array}$	$0.267 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.15, 0.36]$	$0.289 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.16, 0.40]$	$0.248 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.15, 0.38]$	$\begin{array}{c} \hline 0.210 \\ p < 0.001 \\ [0.09, 0.25] \end{array}$	
Panel B. <i>ITT</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95 percent Control Mean	-0.469 0.010 [-0.90,-0.12] 0.541	-0.639 0.002 [-1.16,-0.27] 0.678	-0.695 0.002 [-1.32,-0.30] 0.782	-0.900 0.010 [-1.77,-0.24 0.917	-1.062 0.006 ] [-2.00,-0.34] 1.061	-0.984 0.003 [-1.81,-0.36] 0.876	-0.706 0.005 [-1.38, -0.25] 0.854	
Panel C. <i>LATE</i> <i>p</i> -value CI 95% Placebo ( <i>p</i> -value)	$\begin{array}{r} -2.115\\ 0.009\\ [-4.44,-0.62]\\ 0.100\end{array}$	-2.862 0.002 [-5.68,-1.22]	-2.925 0.002 [-6.00,-1.33] 0.222	-3.370 0.015 [-7.12,-0.78 0.217	-3.683 0.011 ] [-7.41,-0.95] 0.247	-3.963 0.020 [-7.63,-0.66] 0.233	-3.365 0.003 [-7.57,-1.59] 0.066	
Bandwidth Obs (left right)	57.9 1046 $ 530$	$50.3 \\ 937 486$	$65.3 \\ 1185 562$	46.5 663 314	49.3 497 252	27.8 519 323	65.7 1101 $ 532$	

Table A11: Robustness various issues

Note: Panel A and B present estimates of equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. In panel A the dependent variable is an indicator for receiving Fonden. In panel B, column 1, the dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized and standardized (age-sex adjusted) mortality rate difference. In panel B, column 2, the dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference, where the AMR expected in the absence of a disaster is computed by averaging the 8-month annualized mortality rates observed in the four years before a disaster. In panel B, columns 3 to 7, the dependent variable is the 8-month all-cause annualized mortality rate difference used throughout the paper. The specification in column 3 includes calendar month fixed effects. Column 4 excludes observations with Fonden in the year before or after. Column 5 excludes observations with Fonden two years before or after. Column 6 excludes rainfall events ranked in the top decile. Column 7 excludes observations in the top decile of Fonden thresholds. All dependent variables are measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The control mean is the left-hand-side prediction of the nonparametric regression at the threshold. Panel C reports the LATE computed as the ratio of the ITT to the first stage. The Placebo row reports the p-value of the LATE when the outcome is the dependent variable calculated using only pre-disaster data. No placebo p-value is reported in column 2 because I use all the pre-disaster information to compute the expected annualized mortality rate. The p-values and 95 percent confidence intervals reported are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level.

