|  |  |  | OME |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student Age | Female Student | Cumulated Courses Prior to Enrolment | GPA Prior to Enrolment |
| All Students | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (0.102) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.077 \\ (0.105) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.017 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ |
| All Low Registration Priority Students | $\begin{gathered} 0.083 \\ (0.143) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.013 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.073 \\ & (0.086) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ |
| Entering Students (==> Low Registration Priority) | $\begin{gathered} 0.037 \\ (0.169) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.012 \\ & (0.033) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -0.070 \\ & (0.066) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.003 \\ (0.085) \end{gathered}$ |
| Continuing Students, Low Registration Priority | $\begin{aligned} & -0.050 \\ & (0.160) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.024 \\ (0.068) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.062 \\ (0.056) \end{gathered}$ |
| Continuing Students, Not Low Registration Priority | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.111) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.034 \\ (0.116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.013 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ |
| FIXED EFFECTS (BY UNDERREPRESENTED MINORITY STATUS) |  |  |  |  |

NOTES: This table displays results from regressions of the minority-specific average student outcomes in a classroom on an indicator equal to one if the average is associated with minority students, an indicator if the class is taught by a minority instructor, the interaction between these two variables, and a set of fixed effects. We only report the coefficient on the interaction term, to be interpreted as the extent to which minority students sort into classrooms taught by minority instructors. Each cell is associated with a different regression. Students and instructors belong to the group of "Underrepresented Minorities" if their race/ethnicity is Hispanic, African-American, or Native American, Pacific Islander or other non-white. Rows are defined by the subsample of students we consider. Outcomes used in the regressions vary across columns. *** Significant on 1\%-level; ** Significant on 5\%-level; * Significant on 10\%-level. Standard errors are clustered by instructor.

# APPENDIX TABLE 2 - ESTIMATED ROLE OF INSTRUCTOR MINORITY STATUS FOR STUDENT OUTCOMES WITH STANDARD ERRORS CLUSTERED BY CLASSROOM 



OUTCOME: GOOD GRADE (B OR HIGHER), CONDITIONAL ON FINISHING THE COURSE
Number of Observations: 279,110

| All Students | 0.011 | -0.001 | 0.023 | $* * *$ | 0.014 | $* *$ | 0.024 | $* * *$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $(0.008)$ | $(0.009)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(0.006)$ | $(0.006)$ |  |  |  |

OUTCOME: STUDENT ENROLS IN A SAME-SUBJECT COURSE IN THE SUBSEQUENT TERM Number of Observations: $\quad 217,950$

| All Students | $\begin{gathered} 0.028 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.008) \end{gathered}$ | ** | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.007) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.007 \\ (0.007) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.013 \\ (0.007) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Low Registration | 0.019 |  | 0.028 | * | 0.027 | ** | 0.024 | * | 0.038 | ** |
| Priority Students | (0.016) |  | (0.016) |  | (0.014) |  | (0.015) |  | (0.018) |  |
| FIXED EFFECTS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Year-Quarter-Minority | Yes |  | No |  | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Course | No |  | No |  | Yes |  | No |  | No |  |
| Course-Minority-Year-Quarter | No |  | Yes |  | No |  | No |  | No |  |
| Student | No |  | No |  | Yes |  | No |  | Yes |  |
| Classroom | No |  | No |  | No |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| CONTROLS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Instructor Controls | Yes |  | Yes |  | Yes |  | No |  | No |  |
| Student Controls | Yes |  | Yes |  | No |  | Yes |  | No |  |

NOTES: This table displays results from our main outcome regressions. We report the coefficient of the interaction between student's and instructor's underrepresented minority status. Each cell is associated with a different regression. Students and instructors belong to the group of "Underrepresented Minorities" if their race/ethnicity is Hispanic, African-American, or Native American, Pacific Islander or other non-white. Student controls include, gender, cumulated GPA and a 4th-order polynomial in age; instructor controls include gender, a part-time indicator and a 4th-order polynomial in age. *** Significant on 1\%-level; ** Significant on 5\%-level; * Significant on 10\%level. Standard errors are clustered by classroom.

APPENDIX TABLE 3 - ESTIMATED STUDENT-INSTRUCTOR INTERACTION EFFECTS ASSUMING ONLY OWN RACE/ETHNICITY INTERACTIONS


NOTES: This table displays results from our main outcome regressions when using an alternative definition of the student-instructor interaction. In particular, the interaction variable is equal to one only if student and and instructor have the same racial/ethnic background in addition to belonging to an underrepresented minority group. We only report the coefficient for this variable. Each cell is associated with a different regression. Students and instructors belong to the group of "Underrepresented Minorities" if their race/ethnicity is Hispanic, African-American, or Native American, Pacific Islander or other non-white. Student controls include, gender, cumulated GPA and a 4th-order polynomial in age; instructor controls include gender, a part-time indicator and a 4th-order polynomial in age. *** Significant on 1\%-level; ** Significant on 5\%-level; * Significant on 10\%-level. Standard errors are clustered by instructor.

