

Additional Sensitivity Analyses Omitted from Paper

Table notes are identical to the notes presented in the paper and are suppressed here for brevity.

**Appendix Table SIIa: Changes in Birth Control Pill Use in States with Sales Bans, 1965 to 1970
(Sensitivity to Omission of Each Census Region)**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	<i>DV: I=Ever used the birth control pill</i>			
Sales ban	-0.097 [0.020]	-0.043 [0.031]	-0.071 [0.024]	-0.072 [0.025]
Sales ban x 1(1970)	0.066 [0.043]	0.043 [0.034]	0.049 [0.018]	0.067 [0.025]
Observations	5334	4657	4766	5505
Log likelihood	-2898.32	-2527.67	-2657.56	-2994.47
Omitted region	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Additional covariates ^a	RACEI	RACEI	RACEI	RACEI
Legal variables ^b	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD

**Appendix Table SIIIa: Changes in Contraceptive Use in States with Sales Bans, 1955 to 1970
(Sensitivity to Omission of Each Census Region)**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A	<i>DV: I=Ever used any method of contraception</i>			
Sales ban	0.002 [0.020]	-0.002 [0.020]	0.015 [0.017]	0.017 [0.016]
Sales ban x 1(1965)	0.004 [0.055]	0.033 [0.037]	-0.027 [0.042]	-0.018 [0.050]
Sales ban x 1(1970)	0.001 [0.043]	-0.001 [0.035]	-0.018 [0.030]	-0.015 [0.034]
Observations	7217	6373	6591	7587
Log likelihood	-2691.51	-2438.24	-2661.10	-3147.03
Panel B	<i>DV: I=Ever used a barrier method (diaphragm or condom)</i>			
Sales ban	0.016 [0.036]	0.022 [0.030]	0.011 [0.030]	-0.007 [0.032]
Sales ban x 1(1965)	-0.003 [0.044]	0.079 [0.059]	0.030 [0.041]	0.055 [0.052]
Sales ban x 1(1970)	0.050 [0.040]	-0.025 [0.042]	0.014 [0.045]	0.014 [0.051]
Observations	7217	6373	6591	7587
Log likelihood	-4123.96	-3700.26	-3763.79	-4387.93
Omitted region	Northeast	Midwest	South	West
Additional covariates ^a	RACEI	RACEI	RACEI	RACEI
Legal variables ^b	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD

Sensitivity of Estimates to Alternative Coding of Physician Exceptions

The following tables and figures present estimates based upon coding legitimate business language as physician exceptions. Their numbering corresponds to the tables in the paper, and table notes are identical to the notes presented in the paper. Notes are suppressed here for brevity.

**Appendix Table SIIB: Changes in Birth Control Pill Use in States with Sales Bans, 1965 to 1970
(Alternative Coding of Physician Exceptions)**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	<i>DV: 1=Ever used the birth control pill</i>			
Sales ban	-0.074 [0.030]	-0.093 [0.032]	-0.081 [0.028]	-0.086 [0.027]
Sales ban x 1(1970)	0.078 [0.032]	0.080 [0.031]	0.079 [0.028]	0.081 [0.026]
Observations	6950	6950	6754	6708
Log likelihood	-4199.42	4197.68	-3699.47	-3668.24
Additional covariates ^a	R	R	RACEI	RACEIK
Legal variables ^b	PX	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD

Sample: Married women between the ages of 18 to 39 at the time of the survey. Sources: 1965 and 1970 *NFS*.


**Appendix Table SIIBb: Changes in Contraceptive Use in States with Sales Bans, 1955 to 1970
(Alternative Coding of Physician Exceptions)**

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Panel A	<i>DV: 1=Ever used any method of contraception</i>			
Sales ban	0.011 [0.019]	0.007 [0.020]	0.008 [0.020]	0.004 [0.018]
Sales ban x 1(1965)	-0.024 [0.039]	0.003 [0.052]	0.005 [0.042]	0.010 [0.211]
Sales ban x 1(1970)	-0.008 [0.035]	-0.002 [0.035]	-0.007 [0.036]	-0.006 [0.034]
Observations	9557	9557	9256	9155
Log likelihood	-4120.15	-4117.41	-3660.10	-3582.87
Panel B	<i>DV: 1=Ever used a barrier method (diaphragm or condom)</i>			
Sales ban	0.027 [0.029]	0.016 [0.026]	0.010 [0.029]	0.005
Sales ban x 1(1965)	-0.047 [0.035]	0.011 [0.055]	0.047 [0.045]	0.051
Sales ban x 1(1970)	-0.030 [0.043]	0.016 [0.043]	0.009 [0.042]	0.015
Observations	9557	9557	9256	9163
Log likelihood	-6254.44	-6245.00	-5342.28	-5248.72
Additional covariates ^a	R	R	RACEI	RACEIK
Legal variables ^b	PX	PX,AD	PX,AD	PX,AD


Sources: 1955 *GAF*, 1965 and 1970 *NFS*.

**Appendix Figure SV: Differences in the Cumulative Proportion of Women Ever Using the Pill
(Alternative Coding of Physician Exceptions)**


A. Differences in Ever Used the Pill (States with Sales Bans-States without Bans) (1965 NFS)



B. Differences in Ever Used the Pill (Sales with Bans – States without Bans) (1965 and 1970 NFS)



C. Differences in Ever used the Pill Conditional upon using Pill by 1970(States with Bans – States without Bans) (1965 and 1970 NFS)




Source: 1965 and 1970 NFS.

Appendix Figure SVI:


Differential Evolution of Birth Rates in States with Sales Bans, 1951 to 1980

(Alternative Coding of Physician Exceptions)


A. Changes in the Difference between States with Sales Bans and the Comparison Group without State Fixed Effects (95 Percent Confidence Intervals in Dashed Lines)




B. Changes in the Difference between States with Sales Bans Until 1965 and the Comparison Group with State Fixed Effects (95 Percent Confidence Intervals in Dashed Lines)



C. Changes in the Difference between States with Sales Bans Until 1965 and the Comparison Group with State Fixed Effects Omitting Each Census Region



D. Changes in the Difference between States with Sales Bans Until 1965 and the Comparison Group with State Fixed Effects by Age Group



Source: 1950 to 1967 Vital Statistics Volumes (see Appendix B) and 1968 to 1980 Natality Files (ICPSR 2002).