Variable	Source
INEGI (The National Institute of Statistics and Geography)	
Municipal Identifiers	(INEGI, 2014b)
Population	
No. of dwellings	
Pop. with no health insurance	
Pop. 15 or older illiterate	Census
Pop. 15 or older with no schooling	INEGI $(2000a)$
Dwellings with electricity	INEGI (2005)
Dwellings with piped water	INEGI (2010)
Dwellings connected to sewage	
Dwellings with a refrigerator	
Elevation	
Revenue of municipal government	
Expenditures of municipal government	
Total transfers	Public finances of municipalities
Revenue sharing transfers	INEGI $(2014a)$
Conditional transfers	× /
Municipal boundaries	
Municipal surface area	Geostatistical datasets
Centroid longitude	INEGI $(2013a)$
Centroid latitude	
Urban boundaries	INEGI $(2000b)$
State level GDP	INEGI $(2013b)$
	- ( · )
Fund for Natural Disasters Fonden	
Fonden expenditures	Fonden administrative record
Fonden disbursement times	Fonden $(2015)$
Fonden planned reconstruction times	· · · ·
Public Medical infrastructure	
Health units per 1,000 persons	
Hospital beds per 1,000 persons	
Doctors offices per 1,000 persons	DGIS $(2003a,b)$
Doctors per 1,000 persons	
Other Datasets	
Migration rate difference (MRD) Net	
MRD inflow	
MRD outflow	Internal Migration
MRD inflow (men, women, 50 or older, low education)	IPUMS (2020)
MRD outflow (men, women, 50 or older, low education)	Jones et al. (n.d.)
MRD outflow US	US Migration
MRD outflow US (men, women, 50 or older. low education)	EMFI-North (2022)
Same local and federal political party	CIDAC (2015)
Same local and state political party	CIDAC (2015) and Wikipedia (2022)
Life expectancy by age and gender	WHO (2000)
Historic mean annual rainfall (20 years before Fonden)	Conagua $(2015)$
Infant mortality rate	CONAPO (2000)
Road intersection density (per 100 sq. km)	
Road density (per 100 sq. km)	USGS $(2003)$
GDP deflator	World Bank $(2010a)$
PPP exchange rates	World Bank (2010b)
Night lights	Del Valle, de Janvry and Sadoulet (2020)

## Table A12: Additional datasets and Sources





**Note:** The abbreviation (per) denotes percent. Each graph plots the outcome labeled on the axis as a function of the running variable (rainfall minus threshold). Observations to the right of the threshold (black vertical dashed line) are eligible for Fonden under the heavy rainfall rule. The solid gray lines are global fourth-order polynomials fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data. The red circles represent the local means of the outcome. The error bars are the 95 percent confidence intervals of the local means. To compute the local means, I partition the support of the running variable into quantile spaced bins and calculate the mean for each bin. The number of bins is selected to minimize the integrated mean square error of the underlying regression function. The solid blue lines are local linear fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data in the neighborhood of the threshold. The bandwidth determining the neighborhood is chosen by minimizing the asymptotic mean squared error of the regression discontinuity estimate. The estimation of the local linear fits uses a triangular kernel.



#### Figure A2: Predetermined Covariates II

**Note:** The abbreviation (per) denotes percent, and (pc) denotes per capita. Each graph plots the outcome labeled on the axis as a function of the running variable (rainfall minus threshold). Observations to the right of the threshold (black vertical dashed line) are eligible for Fonden under the heavy rainfall rule. The solid gray lines are global fourth-order polynomials fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data. The red circles represent the local means of the outcome. The error bars are the 95 percent confidence intervals of the local means. To compute the local means, I partition the support of the running variable into quantile spaced bins and calculate the mean for each bin. The number of bins is selected to minimize the integrated mean square error of the underlying regression function. The solid blue lines are local linear fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data in the neighborhood of the threshold. The bandwidth determining the neighborhood is chosen by minimizing the asymptotic mean squared error of the regression discontinuity estimate. The estimation of the local linear fits uses a triangular kernel.





**Note:** The abbreviation (pc) denotes per capita, and (m) denotes meters. Each graph plots the outcome labeled on the axis as a function of the running variable (rainfall minus threshold). Observations to the right of the threshold (black vertical dashed line) are eligible for Fonden under the heavy rainfall rule. The solid gray lines are global fourth-order polynomials fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data. The red circles represent the local means of the outcome. The error bars are the 95 percent confidence intervals of the local means. To compute the local means, I partition the support of the running variable into quantile spaced bins and calculate the mean for each bin. The number of bins is selected to minimize the integrated mean square error of the underlying regression function. The solid blue lines are local linear fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data in the neighborhood of the threshold. The bandwidth determining the neighborhood is chosen by minimizing the asymptotic mean squared error of the regression discontinuity estimate. The estimation of the local linear fits uses a triangular kernel.