APPENDIX TABLE 4 - UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR ESTIMATED ROLE OF INSTRUCTOR MINORITY STATUS FOR STUDENT GRADE

|  | TRUNCATION BY OVERALL DROPOUT BEHAVIOUR |  |  |  | TRUNCATION BY COURSESPECIFIC DROPOUT BEHAVIOUR |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A } \\ \text { Stud } \end{array}$ |  | Low <br> Prio <br> Stud | Reg- <br> rity <br> ents | $\begin{array}{r} \text { A } \\ \text { Stud } \end{array}$ | ents | Low Prio Stud | Reg- <br> rity <br> ents |
| Lower Bound | $\begin{aligned} & 0.039 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ | * | $\begin{aligned} & 0.027 \\ & (0.041) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.039 \\ & (0.024) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.034 \\ & (0.041) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Uncorrected Estimate | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Upper Bound | $\begin{aligned} & 0.077 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.082 \\ (0.042) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.072 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.062 \\ & (0.041) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Student Controls | No |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Student FE | Yes |  |  |  | No |  |  |  |
| Classroom FE | Yes |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |

NOTES: This table shows uncorrected and sample-selection corrected estimates for the minority interaction when grade is used as the outcome variable. Sample corrected estimates are non-parametric bounds as described in Lee (2005) and implemented in Hoffmann and Oreopoulos (2009). Lower (upper) bounds are computed under the assumption that minority students induced to stay in a class come from the upper (lower) tail of the outcome distribution. The fraction to be dropped come from first-stage dropout-regressions. The first two columns report results when the trimming procedure relies on estimates of the minority interaction in dropout regressions that use the full sample; the last two columns report results when the trimming procedure relies on estimates of the minority interaction in dropout regressions we run for each course separately; in the latter case we need to replace student fixed effects by student controls to achieve identification. *** Significant on 1\%-level; ** Significant on 5\%-level; * Significant on 10\%level. Standard errors are clustered by instructor.
APPENDIX TABLE 5 - ESTIMATED ROLE OF INSTRUCTOR MINORITY STATUS: ADDITIONAL ROBUSTNESS CHECKS
AND EXTERNAL VALIDITY

PANEL B: EXTERNAL VALIDITY

|  | ALL STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vocational vs. Non-Vocational Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*NonVocational Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.025 \\ (0.008) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.055 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.021 \\ (0.011) \end{gathered}$ | ** | $\begin{array}{r} 0.011 \\ (0.007) \end{array}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Vocational Course | $\begin{gathered} 0.000 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.052 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.034 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.002 \\ (0.018) \end{array}$ |
| Courses that are Transferable to UC and CSU | stems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*NonTransferable Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.004 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.015 \\ (0.011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.026 \\ (0.043) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (0.018) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.015 \\ (0.011) \end{array}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Transferable Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.030 \\ 0.008 \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.010 \\ & 0.010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.065 \\ & 0.025 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.024 \\ & 0.011 \end{aligned}$ | ** | $\begin{aligned} & 0.012 \\ & 0.008 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | LOW REGISTRATION PRIORITY STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vocational vs. Non-Vocational Courses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*NonVocational Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.034 \\ (0.013) \end{gathered}$ | *** | $\begin{gathered} 0.031 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.072 \\ (0.045) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.041 \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | ** | $\begin{array}{r} 0.026 \\ (0.019) \end{array}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Vocational Course | $\begin{gathered} 0.010 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.072 \\ (0.083) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.019 \\ (0.036) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.104 \text { ** } \\ & (0.053) \end{aligned}$ |
| Courses that are Transferable to UC and CSU Systems |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*NonTransferable Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.017 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ (0.054) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | * | $\begin{gathered} 0.050 \text { * } \\ (0.030) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Transferable Course | $\begin{gathered} -0.038 \\ 0.013 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.021 \\ & 0.017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.048 \\ & 0.047 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.027 \\ & 0.024 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0.031 \\ & 0.022 \end{aligned}$ |
| Entering Students (==> Low Registration Priority) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction | $\begin{gathered} -0.025 \\ (0.029) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.048 \\ (0.097) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (0.050) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.024 \\ (0.053) \end{array}$ |

OTES: This table explores the heterogeneity of our results across different student groups and types of courses considered. We report
he coefficient of the interaction between student's and instructor's underrepresented minority status. We only report results for our
preferred specification, which includes student and classroom fixed effects. Students and instructors belong to the group of
U* Significant $1 \%$,


APPENDIX TABLE 6 - ESTIMATED ROLE OF INSTRUCTOR MINORITY STATUS AND STUDENT'S SOCIO-ECONOMIC BACKGROUND

| BACKGROUND |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dropped Course | Passed Course | Grade (Standardized) | Good Grade <br> (B or higher) | Takes SameSubject Course Subsequently |
|  | ALL Students |  |  |  |  |
| Received Financial Aid |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*Financial Aid | $\begin{aligned} & -0.021 \quad \text { *** } \\ & (0.009) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.053 \\ (0.029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.025 \\ (0.014) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.017 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*No Financial Aid | $\begin{aligned} & -0.019 \quad * * \\ & (0.008) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.013 \\ (0.008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.055 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.022 \quad \text { ** } \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.009 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ |
| Graduated from Private School |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*Private High School | $\begin{gathered} -0.016 \\ (0.025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ (0.067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.008 \\ (0.033) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ (0.037) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Non-Private High School | $\begin{aligned} & -0.027 \quad \text { *** } \\ & (0.008) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered} \text { * }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.058 \quad \text { ** } \\ & (0.025) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.021 \\ (0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ (0.008) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fraction of Students in Free Lunch Programs at High School of Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*few Free Lunch Students at HS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.023 \quad \text { *** } \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.062 \quad \text { *** } \\ & (0.023) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.025 \\ (0.011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.008) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*many Free Lunch Students at HS | $\begin{gathered} -0.034 \\ (0.029) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.024 \\ (0.025) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.118 \text { * } \\ (0.076) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.060 \text { * } \\ (0.036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.062 \\ (0.043) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average Income in High School Neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*poor neighborhood | $\begin{aligned} & -0.027 \text { ** } \\ & (0.015) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.013 \\ (0.016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.073 \\ (0.040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.020 \\ (0.020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.027 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*avg neighborhood | $\begin{aligned} & -0.027 \quad * * \\ & (0.007) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.015 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.046 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.016 \\ (0.012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*rich neighborhood | $\begin{aligned} & -0.033 \\ & (0.022) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.087 \\ (0.048) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.028 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | LOW REGISTRATION PRIORITY STUDENTS |  |  |  |  |
| Received Financial Aid |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*Financial Aid | $\begin{aligned} & -0.033 \text { * } \\ & (0.019) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.017 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.014 \\ (0.054) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.004 \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.055 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*No Financial Aid | $\begin{aligned} & -0.026 \quad * * \\ & (0.012) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.039 \text { ** } \\ (0.018) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.079 \\ (0.045) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.054 \quad * * \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (0.024) \end{gathered}$ |
| Graduated from Private School |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*Private High School | $\begin{gathered} -0.078 \text { * } \\ (0.044) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.030 \\ (0.058) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.035 \\ (0.169) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.049 \\ (0.091) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.075 \\ (0.082) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*Non-Private High School | $\begin{aligned} & -0.038 \quad \text { ** } \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.052 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.035 \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (0.026) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fraction of Students in Free Lunch Programs at High School of Graduation |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*few Free Lunch Students at HS | $\begin{aligned} & -0.032 \text { ** } \\ & (0.013) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ (0.047) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.035 \text { * } \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.038 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*many Free Lunch Students at HS | $\begin{gathered} 0.029 \\ (0.065) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.009 \\ (0.075) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.028 \\ (0.191) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.042 \\ (0.100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.123 \\ (0.115) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average Income in High School Neighborhood |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minority Interaction*poor neighborhood | $\begin{gathered} -0.024 \\ (0.031) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (0.037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.149 \\ (0.108) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.059 \\ (0.049) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.072 \\ (0.055) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*avg neighborhood | $\begin{aligned} & -0.044 \quad \text { *** } \\ & (0.016) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.044 \quad \text { ** } \\ (0.023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.057 \\ (0.059) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.036 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.034 \\ (0.028) \end{gathered}$ |
| Minority Interaction*rich neighborhood | $\begin{gathered} -0.041 \\ (0.038) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.032 \\ (0.046) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.039 \\ (0.116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.002 \\ (0.070) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.047 \\ (0.069) \end{gathered}$ |

NOTES: This table explores the heterogeneity of our results across different student groups defined by proxies for their socio-economic background. We report the coefficient of the interaction between student's and instructor's underrepresented minority status - referred to as "Minority Interaction". In cases where we allow minority effects to vary across student groups we report the interaction between the main variable of interest and indicator variables that are equal to one if a student belongs to a certain subgroup. To find high schools with a high fraction of free lunch students we first compute the empirica distribution of the school-level fraction of pupils who receive free lunch. We then define high schools to have "many free lunch students" if its fraction of free lunch students exceeds the $90 \%$-percentile of the corresponding empirical distribution. Likewise, a neighborhood is defined to be an "average income neighborhood" if its average income is contained in the $80 \%$ symmetric confidence interval of its distribution. We only report results for our preferred specification, which includes student and classroom fixed effects. Students and instructors belong to the group of "Underrepresented Minorities" if the race/ethnicity is Hispanic, African-American, or Native American, Pacific Islander or other non-white. *** Significant on $1 \%$-level; ** Significant on $5 \%-$ level: * Sianificant on $10 \%$-level. Standard errors are clustered bv instructor.