#### Figure A4: Predetermined Covariates IV

**Note:** The abbreviation (mm) denotes millimeters, and (pc) denotes per capita. Each graph plots the outcome labeled on the axis as a function of the running variable (rainfall minus threshold). Observations to the right of the threshold (black vertical dashed line) are eligible for Fonden under the heavy rainfall rule. The solid gray lines are global fourth-order polynomials fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data. The red circles represent the local means of the outcome. The error bars are the 95 percent confidence intervals of the local means. To compute the local means, I partition the support of the running variable into quantile spaced bins and calculate the mean for each bin. The number of bins is selected to minimize the integrated mean square error of the underlying regression function. The solid blue lines are local linear fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data in the neighborhood of the threshold. The bandwidth determining the neighborhood is chosen by minimizing the asymptotic mean squared error of the regression discontinuity estimate. The estimation of the local linear fits uses a triangular kernel.





**Note:** The abbreviation (per) denotes percent, and (pc) denotes per capita. Each graph plots the outcome labeled on the axis as a function of the running variable (rainfall minus threshold). Observations to the right of the threshold (black vertical dashed line) are eligible for Fonden under the heavy rainfall rule. The solid gray lines are global fourth-order polynomials fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data. The red circles represent the local means of the outcome. The error bars are the 95 percent confidence intervals of the local means. To compute the local means, I partition the support of the running variable into quantile spaced bins and calculate the mean for each bin. The number of bins is selected to minimize the integrated mean square error of the underlying regression function. The solid blue lines are local linear fits estimated separately on each side of the threshold from raw data in the neighborhood of the threshold. The bandwidth determining the neighborhood is chosen by minimizing the asymptotic mean squared error of the regression discontinuity estimate. The estimation of the local linear fits uses a triangular kernel.



Figure A6: Fonden impact at various bandwidths

Note: Estimates of equation 1 at ten evenly spaced bandwidths. The smallest bandwidth, 25.72 mm, is 50 percent smaller than the optimal  $h_{MSE}$  bandwidth, and the largest, 77.17 mm, is 50 percent larger than the optimal  $h_{MSE}$ . The dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference. The variable is measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. The circles represent point estimates constructed using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and the bandwidth indicated in the x-axis. The error bars represent robust 95 percent confidence intervals.





Note: The top panel plots the histogram of Fonden's heavy rainfall thresholds. The bottom panel plots thresholds-specific estimates of Fonden's ITT. Specifically, I estimate equation 1 using a triangular kernel, a local linear polynomial, and a  $h_{MSE}$  optimal bandwidth. The dependent variable is the all-cause 8-month annualized mortality rate difference. It is measured in deaths per 1,000 person-years. For each of the five threshold-specific estimates, the sample is given by the 400 observations with the closest Fonden thresholds. Point estimates are represented by red circles and 95 percent confidence intervals by green error bars). The reported confidence intervals are constructed using robust bias correction and clustering at the municipal level. The red dashed line is a quadratic polynomial fit of the five thresholds-specific estimates of Fonden's ITT. The solid blue line is Fonden's ITT estimate from table 2 column 2. This estimate is derived by normalizing and pooling the Fonden thresholds.