PANEL E: OUTCOME - STUDENT ENROLS IN A SAME-SUBJECT COURSE IN THE SUBSEQUENT TERM

| White |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.008 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.010) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.005 \\ (0.009) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.002 \\ (0.015) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African-American | $\begin{gathered} 0.008 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.173 \\ (0.061) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.023 \\ (0.077) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.014 \\ (0.178) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic | $\begin{array}{r} -0.009 \\ (0.014) \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} -0.073 \\ (0.032) \end{array}$ | ** |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -0.033 \\ (0.038) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.061 \\ (0.067) \end{gathered}$ |
| Asian | $\begin{gathered} 0.015 \\ (0.006) \end{gathered}$ | ** | $\begin{gathered} -0.011 \\ (0.017) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.012 \\ (0.013) \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} -0.001 \\ (0.020) \end{array}$ |
| Other Minority | $\begin{gathered} 0.033 \\ (0.034) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.054 \\ (0.177) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.062 \\ & (0.212) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.115 \\ (0.166) \end{gathered}$ |  |



PANEL E: OUTCOME - STUDENT ENROLS IN A SAME-SUBJECT COURSE IN THE SUBSEQUENT TERM

| White |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.008 \\ (0.022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.018 \\ (0.021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.018 \\ (0.019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.023 \\ (0.027) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| African-American | $\begin{aligned} & -0.006 \\ & (0.051) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.336 \\ (0.279) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.229 \\ (0.270) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.541 \\ (0.368) \end{gathered}$ |
| Hispanic | $\begin{gathered} 0.011 \\ (0.032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.032 \\ (0.165) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.010 \\ (0.195) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.139 \\ (0.307) \end{gathered}$ |
| Asian | $\begin{gathered} 0.007 \\ (0.014) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -0.014 \\ (0.049) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.002 \\ (0.069) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.022 \\ (0.090) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other Minority | $\begin{gathered} 0.019 \\ (0.082) \end{gathered}$ | - | - | $\begin{aligned} & -2.193 \\ & (1.707) \end{aligned}$ |  |

NOTES: In this table we investigate in detail if students loose from being taught by an instructor of a different
race/ethnicity. Each cell reports the estimated coefficient from a different regression that only uses one student group and two instructor groups. We only show results for our preferred specification which includ s student and course fixed effect two instructor groups. We only show results for our preferred specification, which includes student and course fixed effects. We also compute the regression coefficients for a sample of all students and a sample of students with a low standing on class enroliment lists.

## APPENDIX TABLE 8 - TOTAL ENROLLMENT AND INSTRUCTOR COUNTS BY DEPARTMENT

|  |  | Enrollments |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Department | Number of <br> Instructors |  |
| Total | 365,651 | 941 |
| Accounting | 16,187 | 37 |
| Anthropology | 9,941 | 15 |
| Astronomy | 7,960 | 3 |
| Automotive Technology | 5,339 | 13 |
| Biology | 14,896 | 34 |
| Business | 12,759 | 38 |
| Child Development \& Education | 7,049 | 26 |
| Computer Appl. \& Ofc. Systems | 7,077 | 15 |
| Chemistry | 7,460 | 21 |
| Computer Information Systems | 11,710 | 73 |
| Economics | 12,920 | 19 |
| English/Writing | 36,410 | 137 |
| Film and Television Production | 7,459 | 28 |
| History | 17,029 | 31 |
| Human Development | 6,471 | 15 |
| Humanities | 9,637 | 30 |
| Mathematics | 48,348 | 86 |
| Nursing | 6,059 | 32 |
| Philosophy | 7,871 | 22 |
| Physics | 5,203 | 14 |
| Political Science | 9,413 | 19 |
| Psychology | 13,132 | 36 |
| Reading | 9,701 | 22 |
| Sociology | 5,942 | 24 |
| Speech/Communication | 13,657 | 51 |

NOTES: This tables includes all enrollments in courses after the drop period, but prior to the withdrawal period. For confidentiality reasons only departments with at least 1 percent of total enrollment at college are included.