## References

- CIDAC. 2015. "Electoral database." Centro de Investigación para el Desarrollo A.C. http://cidac.org/base-de-datos-electoral/(accessed June 6, 2022).
- **Conagua.** 2015. "Daily Weather Station Historic Rainfall [Dataset]." Secretaria de Hacienda y Credito Publico [Distributor] (accessed March 3, 2015).
- CONAPO. 2000. "Infant mortality rate by municipality 2000, 2005, and 2010." Retrieved from UNDP. http://www.undp.org. mx/IMG/pdf/IDH\_municipal\_PNUD.pdf (accessed May 29, 2014).
- Del Valle, Alejandro, Alain de Janvry, and Elisabeth Sadoulet. 2020. "Replication Data for: Rules for Recovery: Impact of Indexed Disaster Funds on Shock Coping in Mexico." American Economic Association[publisher], Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research[distributor]. https://doi.org/10.3886/E111381V1 (accessed August 10 2021).
- DGIS. 2003a. "Health Resources SINERHIAS 2001-2017." Secretaria de Salud, Direccion General de Informacion en Salud. http://www.dgis.salud.gob.mx/contenidos/basesdedatos/bdc\_recursos\_gobmx.html (accessed February 6, 2019).
- DGIS. 2003b. "Unique ID for Health Establishments." Secretaria de Salud, Direccion General de Informacion en Salud. http://www.dgis.salud.gob.mx/contenidos/intercambio/clues\_gobmx.html (accessed February 6, 2019).
- EMFI-North. 2022. "Migration survey in Mexico's Northern Border (Migrants from the South)." El Colegio de la Frontera Norte. https://www.colef.mx/emif/basescuestionarios.html (accessed May 25 2022).
- Fonden. 2015. "Fonden online." Secretaria de Hacienda y Credito Publico. http://www.proteccioncivil.gob.mx/en/ ProteccionCivil/SistemaFondenenLinea (accessed March 20, 2015).
- INEGI. 2000a. "Census 2000 results by locality ITER." Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ccpv/2000/#Microdatos (accessed June 20, 2017).
- INEGI. 2000b. "Geostatistical Frame 2000." Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/ biblioteca/ficha.html?upc=702825292843 (accessed June 22, 2022).
- INEGI. 2005. "Conteo 2005 results by locality ITER." Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org. mx/programas/ccpv/2005/#Microdatos (accessed June 20, 2017).
- INEGI. 2010. "Census 2010 results by locality ITER." Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org. mx/programas/ccpv/2010/#Microdatos (accessed June 20, 2017).
- INEGI. 2013a. "Geostatistical Frame 2013 version 6." Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org. mx/app/biblioteca/ficha.html?upc=702825292829 (accessed May 29, 2014).
- INEGI. 2013b. "State level GDP." Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org.mx/programas/ pibent/2008/#Tabulados (accessed May 29, 2014).
- INEGI. 2014a. "Public finances of municipalities." Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Geografia. http://www.snim.rami.gob. mx (accessed June 20, 2017).
- INEGI. 2014b. "Unique municipal identifiers." Instituto Nacional de Estadistica y Geografia. https://www.inegi.org.mx/app/ ageeml/ (accessed May 31, 2014).
- IPUMS. 2020. "Integrated Public Use Microdata Series, International: Version 7.3 [Mexico]." Minnesota Population Center. https://doi.org/10.18128/D020.V7.3 (accessed May 23 2022).
- Jones, Bryan, Fernando Riosmena, Daniel H Simon, and Deborah Balk. n.d.. "Estimating internal migration in contemporary Mexico and its relevance in gridded population distributions [Dataset]." https://zenodo.org/record/2673275#.YwI0ky-B1hF (accessed May 25 2022).
- USGS. 2003. "Global GIS : Global coverage DVD-ROM." United States Geological Survey: American Geological Institute. https://www.worldcat.org/title/global-gis-global-coverage-dvd-rom/oclc/68101735 (accessed October 10, 2017).
- WHO. 2000. "Life tables Mexico." World Health Organization. https://apps.who.int/gho/data/view.main.61060 (accessed October 10 2020).
- Wikipedia. 2022. "Lists of Mexican state governors." Wikipedia. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Lists\_of\_ Mexican\_state\_governors&oldid=1083296176 (accessed June 6, 2022).
- World Bank. 2010a. "GDP deflator." World Bank. https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NY.GDP.DEFL.ZS (accessed July 24, 2016).
- World Bank. 2010b. "PPP conversion factor, GDP (LCU per international \$)." World Bank. https://data.worldbank.org/ indicator/PA.NUS.PPP (accessed July 24, 2016).